September 25,1962

Dear Friends:

PRESENCE AFRICAINE has asked me to write an article for them on African and American socialism. Naturally I do not know whether they will accept the article as I will write it, nor have I gotten written yet. But, because of the fact that sometimes rough notes is more stimulating than the "finished product", especially as aspects of it parallel some of our projects —both the pamphlet on IUO years of the Emancipation Proclamation, and the Young Marxist-Humanist Bulletin*— I thought it may be a good idea if I submitted these rough notes to you:

AFRICAN AND AMERICAN SOCIALISM: WHY NOT A NEW INTERNATIONAL?

One thing African and American socialism share in common: the indigenousness of its roots are questioned by "all others."

The African Revolutions, having written the most exciting page in post-war world history, have given African socialism a great advantage over the American both in deed and in the recognition of its philosophy. Independence has made the views of African spokes men for socialism "official." In capitalist America, on the other hand, Marxism is still treated as a "foreign doctrine."

My recent trip to west africa was, in great part, motivate by a different question: what is african socialism? It is not that I was unacquainted with the writings of the African leaders—Azikiwe, Nkrumah, Senghor, Touré have all written voluminously and the some of their aspirations, as well as writings, Tours, were known to me long before their fame and their aspirations victory; reshaped the map of the world. It no doubt also helps, Tours after power has been gained, to establish an in-person relationship and hear views aspect elaborated then, but the main point for the visit was to see the country and the people, to get to know the thought of the man on the street, and in the bush, at this critical juncture of history. The point of affinity between African and American Marxist-Humanism is the present, not as it relates to the past, but the future—the world developments, the unfinished revolutions brought to a conclusion on an international scale.

Eugene, which I assume are being circulated, and which I assume Eugene is developing further for inclusion in Bulletin. It is good to see the preoccupation with the Humanism of those Early Essays of Marx, as well as with the African, especially Cambian development presently. Birchism, however, is not related to the international development(except insofar as it is an outgrowth of the cold war and the inherent counter-revolution in it; but an internal, strictly American, strictly middle-class putrefaction of state-capitalism which has fastened upon the youth precisely because the American youth is the most revolutionary and least tradition-bound aspirants for a new world.

9614

The question mark over the relationship of African socialism to marxism relates, not as it does in America, to the fact that it is hard to hear the voice of the second America over the atomic din of the established authorities, the State Administration; but rather out of the contradictory statements of the African socialists themselves. I do not mean that the voice of American socialism is one voice. Far from it. But the differences are shouted and emphasized and over-emphasized. In Africa, on the other hand, the contradictory statements are said with the same breath as affirmations of unity, Pan Africans all, though they have divided into two blocs—monrovia and Cassablanca; into separate countries, and I do not mean independent and dependent, or even just old independent and newly-independent but in each newly-independent country, whether that be Senegal or Guinea, Nigeria or Ghana, not to mention within each country, even when as sharply divided as Azikiwe and the Nigerian Youth Congress. Nevertheless all insist they arend for Pan-African socialism. Unfortunately, all this only means that Pan-Africanism, far from illuminating what African socialism is, helps more to confuse friends than enemies.

Perhaps, some African leaders do believe that it is this "unity" which shook up both nuclear titals -- United States and the USSR-- sc that, instead of being poised to destroy the world, they are vying instead for influence over this new, third, uncommitted world.

But the ideology, the Freedom NOW, which elicited the elemental creativity of the masses that reshaped Africa, and thus the world, in less than a decade, will surely need watherer content for the forward movement of humanity. Or so I felt as content for the forward movement of humanity. Or so I felt as I daily met Africans who were concerned with new human relations, new world relations, a totally new human dimension. In the Gambia, new world relations meant, not government to government, for example, new world relations meant, not government to government, but people to people. The Young workers Movement asked me to speak on socialists the world over, specifying the freedom idders in the United States as well as the Lengakuren in Japan, the Socialist United States as well as the Nigerian Yoth Longress. Here Youth of Great Britain as well as the Nigerian Yoth Longress. Here is a country, the last of the British colinies in West Africa, that was just in an election first to win self-government, that looked up to independent Africa and yet admitted that Pan-Africanism has become an umbrella, a cover, for a contradictory variety of independence movements, and, they added, they hoped that just because they were the last to gain freedom, they would learn at once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and European and Once not to separate Black Africa from American and Europe

president Senghor, who was kind enough to grant me an interview and arrange other meetings with both trades union leaders and those concerned with planning the economy, tried to play down the differences between the African blocs. "The difference is not serious. What is serious is the division between the US and the USSR,"

This is certainly true if one is concerned with the work power struggle. I was, however, concerned with relations among socialists as well as with world ramifications of the development in the theory of african socialism. I had been especially attracted to his singling out Humanism in the Marxian theory above the "economic aspects." His statement about the affinity of Russian

Communism and American capitalism was both true and hilarious.

