

University of Cincinnati

Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

FEB 2 9 1979

DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY

15 February, 1979

Raya Dunayevskaya News & Letters Committees 2832 E. Grand Boulevard Room 316 Detroit Michigan 48211

Dear Raya Dunayevskaya,

I was extremely interested in the issue of News & Letters dealing with the relationship of Philosophy and Revolution to Women's Liberation. I was quite unaware of the existence of Marx's Ethnological Notebooks and am now attempting to obtain a copy. Thank you for bringing it to my attention.

I was somewhat disappointed, however, that your article concentrated so much on attacking Draper and did not say more about the actual content of the Notebooks. Have you written any more on this topic and in particular worked out some of the significant differences between Engels' Origin of the Family and Marx' later views? If so, I should be most interested in seeing your work.

I'm interested too, of course, in what you think are the implications for practice in the women's movement of Marx's later writings - in so far as these can be figured out.

I too am working on what the tension that has existed historically between feminism and Marxism and I suspect that you and I share a rather similar approach.

Thank you again for opening up to me this new area of Mark's writings.

Yours sincerely,

Alison Jogan

Alison M. Jaggar.

Show PS /4 down up 196.

15144

Folmwary 23, 1979

Hs.Alison M. Jaggar, Dop°t.° or Philosophy -0371 Universitynos cumabasti Cincinnati, Ohio 45221 Dear Alison Jaggar,

Thank you for your chitique of the chapter from my book-in-progress on from lectures in Mexico which has left me rather tired, and therefore I hope you will

Appearances of space devoted to Draper notwithstanding, it wasn't Draper who interested me. Rather what drove he to devote that space was that sensone as serious a socialist feathist theoretician in a historic book, Momen Resistance and Revolution, could accept that vulgarization of Marx as true summary of his views, not only submarging Marx into Engels, but never bothering about original sources, and trying to grapple with all of Marx's philosophy of revolution so that we can work out what our age needs where there is a new force of revolution that is reason as well-will. I did discover Marx's Edmological Notebooks. However, it isn't true that you cannot see the differences between Marx and Engels, especially when Engels is on his own after Manuscripts and at once concentrated not only on aliemation win general but very specifically on the fundamental Man/weman relation he there made pivotal.

Are non acquainted with my PHILOSOPHY AND REVOLUTION. from Regal to Sartre and from Marx to Mac? If you see how rolated Ch.2-Marx's New Continent of Thought-to Ch.9-New Passions and New Forces, esp. pp. 280-292, then you will have some idea of both the theory and practice I intend to relate the implications of this philosophy for our day. But it will take nearly two years before ever I finish Rosa Laxonhum. Today's Homen's Liberation Movement, and Marx's Philosophy of Revolution, so I do not know to what extent I will return to Marx's Notebooks. I am glad to hear you have extered it and intend to graphle with it. You understand, that it is not a sand English, French and Greek, and some Russia which he began to delve into in the last years of h is life.

I would be very interested in seeing any work-in-progress you are engaged in, if you care to send any to me. Meanwhile I thought that even for purposes not so much of "practice" as concrete analysis of other writing, you may be interested in my pumplet (enclosed) which includes both an interview with a refugue from mainland China I had in Horg Kong, and the/reflew of Wittke's Commade Chinar China that the Women's Liberation. New & Letters Committees reproduced. It will be great to start a dialogue which might reveal an affinity of ideas for revolutionary feminism.

Yours,

Did you know that, strange as it may sound to Chicans to day, the Gaman refugeess from the defeated 1848 Revolution, specifically August Willich who establish a German Markist paper in Cincinnati, was so enthusiastic about abolishing slavory that he called in the Civil that and rose to general, and would write popular leaflets which would relate Markish to the civil was problems? I done say, if they tell his story at all, you can hardly recognize him as co-participant with Mark in 1848.