10/20/77

May I engage you in correspondence on the subject of Rosa Luxemburg since the attempts to get: dislogue going once I read your work on Clara Zetkin didn't work out? Far from the my great er interest in Rosa being the reason for my disappoint in your er interest in Rosa being the reason for my disappoint in your barely mentining her. I was actually wondering how you could separate the two great revolutionaries. Surely, it wasn't academia requiring that your subject. Clara, be the whole, and surely you wouldn't that your subject. Clara was directly involved in Women's Liberation think that because Clara was directly involved in Women's Liberation would exclude consideration of Rosa. That is to say, your decision to do what you did must be grounded dialectically, and it is this which I would like, if may, probe.

The greatest gap, it seems to me is in the very differing attitudes to philosophy and revolution. The other day, in rereading something on kosa. I noted that the Women's Movement had decided to have a special celebration for Clara and disregarded decided to have a special celebration for Clara and disregarded work be given to her; they decided instead, on a medallion. At the same time writers like Reland-Host think that because Kosa was the greater theoretician, that she felt Clara's friendship a burden. Beth attitudes are entirely wrong, and where we could make the greatest contribution would show a dialectical relationship between the two on the question of theory to revolution, and theory to women's liberation, and theory to "organization", be it Party or women's liberation, and theory to "organization", be it Party or would bring up only the question of Women's rights, or Rosa would be interested only in theory, or only in proletariat, and not at all be interested only in theory, or only in proletariat, and not at all in women. Take the person that all had thought was so great on the "Woman Question"—Bebel—and how male chauvinistic he became the "Woman Question"—Bebel—and how male chauvinistic he became the "Woman Question"—Bebel—and how male chauvinistic he became the "Woman Question", Clara both learned a lot from Rosa, and Rosa a lot from her. Why, thenm did the deeper relations between the two not interest you sufficiently yo do more than mention it, very nearly in passing?

My work on Rosa is not only on her. The topic will probably be: SENISM, POLITICE AND REVOLUTION: Rosa Luxemburg and her age; Women's Liberation and our age. The Movement in each case will be as great a determinant as philosophy; in fact I consider reason andrevolution inseparable; when they are separated they bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact I consider that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact I consider that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact I consider that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact I consider that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact I consider that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The fact I consider that bring about aborted revolutions and stultified thought. The

Where have you gotten with your work in respect to formulating it in form of book? Do you ever get to Detroit? I will probably be in NY on my lecture tour in Feb., but I would like to h from you now. I do not have your address, so will send this via Anne in NY, but here is my home address:

Yours.