BUREAUCRACY

State Capitalism and the Bureaucrats

regard to the bareaucracy, which stemp it con. Raya Dunayevskaya, and temporary', and distinguish it from bureaucracies of all other ages, from the Byzantine Empire to the world depression, are: (1) State
Capitalism, as the new stage of world economic and political development, and (2)
Antomation, as the new stage of world scientific and technological development.

Automation has cut across the basic distinction between himself and those he criticises.

On the other side of the Intellectual bureaucrat, however, with thoughts

Raya Dunayevskaya, and condended and Freedom, and has recumbered on a lecture tour ordinary men' the capacity the campoing to camp of Hitler and his secret weapon' of the V-2 rocket, or the campoing in the world new stage of world scientific and technology came about through the compulsion of the world crisis which had now basic distinction between himself and those he criticises.

On the other side of the Intellectual bureaucrat, however, with thoughts THE two decisive criteria in regard to the bareau

Great Divide arose with the Depression.

It is the 1929 crash that first stands the worker with thoughts split the world mind into two: of his own to resolve the totality on the one side stood the State Planners, which now included workers control of production, not only the owners and/or managers of production, but also the intellectual who had been sucked into the machinery of the State Plan.

For the objective pull of that These spontaneous actions

For the objective pull of that-new stage of world capitalistic development had changed the role of the intellectual from the spheres of culture and consump-tion to that of plan and produc-tion.

A plethora of plans, from talin's Russia to Roosevelt's Stalin's Russia to Rosecult's 'New Deal' Apperica, as well as from Hitter's Germany to Japan's Co-Prosperive

New Deal' Apperien, as well as felves either except to align with from Hitler's Germany to this new force.

Japan's Co-Prosperity Sphere, disclosed the fact that the new three the first took time out to debate total versus partial planning, but never for a moment stopped to look at the three of rationalised production.

At the same time millions of unemployed walking the streets while other millions of unexiended the conditions of unexiended the conditions of unexiended the conditions of the labour bureaucrate.

Differing from the intellectual bureaucrat who elaborated his plans from a governmental ists as well as state rulers to attempt to escape from the deepening crisis by the further bureaucratisation of life, beginning at the point of production, and ending in the state offices in Moscow, Washington, Berlin, and Tokyo.

Neither World War II, nor the post-war world, changed any-thing in that bureaucratic described in the purposes took over also the question of desciolining the worker.

world, changed any-n that bureaucratic ost-war w thing in that bureaucratic pattern except put an end once and for all to any illusion that the interference of the State, into the economy was only a war measure.

NO BASIS

Within this new bureaucratic development, sociologists like C. Wright Mills can indict various schools of social science for developing a "bureaucratic ethos" and serving the military, governmental and business bureaucracies, but offer no basis governmental and business bureaucracies, but offer no basis overcoming these bureaucracies.

Ills indictment of "the human Ills indictment of "the human relations in industry school", for example, is incisive enough: "Much of the advice of these scholars—explicit and tacti—can be nearly summarised in this simple formula: To make the worker happy, efficient, and coperative we need only make the managers intelligent, rational, knowledgeable."

These spontaneous actions centred at the point of production, and on the matter of the new phenemenon of SIT-DOWNS, the labour leadership, whether, young ones like Reuther, or old ones like John L. Lewis, saw no way out for themselves either except to align with this new force.

The outbreak of World War-

The new labour bureau-crat, who began to compete with big industry, lu clab-orating plans for conver-sion of peace-time industry to war-time purposes, took over also the question of over also the question of disciplining the worker, chaining him with no-ctrike pledges, extension of the working day, and bowing before the 'progress' of ever greater and greater machines, till we reached the monster muchine of Automation.

AUTOMATION AND THE SCIENTIST-PHILOSOPHER

While each country was thus divided into two worlds, the maturity of our age revealed itself in the one-worldedness of the state of technology.

the state of technology,

This was true not because the
two groups of 'Ailles' kept no
secrets from each other. Quite
the contrary. Never had cloakand dagger techniques been
carried into the field of science
quite '80' overwhelmingly,' and
again without regard to the

seu and those he criticises.

On the other side of the intellectual bureaucrat, however, present penalty of not keeping stands the worker with thoughts up with the world market. The other stands the worker with thoughts up with the world technological development was death, of the modern crisis through workers' control of production.

