Eugene

4th class -- Eugene

The title for this series of talks is Marxist-Humanist Perspectives

11/18/84

and the Dislectics of Revolution . I would like to be permitted for today's class to change that title to an expression which will help us to capture the thrust of these to classes. The methodology of Marxist-Humanism and how it is recreated at distinct historic moments in the freedom movement, is the title I would like us to think about. When we say this and not view this methodology as suprehistorical, as some kind of collection of true isms that we express. Rather, the expression

"recreate at distinct historic moments in the freedom movement" is the

only test of such a methodology.

by seeing flow the first three classes to expressed this methodology. In the first class "How to Begin Anew? The Birth of Marxist-Humanism and the Vicessitudes of the State-Capitalist Theory the reading james together three historic periods: The 1940s when state-capitalist theary was furst fully developed and readings from the 40s. The 1950s -- when the Marxist -Humanist tendence expressed itself in a new organizational form, News and Letters Comm ittees and the readings of our first Perspectives Thesis "Theore tical and Practical Perspectives: Where to Begin," and our first printed pamphlet Nationalism, Communism, Marxist-Humanism and the Afro-Asian And 1284, and the tests which Marxist-Humaniam faces today Revolutions. and hence the reading of the 1984-85 Perspectives Thesis "The Movements Will gen the well as from Practice vs the Great Artifacer Ronald Reagan What emerged from that first class were ix first the fact that even as an original thosery to meet the objective reality of our sage-state-capitalism as a world phenomenou-- was incomplete and would undergo maniatante Vacessitudes if it was not connected firmly both masses in motion fighting for freedom and the development of a full social vision -- the philosophy of Marxist-Humanism. 16476

Marxist-Humanism was no abstraction, but was immediately put into practice in the 1950s in the founding of News and Letters Committees, the establishment of a newspaper, News & Letters, whose editor would be a production worker, Charles Denby, and wir Don would be a unique combination of worker and intellectual in having voices from the freedom movement and Arxist theoretical expression, and that the philosophy of Marxist-Human-Lism would be further concretized in the assignment and completion of a task, the restatement of Marxism in book form with the publication of Marxism and Freedom. (I hesitate to use completed bechase as we all know, that first work then led to P&R and to RLWLKM and to a new book in press on Women's Kaberation and the Dialectics of Revolution, and I destroy to the in embryo concemption of a new Marxist-Humanist, work on Marx, Markist-Humanism and organization.) Third, the readings for the first class pointed to the ongoing nature of Marxist-Humanist Perspectives both in assigning as reading the 1984-85 Perspectives Thesis and in particular in our study of that final section of that Thesis "Not By Practice Alone". It is this last section which spealsout the tasks of Table 132 revolutionaries, one that Marxist-Humanist revolutionaries are determined to undertake; spells it out in the most comprehensive manner MEXICENERS EXECUTE X TO WE WILL return to this point later.

The readings for the Second Class-entitled "Black Consciousness and the Needed American Revolution"--concentrated on how Marxist "Humanism develops the specificity of a particular force of revolution: the Black Dimension, Emmen limiting (Language to two historic moments -- the 1960s) than America where we showed Black is both beautiful and revolutionary and we showed the dangers it faced by misleaders such as Earmichael and Cleaver wanted to take it in non-revolutionary directions -- and the mid 1970s in Southern Africa and that magnificent Soweto Rebellion of youth workers and the emergence of a Black Consciousness Movement. Jamand against this deep, creative, revolutionary movement

from practice was the labor of a Marxist-Humanist Perspective on the Black Movement's relation to the need American Revolution. That Marxist-Humanist perspectition Black has historically single out: 1. Black as the touchstone of American Civilization, exposing its hollowness. 2. Black masses as Vanguard -- a conceqption of what vanguard can mean as revolution h far removed from its denegration into the elitist vanguard party. 3. M-H perspectives showed the two way road between Africa and America of freedom action and ideas, that is, a precise spelling out of how Black is a world revolutionary question, including the Carabbean. (See here especially our pamphlet on FFSABT.) 4. We show how Marx and the Black World intertwined throughout Marx's lifetime from America at the time of the Civil War to Africa to Australia at the end of Marx's life. And finally 5. How Marxist-Humanism sees the relationship between race and class, indeed between Black and all the other living dimensions of freedom -- workers, women, youth, other minorities. The Second Classes readings on the new paragraphs added to RLWLKM after it went to press gave us a glimpse of how that same methodology which Marxist-Humanism strove to create with respect to the Black Dimension, was as well practice Begrakkaforces of revolution, especially women.

