



precisely because it is ~~FEEL~~ to all post-Marx Marxists
 TODAY, in facing the challenge, beginning with
 after Marx's death, precisely because he never betrayed
 precisely because he offered it as a "bequest" of KMin a word, it
 is nothing ad easy as saying, "update" is needed, much less merely
 you are wrong; I am right. NO IT MUST BE SERIOUS TODAY MUST BE
 USED NOT AS "update". RATHER, NOW THAT WE HAVE ENGSEE it is no such
 thing, how could that possibky be?

Proof of the seriousness
 of the challenge is precisely this where we see
 worldshe ~~and~~ called it AMP indeed some of the formulations were
 years ago you quote some of the articles in TRIBUNE are

WHAT IS MORE, when it comes to peasant
 + ~~to~~ created the ~~was~~

Finally, THE WHOLE OF MARX'S NEW CONTINENT OF THOUGHT & REV.
 is pay back in 1891
 which, on one hand, finally published 4th ed. of ORIGIN
 WHERE ~~the~~ REDUCED MARX'S NEW CONTINENT OF THOUGHT & REV. to
 a th of s.v. in pol. eco. along with Morgan matriarcal gens & Darwin's
 evolutionism.

So ~~no~~ Moments
 really bring us back to
 "new
 HUMANISM" not
 just because + is

Eurakentia
 one have
 MIE
 REW
 "Future in Present"

throughout his whole 40 years of work, and was precisely what created the way to discover still newer moments, in which we can actually find the trail to the 1980s.

cal
Take that thesis on Epicurus and Democritus, when he was still a Young Hegelian, and see the question of fetish and God and his love for Epicurus' attack on "the tyranny of the gods" and confining them to the interstices. And at the same time, look at his greatest theoretical work, Capital, and see that in the French edition, in the precise section on the fetishism of commodities, you will find exactly when Marx begins to contrast capitalistic commodity and the other pre-capitalist as well as future societies -- and there we have precisely this quotation from Epicurus. The point I'm making is that not only are there not two different Marxes, one young and one old, but Marx as a totality must be seen not as a merely numerical total but as a concrete totality -- and thus we will find the trail to the 1980s in the 1843-44 break, which I call the discovery of a new continent of thought and revolution.

1844 EP -- 2 negatives and 1 positive + Man/Woman

(Q to rd: ~~Do you wish to contrast RL's analysis of intellectual legacy vs. practical fighters to KM's analysis of philosophy as theory, p. 123)~~ ~~intellectual practice~~ ~~of philosophy~~

*Black in 2 ways (1) history
No Star & Freedom
1860 (2) STBA
as name*

1861 1/17/1861

II. This brief view we have just had of History and its Process and the quote I used from Hegel on Absolute movement of becoming appeared ~~XXXXXX~~ in Marx in his very first draft of Capital, which we call the Grundrisse -- and just as the definition of Time was from V P & P, the expression on becoming appeared as he was working out the laws of value and surplus value and conc. and centr. of capital. Here it is: (Show Grundrisse and point to fact that only at the end of 900 pages, KM first says it is all wrong, he should have started with that, and puts the whole ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ massive mss. away) including the fact that it has many things not in Capital, especially the totally new relations to pre-capitalist society, which we will first get as new moments in the 1880 mss., and think how post-Marx Marxists who finally have grappled with it, but hate Hegel just as much, come to the conclusion that the Grundrisse is Capital -- (I'm referring to Rosdolsky) ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Nothing could be further from the truth. *Instead III for the rest*

*Found
that
period
5/11
1887
First
Grundrisse
Pre-Cap
contrast
to 1867*

*1/1/1867
when
Lys
Rus
Rus
his new work*

*1/1/1857
220 14 lines*

*WE
Here
A.T.S
Plan*

*For
C.W.M.Y
7/1/1861*

Here is the actual labor of 10 years, before Capital is ready for publication: (1) All we get from Grundrisse are the 2 chapters of CPE and there you can see that he is still applying dialectics (S of L) and he doesn't like that. Before he will recreate it, we have to turn to the objective situation and see a new relationship of reality to philosophy. The specific event is 1860 and John Brown's attack on Harper's Ferry, 1861 creation of the First International.

*what we saw can be =
what if V.R.s*

(2) Here are the 1861-63 manuscripts, where we get the theories of value and surplus value and this time

we begin to see the break with the whole concept of theory. It is ~~XXXX~~ in 1863 that what we now know as Vol. 4 and as Theories of Surplus Value (but what Marx called History of Theory) Marx likewise puts away, 1780 pages.

(3) 1865-67, the structure now shows the working day (point to M&F to see actual pages, etc.). Show the chapters on Civil War and ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Working Day, but Marx is still dissatisfied. ~~What happens then --~~ AND THEN IS NOTHING SHORT OF THE PARIS COMMUNE, ~~the French edition~~ (4) the French edition 1872-75: (a) concept of abstract and concrete labor; (b) acc. of capital, both as against what he had thought would be the final chapter and the paragraphs left out by Engels.

Q pp 148-149

British
+ to this day, even with new/edition which did correct the language, we have Part 7 broken up into Part 7 and 8, so that what Marx called "So-Called Primitive Acc. of Capital" which was not separated from "Accumulation of Capital" all by itself as if that was limited to pre-capitalism.

III/ LAST DECADE. One of those quotations I read that Engels left out was actually from Vol. II. Not only ~~XXXX~~ was FE not able to publish Vols. II and III together as Marx asked, but he hurried to fill what he considered a "bequest" -- the Origin. Let's turn to what Marx wrote as Ethnological Notebooks, and what Engels published to see why they are not one.

1875 , when French edition was complete, an organizational problem arose that to this day ~~XXXXXXXX~~ only RLWLKM has devoted a chapter (Ch. 11 -- "The Philosopher of Permanent Revolution Creates New Bound for Organization"). We want to stop at the word dialectic and see again when it comes to light as history itself reaches a turning point. I'm referring to WWI, the ~~XXXXX~~ collapse of the Second Inte'n'l and Lenin's philosophic reorg'n and ambivalence.

What happened in our age when finally the EN were transcribed and we could see that ~~XXXXXXXX~~ the way Engels filled the bequest had little to do with what Marx wrote, whether that was what he did take up (Morgan's Ancient Society) and what he did or didn't know about, British imperialism and India.

Lenin's love of the Hegelian articulation of "cognition not only reflects the world but creates it" was responsible for the "rewriting" of P.C. as State and Revolution -- BUT stopped short of reorganizing himself on the Party.

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Other forces of revolution: The Peasant Q and Engels work on Peasant wars in Germany to Black dimension , Sojourner Truth and the Civil War, the intelligent Black and aborigines.

Final Q pp 194-5

15478