MINUTES OF REB MEETING OF SEPT. 16, 1983

Present: All but Denby ill.; Suzanne excused. Diane present as sitter-in.

Agenda: I. Carrying Out Constitutional Convention Decisions: II. Ramifications; III. Ongoing Activities: IV G&W

I and II. Raya combined the first two points on the Agenda -- Carrying Out the Decisions of the Constitutional Convention and their Ramifications -- in her presentation, stressing that first to consider is the Constitution itself. What has been concretized there, now that we have a body of ideas called Marxist-Humanism, articulated in the trilogy of revolution, is what it meant that, as founders of Marxist-Humanism in the U.S., we would have both a paper "created so that the voices of revolt from below could be heard not separated from the articulation of a philosophy of liberation" and a whole theoretical concretization of Marxism for our age -- Marxism and Freedom.

I went back to re-study the additions yet they are not a break in any sense from MSF, but, rather, a development of what was articulated there through Philosophy and Revolution and Rosa Luxemburg, Women's Liberation, and Marx's Philosophy of Revolution. I tried to express the 20 new paragraph, which expresses both what the Constitutional Convention was about and some of the ramifications that will follow from it:

when, on the one hand, we have witnessed 30 years of the movement from practice that is itself a form of theory, and, on the other hand, the objective situation has reached such a totality of global crises in a nuclear world -- we discovered the trail to the 1980s in the "new moments" Mark experienced and articulated in his heretofore unknown writings. Those new moments, those new paths to revolution, his new return (in the Hegelian sense) to his original concept of Man/Woman relationships, as we now witness the emergence of a new Third World, help illuminate what Mark had seen from his very first discovery of a new continent of manence."

Totally new is this re-examination of the relationship of spontaneity to organization and the re-working of "revolution in permanence," both in relationship to a new unification of theory and practice and as ground of organization itself. Finally, the need for organizational growth and the projection of a new type of member compel the realization of what the Critique of the Gotha Programme projects regarding a new society which will end the division between mental and manual labor. Thus, and only thus, will there finally emerge a New Man, a New Moman, a New Society.

Raya then developed further the Hegelian concept of return, stressing that, where others admit that there were "new moments" in Marx's last decade, they see these new moments as a break with what Marx had developed up to then, Marxist-Humanism seesthese new moments as the highest conceptization of his whole 40 years. The concept of the 20 "news" that RD had counted in the amendments we had just added must be the ground for organizational growth and new members as we begin to spell out the ramifications of this very special convention.

Report together with the new paragraphs added to RIWIKM over the past year has already been sent out as Post-Convention Bulletin No. 1; the Reports on Organization, Paper, Constitution, and Denby's Statement are now being stencilled to send out as Fulletin No. 2; and Mike's Summation will be ready to mail out by the end of the first week in October. Dave, in N.Y., has been asked to typeset a sample page of the Constitution, and we will aim to have that printed as soon as possible.

REB, Sept. 16, 1983 -- page 2

Raya said she had edited her letter on "Revolutions and Philosophies" and proposed that we republish it as thus expanded. She had also made notations for another new paragraph for RIWLKM, which might be included as a post-script to that letter. The paragraph would be for adding to p. 180 of RIWLKM, after paragraph 3, where RD had written: "It was no accident ... that Engels, who certainly agreed with Marx's analysis of the Asiatic mode of production, nevertheless skipped over the question of the Oriental commune in his analysis of primitive dommunism in The Origin of the Family." She said she know she had not "proved" it was "no accident" and it was that "proof" she had now worked out and wanted to include. The proof would consist of showing that Engels left/Asiatic mode of production because he was no dislectician. From the beginning, even when he was helping Marx with the Tribune articles, he saw only "Oriental despotism" and not its dislectic opposite that is, what the commune had the potential to become. When Marx later was working on his EN and became excited about the Indian commune forms, he never separated it from what he had earlier done on the Asiatic mode of production. But because Engels continued to be unilinear in his view, he embraced Morgan as virtually a dialectical materialist, and it completely prevented him from grasping Marx's critique of the communal form.

The actual working out of this paragraph, however, as well as the re-issuance of an edited and expanded Letter on "Revolutions and Philosophies" would have to wait until after the return from New York next week.