"The program of the 22nd RCP is all frigidaires and TV." But the follow-up at that moment from economics as production relations to economics as materialism" vs. the "spiritual" in "Negritude" He pointed to a painting on his wall...* This certainly was no answer were an evasion of the problem of crucial importance to the Senegalese masses—peasants as well as urban workers who hungered for a fundamental change in his conditions of life and labor which he had not yet witnessed, though now politically independent.

On the contrary, it only brought back to my mind that his speech, "African Socialism," so full of poetry, profundity, and destiny-" A national refuses.... "

Mevertheless, when it came to the concrete contained an aptack on the rrench proletariat whose standard of living rose "only at the the expense of the standard of living of the masses in Asia and Africa" which entailed an apology for be Gaulle France.

This, to me, is the tragedy of the African revolutions. So weighted down are its leaders by consciousness of the technological backwerdness of the country, the need to industrialize it, that they turn for aid to the powers-that be instead of the proletariat in those technologically advanced lands. Let me make it clear at once that I em not in the least opposed to accepting aid from whatever source, be that De Gaulle France or JFK's America. Western imperialism has plundered Africa for centuries, plundered it both of its natural resources as well as its manpower, and it is time some of this African wealth returned to the country of its origin.

Nor do I think it is wrong to take aid from Khrushchev's Russia, whether or not, it too has ulterior imperialist motives.

This, however, is not the point at issue for socialists. The point at issue is the relationship, first of all, to one's own people, the very ones who made independence possible; secondly, to the underlying fhilosophy of freedom which is not to be degraded to a interior changing tactic, dependent on the relationship of forces with the enemy, and, thirdly, to the world proletariat which is equally desirous as the afforican, to put an end to the crises-ridden world that is presently hell bent for nuclear destruction.

It is true that, apparently, all there is in America is thin the present atomic power. There are more reactionary laws on the books against the American worker than against the "foreign enemy." From the Taft-Hartley Law to the Smith and McCarran Acts anything that remotely resembles independent thought is classed as "subversive", and "unAmerican". One can be sure that in the present celebration around the 100th anniversary

The dots and star refer to aections wither in the letters on Africa or in the Afro-Asian pemphlet, *which, for ourselves, there is no point in quoting.

of the Emancipation Proclamation there will not be a single word uttered officially as to how deep the American roots of Marxism are. Yet this the period when Marx's path crossed that of the Aboliticalsts, the most authentic, the most glorious page in American history. It was not 2012 a question of "principle" that distinguished these early white fighters for the abolition of slavery. It was the human relationships which broke down the division between black and white 30 years shead of that still unfinished revolution, the Civil War; the property principle that eliminated the division between worker and intellectual, men and women at a time when women too were considered no more than a piece of property and that transformed beliefs from a wayxed principle to a way of life led to the founding of an organization that anticipated the creation of Bolshevism by 83 years. Marx considered the speeches of the Abolitionists, particularly those of Wendel Phillips, as more important than "battle bulletins", as he put it, and made them widely known to the European proletarist.

Marx's "Americanism", in-turn, led him to attribute/the role of a tocsin for both for the 1789 and the 1871 mevolutions.

John Brown's attack or Howard.

widely known to the European proleterist.

To Am. revolutions to Am. revolutions of a tocsin for both for the 1789 and the 1871 Revolutions.

John Brown's attack on Harper's Ferry was not just a great act of courage for the abolition of slavery in the United States. It signalled, Marx wrote, a new stage in world history at This was so maximum both in deed and in thought, as could be seen from the fact that under theimpact of the Civil War Marx reorganized the structure of his greatest work, CAPITAL.* In turn, the first national labor union in this country was affiliated to the Workingmen's International Association, thus transforming Marx's statement that "Labor in white skin cannot be free so long as labor in the black skin is branded" from rhetoric and principle to an everyday fact of life.

Unfortunately, American socialism after Marx's death followed that a path that, both for its sectarianism and opportunism, was to the very type that made Marx say even in his lifetime that if that were Marxism, he was not a Marxist! The most famous of all American socialists, Lugene V. Debs, for example, tried to evade the Negro question, which has always been the most critical throughout American history, by treating it as an "economic problem" that Naturally, "only socialism" with could solve it, but meanwhile he saw neither a special role that Negroes couldplay, nor a special social problem that socialists must understake, not on the eve after victory, but now, just now.