If the ft/fist class was the development and practice of M-H out of state-capitalist thoey as well as its recreation in 1984, and the second class was looking at how Marxist-Humanism views and helps to develop a living subject of revolution, then the readings for the Third Class, entitled "What is New in the Anti-War Struggles? What is New in the Sino-Soviet Conflict?" were a way of seeing how Marxist-Humanism enters the analyzing and in finding and in finding freedom on an international level. It did so by 1. returning to the question of state-capitalism, now not in the 1940s, but in the 1960s and beyond when what had emerged was not only one state-capitalist giant calling itself Communist, Russia, but a second China. Now the vicissitude

not alone of those who held state-capitalist thery, but of the state-capitalist countries themselves where for power on the world state with the sino-soviet split. 2. The readings showed the tremendious pull state-capitalism had on revolutions in the third world, from Castro to those who became followers of Mao. 3. About Marxist-asked Humanism/xxxx how would the new forces of revolution emerging be able to separate themselves from the pull of state-capitalism as well as private capitalism of the West? The answer that emerged pointed to the necessity of Marxist revolutionaries not basing themselves on the false subjectivity of a Mao or otherxixxixx would-be revolutionary subjectivity that came from masses in motion.

that rootedness in the subjectivity of the masses, must at the same time be accompanied by revolutionaries rooting themselves in Marxle Marxism, his philosophy. And that brings us to shis fourth class whose title "The Long March of Revolt, the Long March of Philosophy"

Life That title the process of how Marxist-Humanism, views both the

brings us to the question of how Marxist-Humanism, views both the

movement from practice and the movement from theory, the philosophy In it fact, the title for this class from Part II of the 1980 Perspectives where Thesis Today and Tomorrow are subtitle to that part I is "Imperative Need for New Relationship of Practice to Theory" - is what we want to talk about this afternoon. For if we through these classes are asking and how is it recreated at what is this Marxist-Humanist methodology whitehemexaxexemekingxes; and each specific historic moment, then the answer lies in the labor that Marxist-Humanism has undertakne to workout this relationship between theory and practice. xxxxxxxxx Marxist-Humanism's specific contribution for our age, what makes us unique, what makes us dare to say that we have something which the whole revolutionary movement needs to work out, lies in the work we have been doing ever since the early 1950s in working out the relation between theory and practice. Precisely that is the kernal of our Marxist-Humanist methodology and as the phil 7 Next; Marx 150

How have we done so? On the one hand we have worked out a cocept of what is the revolutionary practice of the masses and on the other hand we have been working what is revolutionary theory in its relation to the masses practice, in its relation to a full philosophy of revolution.

Let's begin with working out the movement from practive of the masses and its relation to theory. In working this out we had a tremendious amount of help -- from the masses themselves

From the workers in America in the 1949-50 Miners General Strike wo asked the most profound question of What Kind of Labor Should Human Beings Do?, in being the first workers to launch a strike against automated production in the form of the continuous miner. (You can study both that strike and Marxist-Humanism's relationship to it in our pamphlet on The Coal Miners' Genral Strike and the Birth of M-H)

From the East European masses—the East German Revolt of 1953, the Hungarian Revolution of 1956, who pust personal against state-capitalism calling itself communism on the agenda, and did so while rediscovering the Humanism of Marxism, not a return to private western capitalism.

M-H's specific relation to these and other events in East Europe can be studied in specific chapters in M&F on East Germany and Hungarry, in P&R on Poland, Cheslovakia, Ybgalavia, and in specific pamphlets on Poland and Z Czeshlovakia.

From the African Revolutions of the late 1950s and early 19605 The African fight against Western impeals m not only remade the map of Africa but truly gave birth to the Third World, and to the attempt to have an African socialism, centered on humanism.

