Jan. 28p1958

Dear Harbert Marquee!

I assume you received M& F; I'm surprised that you did not ask for more than the 3 copies sent.

The reviews have not yet appeared and I naturally do not know when they will appear, but algoredy I'm thinking of a "sumplement." Ten know that I had many more rough Ideas than those that I developed on Hegel's Absolute Idea ever since 1953 when I first broke through the "seemd barrier" of Hegelian terminology. For obvious and not so obvious reasons it wasnot necessary to develop those for the book itself. However, I tamnet seem to part either from Hegel or a few American workers and student youth who have been writing so on Chapter 1 of K & F and have shown a much greater grasp than they are ever being credited with—they certainly create sufficient ground for me it want to take off from. I'm starting on a lacture tour in Barch and I thought that that might give me a chance for a serious and rather lengthy essay that I would either submit to a periodical or actually try to publish as a booklet. Maturally I would still love to "depend" on you and wondered whether you would care to read any drafts that I would been write.

I am anxious to read your book, so please keep me informed when its official publication date is.

As ever,

*I do not mean to impose -- I mean only your criticisms, informal, to me.

BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY
WALTHAM 54, MASSACHUSETTS

2/10/58

Dear R. D Ple be glad to pead what you may wrote on Hegel-if you don't press me and guie me time! And I could indud use two move Copies of Merxism and Freedom!! food lucks!

ton

Dear Herbert Marquee:

It was impossible after all to develop the ideas I had in mind relative to Regel's Absolute Idea while on tour. It was just too hectic—I spoke nearly every day for 30 days, sometimes for 2-3 hours a day. It seems if you are scheduled to give a lecture on a university campus, you therefore "belong" to the Administration perfore and after and on any subject that comes into their head. The range of subjects in my case extended all the way from "Adventures in the Hegelian Dialectic" to "Khrushchev's 'Foint 4'Program", with MARXISM AND FREEDOM being the center of all. The turnout was also quite different than anticipated--I'd be scheduled for a small seminar of 25 and 15- would turn up ar I would be scheduled for a double class of 100 and 500 would turn out, as they did in the University of California at Berkeley when I spoke on the American Roots of In addition there was a radio appearance and one on Marxism. TV's Cavalcade of Books where suddenly I found my work counterposed to J. Edgar Hoover's "Masters of Deceit". That got me so angry that I exploded that "Hoover's work was at best a negative approach. You get nowhere by jailing people or passing laws against thinking. You certainly cannot win the global struggle for the mind of man by imitating Russian Communist totalitarianism. Mine is a <u>positive</u> approach, giving people a theory of liberation -- Marxist Humanism -- ideas people live by and die for. That got the panelists so worried that I had criticized their "hero" that they quickly moved me away from the TV screen and mike and stated that or course I was "a very controversial figure, a Marxist" and they invited "all to write in on having had me on when they discussed Hoover's book." They said they expected an avalanche of people. At least I got them to laugh when they handed me the guest book to sign. As it happened to be March 5th, I wrote "On the 5th happy againversary of Stalin's death." I do not believe I'll ever get invited again. Yet I wish I had gotten that distance in breaking the conspiracy of silence that aurrounds MARXIS: AND FREEDOM on the East Coast.

May let I'll be off one short lapse—to Fittsburgh and West Virginia—and so I do not know whether I'll get a chance to write what I wish to. At least let me indicate the two problems that are preoccupying me now. Ever since Mao Tse-Tung's speech on the "100 flowers" to bloom, I have, instead of having a straight economic state capitalist approach, a phenomenological one for I feel that Herel's "Spirit in Self-Estrengement—the Discipline of Culture" very apropos. (Do you recall that Marx points precisely to the "noble type of sonsciousness" as one of the areas in Hegel where the dialectic far outstrips his own use of it?) And while working on that I had returned also to the AI, again in relation to a concrete stage now—the something new which made the backward Vietnamese recognize those "haughty vassals" like Hao and Ho-chin-Minh and suddenly stop the onward rush of Russian Communism throughout Asia. There are some very new impulses warking at work, and I'm dying to get down to work them out, but it might have to wait.

Yours,

I noted the announcement that your book is finally off the press-congrequiations!

