Dear Susanne (copies to ME-N and L),

I cheeld have appealed to you, or your knowledge of Chinese language enyway, as to the sex of the word, Shust. As it is, it was only be reading a third criticle in that semificent section III of The Revolution Is Dead.

Long Live the Revolution which is all concerned with voices from min mainland China that I found out (1) that In Shust is a mesen, (2) she is recognized as one of the major theoreticisms of the "ultra-left," the one who extended Shang Mr-lien's Ehither China? While, unfortunately, her place "The Duck of Rationality" (3) has many gaps in what we would sensider a total theory, it is a fantastic great phearmance that we would sensider a total theory, it is a fanguestics" is more or less exhausted once you love your mather and see that she gats equal mages, should recognize nears as Bacson. At least I kept they do not, in hearting I'm Shust, treat her so an exception.

It certainly gave so both new and concrete points which I hope that the Markil sen work out, if not for this Plenus, then certainly for the Convention. Here is what I warn. I have had quite a bit of difficulty with RL which was not all das to what is obvious—I absolutely have no time, a point that I certainly intend to change as of this Plenus. At the same time, it is the relation of the Retroit WL to the Two column on Chiang Ching which not my mind ashirl. For example, I at once thought of the new title rather than the one I wrote to Two. Hore important than that, I thought that if that were my actual title for the RL bock, with RL and Today's Momen's Liberation as subtitle, it would not not never because to revolution, though the woman revolutionary under discussion never broached the question.

Gr take a more immediate question, for you as Chairwoman of Detroit ML—MAL, beginning with the special meeting you're planning on the Seneca Palls Convention. Mich I stated at the local that you should definitely work out a way to relate the parphlet to 1848 as well as to today, and by no means stress the Chinese aspect to the exclusion of all others, that is what I had in mind and that is what I wish further to develop here.

The question of male chanvinism is a great deal more serious than all the exposes written by the women's liberationists, not to mention actual male chanvinists of the exploitative variety. Muckraking has a kell long history in America, a very landable history, and also a very narrow one, and that is also what happened with the somen's liberationists, from Kate Millet to Simone de Esauvoir to Shelia Rowbotham; all expose and no content, much less a new revolutionary banner. That however, easy, in turn, for us to "expose." What, however, isn't easy is to show that sexica, far from being only against women is actual evasion of the revolutionary theory mesdedfinally to complete the revolution begun in 1917 and even then, not completed in the sense in which we mean totality as women as

Now you may think that it's a jump from the sublime to the ridiculous for me to say that if we did work this out fully when we haven't yet raised the question, then we would know how to make contacts, how to present the question of this new pamphlet as not only universal rawher than Chinese, but also as so concrete that it can become the basis, the foundation, for what will make it easy to write the RL work. But try, for example, to see what brief paragraph you could write in an ad for us. Or try to see what, briefly, you can say July 26 which would make both Black and historic, "feminism" flow into this pamphlet so that somebody would really want to read it and come to that very meeting. Or think of "The Sevolution Is Dead—Long Live the Revolution" and see h ow you would formulate a letter to Yu Shust which I certainly intend to do. I'll look forward to what results from the July 21 meeting.

15066