Batista, but American imperialism in 1959, and even earlier, the 1952

Bolivian Revolution which brought forth the dimension of Indian, peasant,
miner and women so subjects of resolution.

16480

6

Bus Boycott of 1956 and continuing through the Civil Rights Movement of the 1960s.

. XXXXXXXXXX

Together with this tremend yous movement from practice, were Marxist-0 Humanist revolutionaires, who had a certain kind fa/sensativity to recognize the full revolutionary nature of this movement from practice, to make a category out of it, to take it so seriously that we called this movement Afrom practice a form of revolutionary theory itself. How did we get that This breaking on recognizing how crucial and revolue kind of sensativity? Honal was and is the movement from practice came because we were standing on the solders, not only of the masses who had lifted us so high with their revolutionary action; in the 1950s, but also becapase we stood upon the shoulders of a Hegel and of a Marx. Far from dismissing Hegel as only a bourgeois philosopher, dug into Hegel's dialectic, as Marx had done in the 19th century and as Lenin had done earlier in the twentiety centry. It was their digging in and their recognition of the revolutionary nature of Hegel's dialectic which made Raya dig into than dialectic in our post World War II age. We thus stoot on the sholders we did our own digging of the mases and of Hegel, Marx and Lenin. as Marxist-Humanists and achieved our and philosophic breakthrough in

That Advanthic Insalehous Muse write for Onew conceptung the Malen of Merry on parties
the process. What we have been working out over these three decades is the very new relation of theory to practice thought to action, revolution to philosophy, which our age needs. We call this new relation of the ry a breathrough on the meaning of Hegel's Absolute Idea. Previous to Marxist-Humanism, Marx and Lenin, while certainly grasp ing the revolutionary nature of Hegel's dialectic, had not felt that Hegel's

false a system it was, which

Absolutes gave new points of departure for their ages.

d to confront capitalism's supposed absolutes to show www.

that capitalism was note ahistoric and eternal, but rather that its absolutes were false, were in mortal opposition to each other, the highest one being on the one hand the absolute concentration and centralization of capital in the hands of fewer and fewer capitalists, while at the same moment using les and less workers, living labor, and thus bring forth the growth of the absolute army of unemployed which would be an a army of revolt. When $\mathrm{Len}^{\mathcal{U}}$ returned to Hegel in 1914 he found the concept of transformation into opposite, not Hegel's absolutes, as the philsophic dimension which spoke most directly to his age. But Dunayevskaya and Marxist-Humanism saw something xexpuents very new in Hegel's absolutes for our age. We certainly agreed with Lenin that transformation into opposite was profound -- competition into monopoly, and a section of the working class into its opposite, an aristrocracy of labor. But we have had 50 more years of transformation into opposite, including the transformation of the Russian workers' state into state-capitalism, and that int quite enough for our age. We need to know who to prevent revolutions from being transformed into counter-revolution, witness Iranand Exem Grenada. / We are one with Marx about the falsehood of capitalism's absolutes, witness their abolute of scine, which in their hands, has the form of high tech, high unemployment and the H-bomb and can only mean humanity's disappearance as a species. Or witness capitalism's fetishism of commodities at the same moment there is mass starvation.

But what Marxist-Humanism sees is a way out, a way out in that revolutionary movement from practice that we have designated as a form of theory, if at the same time revolutionaries grasp that there is a movement from thereory rooted in a philsophy of revolution that needs to be made concrete for our age. It is precisely for that reason that we grapple with Hegel's absolutes anew. What we see is that Hegel's absolutes are not unmoving pinacles of a system which stops some place touching God. Instead we see that Hegel's absolutes are in fact

revolutionary new beginnings in life and in thought -- that is, we can translate Hegel's absolutes as not other than the absolute movement to become free, a permanent movement toward freedom, an unchained dialectic, a permanence of revolution, when that dialectic is in the hands and head and soul of masses in motion and Marxist revolutionaries in revolutionary praxis. So that the Signature State to ward he discharate

Everything we have done as News & Letters Committees, our paper, our pamphlets, our books, our participation in all the aspects of the freedom movement has that movement from practice of the masses as its beginnings; as its esseches; as it notion, or goal or aim. This is the self-development of masses in motion toward freedom. And yet, that movement from practice, this long march of revolt, that is our point of departure and our point of return, is not the totality of what we mean by Absolute Idea as New Beginning. Equally crucial is the Movement from Theory that able to fully meet that movement from practice by being grounded in a philosophy of revolution. The state of the following that we make the following that the following that we make the following the following that we make the following the following that we make the following that we make the following the following the following that we make the following that the following the followi

That movement from practice that is itself a form of theory we grasped very early, in the 1950s. The long march of revolt since then has been the masses continually creating new forms of revolt in the 60s, 70s and now the 80s.

