

Dear Digmes

Because I feel that you're really swimming very smoothly on both Trotsky's total lack of philosophy and, in this case, totality refers not just to the fact that he doesn't greep or practice dialectics, but because he doesn't greep but fore reactive commission sees thilosophy. Maturally, there is nothing abstract about it; you're absolutely right that there is still too dawn puch Trotakyins around, and it isn't limited to E., who is the worst. In any case, I feel I do want to make some suggestions for the Thanksgiving.

Piret, there is not only the PFL, but the chapter, which unfortunately you don't have, even though I think that a whiff of this could be gotten from my letter to Mike on the fact that I want his to write a review-cassy of Barnoh Kasi-Fas' work on Trotaky. Here is sky:

Unless we recognise that it isn't only Trotsky — I absolutely believe that Lamin was the only one (Lamin, not Lussaburg) who did agriculty and fotally relice form — but the whole port-Varia's asymment, beginning with Sacale, and stantahing to luminous — who absolutely did not, I reput did not, know Mark as any sort of new continent of thought, or even a totality of bistorical materialism, as including more than intercepts. The other day, I was an absolutely fentastic, stupid — I was going to say, ignorant, but lumining was so condite I cannot say ignorant — anticle by lumeshing on Mark, which, on the face of it, seemed to say we haven't yet caught up to Kara; it isn't that he has stagrated; it is that we haven't reached him, such less transcended him. But, in fact, what the article was eaving was lines even though we did not know volumes 2 and 3 of Oritial until 1894, and thus did not know the "connections" to Wilms 1, such as the original decline in the rate of profit, and yet were pretty good in spreading Markiem and helping masses to class connections see it is due to the fact that the seasons of Markiem is the class struggle and we did get that from Yeluse 1, and so all we need to do now is use his work as nethod and then really bring it up to date.

How, it somebody as great a revolutionary and in the narrow sense of theory, great theoretician, as Laxenburg, can utter such idiocles, it shows that usless we realize that we, and in thus case we alone, since learn did not either hake his philosophic notebooks fuelic, much less have time left on this earth to develop, have first recommended with mark and are the ones and only ones who know that philosophy is no abstraction, and therefore must project make as originally, we will never grow.

Therefore, what all think has to be done concretely at this Thankagiving secting is the same analysis, or rather critique you made of yourselves at the UMESC conference, but use it not as a critique of others, but as ground for being very proud of Marxist-Rumanism, to say that that too is not an abstraction, and is not just an update, and has a very specific organizational form, we are asking you to join.

15207

Now here is the way it compacts with the PFL on Trotalry. Those 12 years between 1905 and 1917, when Trotalry did absolutely nothing to develop his theory of Pausment Revolution, but did everything to attack all others in a way that it wan't only that he was nothing he between, either. And that isn't a joke. It is literally time that there was just no foundation for what he did. In a word, it mann't only everyminational and political conciliationiss — well, frankly, I don't have she is use. I wonder whether he did. What I do know is that, because he worked without a philosophy, and because he didn't follow Louis's great development, he not only see under the illusion that Legis'took ever his theory, but it was as natural as anything for him to fall into the 1903 vanguardist posty, because that is what he was doing when he had no organished tion, but very much wanted one.

De cars and do not orithcise the OD tour. Whereas I do feel that you would be talking about yourselves and not OD, it mevertheless would not belp in any may, And by help I mean questing precisely the ground you were talking about for their you leave next september. We hast exerct morry about that, to just do what we can until then, and then forget it; otherwise, your year here would not achieve that we mant.

Sometime or another I will try to write A. but his piece is may too serious for it to be reduced to a welcome back. I may use that form but it would still be on a level that requires nors him for me to absorb all his was saying than I have now to spare. Finall

Yours,