9925

er history

Dear H.E.:

The absoluteness of my silence is not to be construed as proof of the fact/that the Absolute Idea has lost its grip on me, but only that the practical everyday have of an author whose publisher is so small as almost to unite with the politicos to dilence the works and thus burdening her with all the "promotional" work as well. But, outside of an appearance on TV that week for University of Detroit, I have nearly nothing to do till Fall when I appear at Cooper Union. In any case I grasp what momentary lull there is in my tours and lectures to resume where I left off when WARKISM & FREEDOM engled our correspondence.

I will begin with what will not be contested. I believe: the dialectical relationship of subject and object in the process of history as the center of Hegel's Absolute Method: Or, to put it differently, the conception of reality as totality, the unity of inner and outer; the relationship between the whole and the parts which constitutes the passage from existence to reality. But the real world, even when Hegel is the Prussian philosopher Clorifying the state as the combination of the ideal and the real, is not plate as the combination of the ideal and the real, is not plate as substitute for philosopher and thus, just as the Christian Hegel lets "Revealed Religion" play second fiddle to philosophy, so the state philosopher Hegel leaves state as "Objective Mind" remain on the decreaters, not in the later sanctum of "Absolute Wind."

Now Mera criticized Hegel for not having really surmounted the duality or thought and boing, of theory and practice,
of subject and object; that his dialectic, no here than Kent's,
useld in its mystical shell be the actual, interior dialectic of the
historic process, but was just froth appearance, "the origin" not
the actualhistory of man. He insisted that under the circumstance
Absolute Spirit was were appearance so that, even when he had "people"
as content, the expression was restricted that alien man, the philosopher, and that in fact, it is alve's after the fact that
absolute spirit make history, so that it is not only Mature which
is "unconscious" and does through necessity what Logic accomplishes
freely, but Absolute Spirit as well accomplishes the feel movement
unconscious of isself as creator of the worl until after the event
and is making or history only exists in the consciousacse, in the
opinion and representation of the philosophers, in the speculative
imagination." But when "corpored him" standing on his own fact,
the maker of his own history and is own thoughts then first will
faely-knowledge and knowledge and salar of history.

There is no argument with harm's anterialisa,
y but in the young farm, when the used of the hour was to free encolf
w and the whole generation from mystleism, did underglay (breause
he did not know the early worker) Mescl', inclimin to "peoples"
and not just conceiesmoss and colf-consciousess, who receive
the heritage of history as "mound principles" and "have mission
of applying it." In any case, I a not here inversated in what
Earx did or did not see (to that we will come later) but what

9926

our age can and must see and to which it has a contribution to make

To return to Hegel, first as Absolute Knowledge appears in PHENOMENOLOGY, where he sums up the movement from Descarte's "I think therefore I am" through Spinoza's abstract unity in Substance to Leibnitz's recoil from this abstraction to the Individuality of -- may I add? -- commercial, pre-1789 capitalism which Kanianticipated and developed further after the French Revolution as abstract freedom, or Individual Will: all good men get together and work out contradictions according to a gneral will. Hegel continues with his rejection of the Absolutes of other philosophies when the millenium did not follow the Fronck Revolution and we had Fichte's analysis of Reality as Ego, Scholling's "intellectual Intuition" (of which Hegel says, "Substance by itself would be void and empty intuition") and Jacob's "reactionary" (my emphasis) reestablishment of Absolute as faith alone. this Hogel adds "However, Spirit has shown itself to be neither mere withdrawal of self-consciousness into pure inwardness nor mere absorption of self-consciousness into Substance...Spirit

1s the movement of the self which empties (externalizes itself) and

qua subject..." We ll, what does it accomplish "qua Subject"?

(1)it "wound up process of embodiment"; (2) History was born anew to combine with science of the ways in the knowledge appears end ended up as absolute spirit, but (3) the process of releasing itself from the form of its self which is supposed to be "the highest freedom and security of its knowledge of itself" does not make it as happy as the Ending of PHENOMEHOLOGY would have it appear for it will reappear as Absolute Idea in Logic and Absolute Mind in the Encyclopaedia and there we will see, not the work of art with its "double-tongued equivocal character of what they gave out as certainty", but (1) "individuality purified of all that interferes with its universalism, i.e., freedom itself;" (2) freedom not as a possession but as a dimension of being; in a word (%) Absolute Mand as the actuality of freedom. The philosopher doth protest too much when he keeps repeating knowledge is the Olympus when all the time he comes down to earth and its freedoms and lack of them, That is why I said, in MAR XIS: AND FREEDOM, co that "Translated Materialistically, the fact that Mature has gone through the same dialectical development as I dea shows there is a movement from practice to theory as well as v.v."