The long march of philsophy in our era has not simply been single brackthrond. If we take a critical look at our own praxis over three decades, we will see that the movement from thoery, rooted in philsophy, had had a long march. It has been a march to become more and more explicit as to the role, the tasks of Marxist revolutionaries.

its empty elitist theory. But we had not fully worked out what to replace it with. Perhaps because we ourselves were not yet fully awars of

just how now a relationship of theory to practice was demanded by the

and will be with as a a necessary

breakthrough on the Absolute Idea. Yes, we recongized masses as subject of revolution. And we counterposed their revolutionary subjectivity not only to the unfree, false objectivity of capitalism, but we also counterposed the masses subjectivity to the narrow subjectivity of would-be Marxistsx revolutionaries from Mao, to Castro to the Trotskyists and others who we designated as post-Marx Marxist who didn't base themselves on Marx's Marxism. But at the same time we hadn't yet posed explicitly a second revolutionary subjectivity which of necessity must arise together with masses in motion. And this is the revolutionary subjectivity of Marx's Marxism, as a phidosophy of revolution, recreated for cour day as Marxist-Humanism. Puthifferently Marxist-Humanism/ the life very much a part of what we mean when we say Absolute Idea as New total of the masses as a New Beginning. The Absolute Idea as New total of the masses as a New Beginning.

Which brings me back to the title of the last section of our 1984-85

Perspectives "Not By Practice Alone". If we we realize that for more than a quarter of a century we have explicitly rejected the vanguard party to lead. And that we have some thirty years of labor to show the revolutinary nature of what we mean by a movement from practice that is in itself a from of theory, if you see this, then you will understand how different and on a competely new revolutionary level it is for us to say "Not By Practice Alone", how differently we mean it from all those others who differents are saying that new, today, we are working out the role of revolutionary Marxist thinkers, theoretians and working out the role of organization in a way it have never been worked out before. That is the task we are in the midst of.

I will return to this question once more in my conclusion. But first—we have to see that this relation of theory to practice that I have been speaking of its not a thoeretical debating point, but a life and death question that has beenthe achilles heel not only in the

past but is the achilles heel we are facing today. So we want to discuss how philosphy is made concrete how it is practice. T We are going to do it mag in three ways. *************************** that are presented in the/readings for this week.l. I am going to take a specific year 1976 and take up a world hop spot, the Middle East and see how Marxist-Humanism analyzed through the two pollitical letters the one of Jan. 1976 on the UN Resolution on Zionism is Racism and the Aug. 1976 Letter on the Civil War in Leabaonon. . Terry is going to see houw Marxist-Humanism takes up a whole Continent, not limited to one year by looking at Raya Political-Philosophic Letter on Latin And 3. Wiane will be taking up America's Unfinished Revolutions. how M-H analyzed a specific period of Marxtexlifexments his last decase-and will show how we are not just looking at a long neglected period of Marx's life work, but get are determined to connected those last writings of Marx to the revolutionary ments and tasks of the 1980s.

the out reports never larly to all without any philosophy and Terry of the all "political" terry of the lap was unrelated to the the week heen better y the ME

concentration on a whole continent had some dialectical principle like
Kant "stopping dead" as he approached.
The first spring dead of the first seasons.

the dialecte of Low Am stopping the dialecte of Low this, too, the dead at a ctil, by + said this, too, the dialecte of a world have been the ony allow.

revolutionaries developed principled positions on a national question. We won't take the time to follow in here in any detail. I am pointing to it for your study, so that you can see how Marxit-Humanism bring in revolutionary historical time into an analysis not for the purposes of quoting authroities but for purposes of grasp ing what is a dialectical methodoly.