Throughout the recommendation of the standard standard when America exploded

It is this that made me write in 1945' when America exploded the A-bomb and thought its secret fool-proof, that "at this stage of world competition, which is world war, Rustia must find the secret of the A-bomb, or perish, and Russia doesn't intend to perish".

to perish".

Everything in our age is invested with its opposite. Thus, out of the splitting of the atom, came not the greatest creative force on earth, but the most destructive weapon.

And the post-war-time marriage of science and industry resulted in Automation which, far from lessening the burden of toll, both throws the labourers out of work and overworks those at the monster machine.

No other cooch ever imparted

No other epoch ever imparted greater reality to Marx's analysis that "All our progress seems to result in endowing a material force with intellectual life, and stultifying human life into a material force."

One contemporary intellectual did, at first, accurately appraise the disastrous impact of automation. "Let us remember," wrote Norbert Wiener, "that the automatic machine is the precise economic equivalent of slave labour. Any labour which competes with slave labour must accept the economic conditions of slave labour. If we follow our traditional worship of progress and the fifth freedom—the freedom to exploit—it, lapractically certain that we shall have to face a decade or more of ruin and despair."

But, since Mr. Wiener looked to "pure science" as the motive force of history, how could his "human use of human belongs" possibly differ from the scientia who could, with imponity, write (Continued on page eight)

(Continued on page eight)

Mills, C. Wright, The Sociological Imagination, page

92 (1959).
My draft of Marxism and State Capitalism handed into the Oxford University Press.

Marx, K.: Speech at the Anniversary of the People's Paper, April 1856,

Wlener, Norbert: The Use of Human Belogs', page 189 (1950).

9488

AN, 1960

SOCIALIST LEADER



State Capitalism and the Bureaucrats

FROM PAGE FIVE

in human enough terms, now jumps, without any mediation, into a society "freed from labour" by Automation.

ATTITUDES TO AUTOMATION

In contrast to the abstractions In contrast to the abstractions by men who no longer live in ivory towers because state capitalism will no longer allow intellectuals that escape, consider the attitude of the rank, and file worker who, when told about Automation freeing the worker from toil and confronting society with too much 'leisure', said:

"I don't know what he is ralking about. I don't have any
time to breathe, much less to loll
about. The work-week at Ford's
now (model change-over time) is
35 hours and here that man
(Reuther) goes around talking
about 'leisure'. As for the working conditions, they are worse
than they have ever been since
the C.I.O. first came into being.
All Automation has meant to us
is unemployment and overwork.

(Reuther) goes around talking Russia, Automation is what the about 'leisure'. As for the working conditions, they are worse than they have ever been since into being. All Automation has meant to us a dutomation holds, but because is unemployment and overwork. The sharp 'division between scientist, philosopher and intellectuals generally, on one side, and the trank and file workers, on the other side, is nowhere along with the managers of proseen as clearly as between production, worker and labour playment' that dot 'prosperity', along with the managers of prosuce of promise and talks abstractions fact, raised the death-rate in industrial accidents, but because,

of Man Viewed as Machine. In the production worker is concerned with the way it affects his daily life.

Evidently no human passion nowadays is beyond a mather arrived formula that can forthwith he made practicable in a "buildable machine".

What is true of the scientist is even more true of the philosopher in a state capitalist world, it is the latter that the present that "origins of Totalitarianism" in human enough terms, now the Origins of Totalitarianism in human enough terms, now then of Automation, the speed of time, energy, or even human-

future, the production worker is concerned with the way it affects his daily life.

As could be seen from the attidy of the Renault plants, the meet it is seen it to possible of the worker jumpy and departed him. He never seems to be on the attidy of the Renault plants, the meet all the worker jumpy and the possible of the worker jumpy and the possible of the constantly that of the American worker, but that of the American worker, but that of the American worker, but the latter that the present writer has interviewed most. They insist that with the invenition of Automation, the speed of the machine has one possible question: Why is the most site machine maters man, not man indicated the worker himself?