With your indulgence, therefore, I wish to relate look at the real world of ours and spell out this movement from practice to theory for it is only there where we'll get the new insights,

"the new impulses" emerging from the objective movement and the maturity of our age which will compel us to make concrete what was only general to Mark): (1) the period of the 1930's--not of Hitler for I am consider not the devel pment of counter-revolution but of revolution--the French Sit-Down Strikes, the American CIO, the Spanish Revolution all adding up to new forms of workers control of production. That is to say, the climax in the Spanish Revolution and occupation of factories by workers showed the workers were moving from Soviets or political control to actual management of production by themselves. (2) the period of the 1940's: National Resistance Fovements, including Mogro demonstrations, wartime and post-war general strikes, including OI movements for return home, ending in the flocking by the millions into the Communist Parties

All this signified, not "backwardness" of workers, but search for new political form to work out both freedom from occupation and economic slavery; the fact that that "double-tongued" enemy-Communism in Western Europe-won the allegiance is only one more manifestation that this is an age of absolutes, and that the counter-revolution is not only in the innards of the revolution but v.v. And because the two are so tightly linked we had stalemate.

(3) But with the period of 1950's and Automation new grounds were laid for overcoming this total contradiction. Where state capitalism posed, but only in general, and only for theoreticians or those where Communism actually ruled over production, the question of the new type of workers' revoltsand the return to Marx's theories of alienation, Automation made it concrete, evoking the question; What Kind of Labor Should Man Ferform? If that was a cry in the wilderness during the miners' strike against continuous miner, it began to be heard 3 years later during recession, and, above all, that year it was united with the cry for political freedom out of totalitarianism in the East German Revolt.

theoreticians until they had broken through on that Absolute Idea and absolute freedom in the manner in which Marx broke through the mystical shell, and in the concrete manner Lenin, confronted with "transofrmation into opposite" made his own the pre-transformation with "Turn the imperialist war into a civil war." But, no, the Kantian aught remained exactly as abstract as Kant had it—and no Marxist would move to make the abolition of division of mental and manual as concrete for our age as Marx had made "the general absolute law" of capitalism concretely mean for the movement the mobilization of "the new passions and new forces" for the establishment of the new society. The greatest deterrent to the indispensability of the theoreticiam is the theoretician himself who flocks to anything from Existentialism to Zen-Budhism and from "war guilt" to psychoanalysis—anything, anything at all to avoid the respondibility of the Marxist theoretician to be where the workers are.

dialectic", the Absolute Mind lies beckening, but, no, we go back to repeating the old about the de-humanization of ideas that Hogel is represented with. Now, I admit that the humanism of Hegel is not the most obvious element in the Hegelian philosophy, although I maintain that today we should see it as its innermest escence. Naturally, the academic tradition that operates on Prof. Wildebrand's escumption that the generation that could understand Hogel's PHENCHALLES has died cannot bely the youth of our epoch grasp the grandour of the vision of the most encyclopaedic mind of Europe who wrote: Within the short span of man's own life, an individual must learn the whole long journey of mankind. This is possibly only because the universal mind is operative in every individual mind and is the very substance of it. It is true that Hegel himself did throw a mystical will over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological system, but he also warned against those who become the self-styled "representatives" of a philosophical work who, he wrote, "are like the deed burying the dead." He put his own faith in the public instead, not alone because of its modesty, but because "it is the nature of truth to force its way to recognition when the

you once told me that what I wrote in the first letters in 1953 on the Absolute Idea and what appeared in MARXISH AND FREEDON were miles apart and, in a sense, it is. He public work, popular or unpopular, can contain the intricacies of thought as popular or unpopular, can contain the intricacies of thought as they develop in their abstract form before they become filled with more concrete content. And no doubt also part reason of leading it in its undeveloped state was finding none but "dumb workers" it i

Yours.

epid you notice the paragraph in the last issue of American Economic Re view on MARKING AND FRANCE. It surprised se that an economic journal should be the one to strengthe humanisms

"The book centers on the frequently neglected or misunderstood aspects of Marxian thought: its thorough-going commitment to the humanist tradition of all earlier revolutionary and socialist movements and of German classical philosophy. The crucial significance of Merk and Engels of this basic crientation is de monstrated by a close scrutiny of their works. The student of Marxiam will appreciate the appendices presenting plat English translations of important but little known philosophical statement by Marx and Lenin. The volume includes a preface by Herbert Marcuse."