The anticipatory time that this January Letter rases in terms of the fight in Lebanon, and Syraia's potential counter-revolutionary role as the PIO waffled the the quested of the Lebanese Civil War, comes through with a grammax devestating truth by Sugust when the PPL on Lebon, the test not only of the PIO but the whole Left is Written. For it is here where not only Syrai's counter-revolutionary role is shown so very clearly, but even more crucial, the absolutely lack of anything appraching a revolutionary phiosophic vision within the PIO--which then proceeds to suffer an almost equal defeat as the Lebanese Moselum Left does in the Civil War--a defeat as not at the hands of

Israel, thought there were most happy it happened, but a defeat at the Order of the So-called progressive allies, especially Syrai. It is clear that what unites the Arab nations big and small is not as much anti-Israel though that too is a unifying cement, as it is anti-revolution in their own countries. That is what they will all unite to try and defeat, and the PIO among others, begame a victurm, though by no means an innocent one.

But where the anticipation of this M-H analysis is really seen is today--looking at the Middle East Lebanon in particular. z "When hostory and theory get into each other's way, and philsophy and revolution get separated, there is no exist from counter-revolutionary consequences." What else has Lebanon 1977-1984 been except their horror of counter-revolution from Syrai, to Israel, to the fascist falance, to the distruction of rements of th PLO, to the rise of Khomeni supporters to the distruction of both Lebanese and Plaestinian people.

It is that jaming together of ongoing events, historic time, future time

that a Morrest-Heighert and princer provide

The question of failed revolutionary practice cannot be answered simply by more practice on top of failed, incomplete theory that does not deserve eventhe name of half-way dialectic, whether in the Middle East or in Lation America or anywhere in the world. It has to be addressed by digging back into Marx's phidosophy and understanding of his concept of revolutin. We are doing that in this class by seeing how Marxist-Humanism went back and dug into the Marxism of Marx.

Diane will examin this in terms of Marx's last decade and how

Marxist-Humanismkexxxx views it as new moments for our revolutionary era.

(After Diane's report, Eugene has his conclusion)

Revolutionary philosophy is not something to know, but something to practice. How do we unchain the revolutionary dialectic in the age of Reaganism. That is what the classes are trying to dig into. What we know have seen whether today when we looked at Mao, at the Black Dimension, or whether as in earlier classes we looked at Mao, at the Black Dimension, at the question of war and peace and war and revolution -- what we have in all this moments is that the masses in their revolutionary pragice have time and time again unchained that dialectic of freedom in the sattle fract and west.

North and South, have constatnly sought to keep the people in shakles, to keep the dialectics of revolution in chains. But we have also seen that the revolutionary movement itself has not unchained that revolutionary dialectic in thought, an unchained that is so necessary if we are to

Markist Humanism has written of a single dialectic in the tand in thought. We do so not beduase we put it to gether, but because in actuality there is only one revolutionary dialectic, one that is an intertwing of the ideal and the real, thought and reality, theory and

practice.

It is these classes -- becuase they reinvestigate what Marxist-Humanism has down in working out that relationship between theory and practice which can help us grasp how to unchain the dialetic. Not by practice alone deems does not mean any substitution for the masses practice. Retained Rath it is a recognization that that single dialetic is one of thought, of a philsophic vision, of a recreation of Marxint for our day, and that such a recreation is not only need by the movement as a m whole, but mut of necessity find organization exression if it is to be worked out fully. That is what News and Letters Committee strive to be -- an organizational expression of the recreation of Marxism for our day. That is what we are trying to show the these classes -- not only no separation of thery and practice, of masses self-activity and the self-determination of the idea which is revolutionary philsophy, but that that revolutionary philsophy has a home, an organizational form --News and Letters Committes. And I want to say to those of you who are participating in these classes who are not yet members. If you find that you agree with this philsophic vision, then you with in the end should feel compelled to make News and Letters Committees your home, your organiztion expression of these ideas. insuch a collectivity as News and Letters Committeess that one can went fritz work out the fullest expression of these ideas so that revolution will not kengula remain a future to be, but the actuality of become free. When Marx penned "Time is the space for human development" he most certainly meant not capitalist new, but the revolutionary time of a new me society in the process of becomming. Now is the time for this becomming.