In one auto shop one worker reported: "We weren't on the job one day when a man lost a finger, and had the one next to it crushed. Before the week was out, another man lost his finger and a third man had three machine. There are signs all over the shop saying 'Are you doing it safely?' Inside half-sun-hour after that man was finger, and had the concepts of the machine. There are signs all over the shop saying 'Are you doing it safely?' Inside half-sun-hour after that man was finger, and the shop saying 'Are you doing it safely?' Inside half-sun-hour after that man was finger, and the shop saying 'Are you doing it safely?' Inside half-sun-hour after that man was finger, and the shop saying 'Are you doing it safely?' Inside half-sun-hour after that man was finger, and the shop saying 'Are you doing it safely?' Inside half-sun-hour after that man was finger, and the shop saying 'Are you doing it safely?' Inside half-sun-hour after that man was finger, and the shop saying 'Are you one to listen.

THE ONLY ROAD

We have reached a stage of the sputnik, a poli in Detroit revealed that, next to Russellad, but the workers had writer the launching of the Sputnik, a poli in Detroit revealed that, next to Russellad, but the concomitant of total plannot as a concomitant of total plannot as a concomitant of total plannot as a

that everyone is ready to lead; no one to listen.

THE ONLY ROAD

We have reached a stage of capitalistic development where the law described by Marx as the law of motion by which the technologically advanced country shows the rechnologically backward country the course of its own future is true in reverse.

The bureaucratisation that is the concomitant of total planning, reaching into the daily life of every single life with its of every single life w

BULEAUCHACY

I. State Capitlaism and the Intel ectual and Labor Bureaucrats.

The two decisive criteria in regard to the bureaucracy, which stamp it "contemporary", and distinguish it from bureaucracyes of all other ages, from the byzentine Empire to the world Depression, are: (1) State Capitalism, as the new statge of world economic and political development, and (") automation, as the new statge of world scientific of technological development.

Automation has cut across the thinking of all people, but the Gr.e at Divide area with the depression. It is the 1930srash that first split the world mind into two one side stood the State Planers, which now included not only the owners and/or on the one side stood the State Planers, which now included not only the owners and/or managers of production, but also the intellectual who had been sucked into the machinery of the State Plan. For the dejective pull of that new stage of world capitalistic development had changed the role of the intellectual from the spheres of culture and consumption to that of plan and production. A plethora of Plans, from Stalin's Russia to Roosevelt(s "New Deal" america, as well as from Hitler's Germany to Japan's Co-Proserity Sphere, Disclosed the fact that the new "Brain Trust" took time out to delate total vs. partial planning, but never for a moment stopped to lock at the throes of rationalized production. At the same time millions upon millions of unemploys of rationalized production. At the same time millions upon millions of unemploys walking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of ourselled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while other millions of unskilled in factories questioned the conwalking the streets while

Within this new cureaucratic development, sociologists like C. Wright Mills can indict various schools of social science for developing a "bureaucratic ethes" and serving the military, governmental and business bureaucracies, but offer no basis serving the military these bureaucracies. His indictment of "the human relations in whatever for overcoming these bureaucracies. His indictment of "the human relations in the whatever for overcoming these bureaucracies."

in industry school", for example, is incisive enough: "Much of the advice of the scholors—explicit and tacit—can be neatly summarized in this simple formula: To make the worked happy, efficient, and co-operative we need only make the managers intelligent, rational, knowledgeable." (L) But, by specifically denying to "ordnary men" intelligent, rational, knowledgeable." (L) But, by specifically denying to "ordnary men" the capacity either to understandor to transform what is hap onling in the world, he can only appeal to the self-same "social Scientists" to shed their "bureaucratic ethos" by acquiring a "sociological imagin tion." There is thus no basic distincts between himself and those he criticizes.

On the other side of the intellectual bureaucra; however, stands the worker with thoughts of his own to resolve the tatality of the modern vrisis through work control of production. Throughout the 1930's this took various organizational forms, ranging from the 0.1.0. in the U. S., to the proletarian revolution in Spain. These spontaneous actions centered at the point of production, and on the matter of the new phenomenon of SIT*DOWNS, the labor londership, whether young ones like bouther, or old ones like John L. Lewis, saw no way out for themselves either except to align withis new force. The outbroak of W. II, however, put an end to this development of the labor-bureaucrat exer

the labor leader under pressu o of the rank and file. Here too the world witnessed the hothouse transform tion of labor le dership into la or bureaucracy. Differing from the intellectual bureaucrat exercised his and elaborated his plans from a governmental center, the labor bureaucrat exercised his power directly at the point of production. The new labor bureaucrat, who began to compete with his industry, in elaborating Plans for conversion of pascetime industry to wartime purposes, took over also the question of dissiplining the worker, chaining him with no-scrike pledges, extension of the workingdry, and bowing before the "progress" of ever greater and greater medians, till we re ched the mension machine of automation.