In our own period, beginning with the Nazi attack on Russia, the American Communists played an equally ignoble role, telling the Negroes not to fight "not now" for their full rights. By the thousands, the Negroes who joined the communists during the depression, left them to continue fighting their battles alone and regardless of the war for democracy" that Jim crowed them in the Army as on the job or in housing. The one section of the waite working class that likewise chose 1943 to continue with their strike under the famous slogan that "If the Senators are so concerned about coal, let them dig it."

Socialists,

Thus we see that, while the old radicals, be they/Communist or Trotskyists*, continued their own sectarian, allegedly vanguardist ways, the masses of workers, especially Negroes, have continued along their own elemental, creative ways to "realise socialism" and "oy their right instinct", as marx put it in CAPITAL when he deals with the struggle for the shorter working day "arrived at the same concludsion as he.

coupled

Truly Marxism is not merely in books but in the/lives

MARXEMPTITIES Of the people aspiring to freedom. 1956 brings
about an entirely new era. Just as the Freedom righters in Hungary
breaght the struggle against totalitarian tyranny with the struggle
with for Humanism, **MARXEMEXSEX** and decentralized Workers Councils,
so the bus boycott in Montgomery, Alabama, which began with one Rose
Parks refusing to the back of the bus, developed into the self-activity of a whole people that fought not only against discrimination
in travel but for a different method of travel altogether. (M&F)
Verily Marx had written that the greatest act of the Paris Commune
was "its own working existence."

Not only that. Ethedamaridare erressions It was promptly "copied" as far away from home base as Johannesburg, south Africa. In turn, the developing struggle for "Freedom NOW" from what was the Gold Coast and was to become the first of the bew independent African Republic, thana, the freedom Riders this time, white and Negro, came into the South to fill its jeals—and then commemorate its struggle with a "Freedom NOW" button.

It is the exciting period, 1956-62, where the Negro struggles parallels both the Hungarian and African Freedom right, especially the latter.

Unfortunately, it is also here that a certain division arises where they cannot contact the Arican directly for, having gained power, the Arican comes as a guest of government or, at best, the university or the labor bureaucracy, and remains as far removed from the Negro worker as if he still remained on a different

I brought this fact to the attention of Governor-General Dr. Nnamci Azikiwe when I interviewed him. He admitted its truth and the difficulties presented to the African nations in this respect even as he admitted its respect that the theory of african socialism has "not been systematized. It should be but..." stressing at the same its "classless" character...*

The opposition NYC was, however, based on the fact that this

The opposition NYC was, however, based on the fact that this "welfare state" showed very little welfare to its citizens. Indeed

at a rally they further stressed that Nigerian independence was "a mere change in Administration, rather than a revolution." But they likewise showed little concern for non-African socialists, refusing altogether to criticize the counter-revolutionary attack on Hungary. In this respect there was no difference from the view of Azikiwe who claimed not to have sufficient information on the matter to make a judgment.



Needless to say, the state of suspension would not have been changed to a trip to africa Ghana, the one nation that at this moment seems closest, not merely in foreign policy, but internally to that totalitarian model/

I was shocked, in fact, to find quite a paternalistic attitud toward the Negro American on the part of the Ghanaian trades unions. "They are not Aricans, do not have land here, do they? Tet Ghana has always fought for their full rights in the US." Also of kremlin cut was the vituperative language used by the organ of the AATUF, "The African Worker", which pictured Dakar as....*

I did not get to Conskry to visit the rrench counterpart to this but of all the African socialist leaders, Sekou Toure has long interested me both for the historic sweep of his deeds and the passion of his views on "full re-Africanization."

His little country's loud "No" to the mighty (but not almighty

D Gaulle france had electrified the world both with its daring
and its challenging, governing philosophy. I am not referring merely
to such statements as that Guinea would rather be poor and free than

scinich and slave/ I am referring to such profound and kramax world-wide

views of Scinich as: "AA pamphlet)

confidence in the masses as "all peoples are capable at any time..."

(PRESENCE AFR.p.13)I am referring to such reliance on the masses as the electron of Village councils, and such visions of total democracy as to infuse even the single party state, at least his single party state, with a base totally different from the elitist Russian model.

I am referring to such views of affinity with the world proletariat as to give Africans priority only because they are proletarian peoples who personity the cause of social justice.

