Down Duck) Terry- Spanwill Chilod Nov.4.1982

Dear Sheila:

I'm taking advantage of the presence here of a young I'm taking advantage of the presence nere of a your light Harrist-Humanist (actually an Australian). Andy to send you a copy, het off the press, of, finally, any new work, Rosa Luxemburg, Women's Liberation, and Mark's Philoso-phy of Revolution since the British (Harvester) publication will not come off the press till Feb. 1983 minus and then only in hardcover with a terrible price.

Despite your greater interest in Women's Liberation es "an organising iden" than in what I keep stressing as a billosephy of revolution in Mark's Markism (Mark's, not billosephy of revolution in Mark's Markism or will want to billose may work. It isn't a question whether one is "for" or revolution to women unconceively participating in making opposition to women unconsciously participating in making see an unperson even as not only the capitalists but the Stalihists and Trotskyists have done, lo, these many years. Stalihists and Trotskyists have done, lo, these many years. What always excites se is a battle of ideas and it is your initiation of such battle of ideas regarding my work that I'm appealing for, in England. Are you willing?

After all, whother or not you attribute as great importance as I do to part 3 of the work on Mark's philosophy of revolution, the unexplored women's dimension in Luxemburg—and that from the mement she came to Germany at the turn of the century and denied that she was "interested" in ML. the century and denied that she was "interested" in WL. the century and denied that she was "interested" in WL. the sing, instead interested in the fact that ever since the 1895—laing, instead interested in the fact that ever since the lays along, the sin first whiff of imperialism. Nevertheless, having i.e., the sin first whiff of imperialism. Nevertheless, having the privileges that job signified for men. Or, feeling that privileges that job signified for men. Or, feeling suddenly in the great 1905-of Russian Revolution in Poland that she alone demanded "full social, economic, political that she calcionship of spontaneity to organization. regarding relationship of spontaneity to organization. Regarding relationship of spontaneity to organization of the Instead, all those tensions led to the separation of the two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say, in 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say. In 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say. In 1907. "I am I only since two and her being able to say. In 1907. "I am I only si a very different life than, even as revolutionary, was somen's domain', that are explored for the first time in my work, within the context of "revolution in permanence" and tottal uprooting of the old, including old Marx-ist. but not new M-H--ist. Don't you think it deserves discussion even if it means the end of me as unperson? voltura, Kaya

15354