On July 28, RD wrote to McLellan from Wallaceburg in order to say that now she is rested and in the Beitish Empire, she is emboldedned to ask whether he had actually reviewed my book and especially noted the challenge to all post-Marx Marxists, beginning with Engels, I have long since read his works and it wasn't just the scholarship but what got me especially interested in him was that he was the first to translate part of the Grundrisse and made a most original comment on the fact that that work had revealed more WMXXX of the totality of Marx than what the economists attribute to Marx. Then RD asked McC. to let her know where and when the review of her book would appear.

UNIVERSITY OF KENT AT CANTERBURY

ELIOT COLLEGE THE UNIVERSITY CANTERBURY KENT CT2 7NS

TELEPHONE 66822

6 Ay, 1453

DAVID MCLELLAN, PROFESSOR OF POLITICAL THEORY.

Dear Raya Drueyershaya,

I was religher to get

your letter - partially is I am just

reading you later to such at Mis

Amend I mich it in very

inspeaked as ain by to brite a

renew poit in he coming hunt

before to 5-ent inflox of 5+ rents

I was interested It hear I you

activities. In are an example

lovs all all nish you every frage

An to Best-

Jann Milelly

95815

August 18, 1983

Dear David McLellan:

Thank you for your kind letter.
The fact that you will soon be reviewing my book on Luxemburg makes me feel good not only because I am interested in your commentary but because, frankly, I'm tired of remaining ancest person both in Russia and in the U.S. In the present case, I was shocked to find that behind all the compliments to Rosa Luxemburg as a martyr, there was enough of a residue of male-chauvinism in our day, though Wemen's Liberation has moved from being an Idea to being a Movement, that her stature as a theoretician and as an original character in the Melivillean sense has not been probed with the depth it deserves.

What has also surprised me is that in the anthropology field, where there are quite a few women academics, Luxuaburg has been disregarded on the ground that she was a revolutionary Marxist. In this case, I felt that I should really expand rather than narrow—indeed, sake inseparable Marx's philosophy of revelution from—the question of the Man/Wemen relationship. The enclosed copy of a paper I subsitted to the international anthropology conference that is to geet in New York in September shows that I consider it a sort of supplement to Rosa Luxuaburg.

Kemen's Liberation and Marx's Philosophy of Lavelution: I thought it might interest you but it is not yet a published work and since academia may have an idea of "owner-stip" that differs from mine, and you would know more about that than I, please use your own judgment in any reference you wish wish to make to it.

when and where will your review appear? May I ask you to send me a copy?

Yours,