"The party intends to regard the people of Guinea as the brain responsible for conceiving every action which merits undertaking in the name of the Rep. of Guinea." (p.20) "In spite of the relative low standard in the technical and eco.fields, the Party wishes to be they, the people who determine the direction of their activities, even those of a scientific character. This amounts to saying that there is no longer my frontier, there is no longer any limitation to popular knowledge or the rights of the peple—these rights in the future to be exercised by them with sovereign power in all fields of national life." (97)

9619

4.

In much of what Toure says of adopting only those solutions which are "authentically African", in mark his rejection of an "abstract philosophy" not because it is abstract but because the "needs" are "concrete" and therefore marxism "has been amputated of those of its characteristics which did not correspond to African realities", the non-African Marxist begins to feel, neither that Africa, kexage or at least Guinea, is committed to marxism, nor even that Marxism is being made "African" but that both are being shorn of any underlying philosophy in order to deal with concrete needs amputately eclectically.

There would be nothing wrong if Africa, not burdened down by traditions of Marxism, restated it Interest the such freedom, even abandon if you wish, that its Africanisation into an original whole meant it had found Interesting, a new concrete universality that answered the problems of our age; it was to Marxist would then obtained to the nousetops, about this restatement of welcome, to shout to the nousetops, about this restatement of Marxism for the world in the manner in which Lenin "rewrote"

**Remixed Marx's "Civil War in France" as "State and Revolution"

**Remixed Marx's "Civil War in France" as "State and Revolution"

**Remixed Marx's "Civil War in France" as "State and Revolution"

**Remixed Marx's "Civil War in France" as "State and Revolution —but as new world stage of consciousness with a new concrete universal to which the world's working class could hold on, before and after would soon be transformed into opposite and gets sucked banks into the market whirlpool of the world market, "The return backwards into the market whirlpool of the world market, "The return backwards into the market whirlpool of the world market, "The teturn backwards the population to a man would run production end the state or there would be a state or there would be a state or the state of the state of the stat

What is the new concrete universal? "Full Reafricanization?"
How can that applu to Africa, 1962 or the world, A

Isn't it more likely that because Africa learned of
Marxism after the Russian Revolution and studied Marx atxtexsemex
times if not "through" Mac Tse-tung, at least at the same time as
Mac Tse-tung that we have this repetition of "classlnessness"
not only when the nation is as one in its united determination to
throw out its imperialism, but also when industrialization and
throw out its imperialism, but also when industrialization and
statification has begun to differentiation between intellectual
and worker as between ruler and ruled?

Den't you sense the warning in mark's original numenism:
"We must never again counterpose the state to the individual. The
individual is the social entity." And again "communism is not the
from of the new society" although it has been its "energizing force"
Without the re-establishment of the unity of mental and manual
labor within the individual, there will be no new society, no new
human dimension.

establish the human factor as decisive. This, this and nothing else, was new in action and new in thought. This, and nothing else, was new in action and new in thought. This, and nothing else, was the humanism of Marxism, translated in Africa of the 1960s and the saw of the world, even as Lenin of 1920 on both the National and Colonial Questions foresaw when he saw of new colonings that would embark on industrialisation "without going through capitalism" because the world had already experienced soviets.

9620

This is what makes the "backward" American proleteriat, unburdened by a/party (Communist or labor bureaucratic)hungering "to lead".

but full of the vigor of wildcatting against Automation and demanding, human, not automatized, production relations ready for something new.

out its hand across to Africa, as well as gaining succor from its original homeland making the whole world sit up and listen

"The self-determination in which alone the Idea is," wrote Hegel under the impact of the great French Revolution, and his encyclopaedic mind full of 5,000 years of history,

"is to hear itself speak."

independent
Speak, theh/Africa, untainted by the two/power blocs fighting for world domination. You have gained your political self-determination and so you are free to express also the self-determination of the Idea, 32 the accumulated thought of centuries fructified by the Revolutions of today.

The plunge into freedom has made you the track markets the determination of the masses, the result of the plunge into freedom has made you the track markets. of today even as the reach for freedom on the part of the Hungarian remains Revolutionaries had made them the theoretical Marxist-Humanists of those who had been raised on Marxist theory only to be betrayed by its powerful usurpers.

Even as the rreedom Riders in the USA, in speaking for themselves,* have *** the challenged the rulers of America and told the world of the second America and its new beginnings.

a new International.

I am sorry the above notes lengthened out to 8 pages and are quite in such an outlandish, rough-hewn form. But I trust that, when you assign someone to prepare an educational on it and she orne puts it into a dialectical order, it will be helpful both on the theoretical and organizational fronts. This is the period when no article, for ourselves or for others; nationally or internationally; can be allowed to pass without seeing and atressing its organizational remifications, in this case, a new International. And why not? Rava