II. Automation and the hotentist-Philospher

While each country was thus divided into two worlds, the maturity of our age revealed itself in the one-worldedness of the state of technology. This was true not because the two groups of "allies" kept no scorets from each other. wite the combetrary. Never had clock-and-dagger techniques been calvied into the field of science quite so everwhelmingly, and again without regard to the camp of housevelt and his seems and his "secret we pon" of the V-2 rocket, or the camp of housevelt and his seems secret of the atom tembe. The ene-aeridedness of the state of technology came about through the compulsion of the world crisis which had now taken the shape of total destruction. Thus it was no longer marchy a question of losing one's place in the world market. The present ponelty of not keeping up with the world technological development is death. It is this that m d me write in 1945(2), when america explod it the A-bomb and thought its scoret fool-proof, that "at this stage of world competition, which is world war, bussels must find the secret of the bomb or perish, and hussin doesn't intedn to perish."

Everything in our age is invested with its opposite. Thus, out of the aplitting of the atom, came £66 the greatest creative forcenfearth, but he most destructive vespon. And the peat wartime marriage of science and industry resulted in Automation which, far from lessening the burden of toil, both throws the labours out of work and dverworks those at the nonster machine. He other epoch ever imparted created reality to Marris analysis that Tall our progress seems to to result in endowing a material force wath intellectual life, and stultifying human life into a material force. (2)

One contemporary intellectual did, at first, accurately appraise the disastrous impact of utemation. "Let unremember," wrote Norbert Wienemer, (4) "that the automatic machine is the precise economic equivalent of slave labor. Any labor which compates withe are labor must accept the economic conditions of slave labor.... If we...follow our traditional worship as progress and the fifth freedom --the freedom to exploit—it is practically cert in that we shall have to face a donde or more of ruin and despair." But a since Mr. Weiner lo ked to "pure s science" as the possibly d

motive force of history, how could his "human uso of hear beings" possibly differ from the scientist who could, with impunity write of "than Viewed as Machine." (%) Evidently no human passion novadays is beyond a material formula that on forthwith be made precticable in a "buildable mechine".

What is true of the scientist is even me a true of the philosopher in a state capitalist world. Thus flan ah arendt, who saw the "Origina of Totaliberien ism" in human enough terms, no. jumps, without any mediation, into a society "freed from labor" by Automation. (6)

III. Attitudes to Autometion

In contrast to the abstractions by men who no longer live in ivery towers because state capitalism will no longer allow intellectuals that escape, consider the attitude of the sak and file workerwho, when told about automation freeing the \$9491

worker from toil and confronting society with too much "leisure", said:

"I don't know what he is talking about. I don't have any time to breathe, much less to lol about. The work week at Ford's now (mdel change-over time) is fifty-three hours and here that man (heuther) ges a around talking about 'leisure'. As for the working conditions, they are worse than they have ever been since the Clo first came into being. All automation has meant to us is unemployment and offer overwork. Both at the same time." (7)

The sharp division between scientist philosopher and intellectuals generally, on one side, and the rank and file workers, on the other side, is nowhere seen as a clearly as between production worker and labor bureauvrat. Where the latter joins other researchers and educators and talks abfactions of promise and leisure and future, the production worker is concerned with the way it affects his daily life. As could be seen from the study of the hemalt plant, which is the most automated the French workers attitude to that "man-killer, the automation mechine" is no different from that of the American worker, but it is the lacter that the present writes has interviewed the most. They insist that with the invention of automation, the speed of the machine knows no bounds of time, energy or even human-kind, that it has resulted in literally increasing the dath r to in industry. In one auto shop, one worker reported, "We weren't on the job one day when a man lost a finger, and had the one next to it crushed. Before the week was out, another man lost his finger and a third man had three fingers chopped off by the machine. There are signs all over the shop anying "Are you doing it safely?" Inside half -an-hour after that man was hurt, the workers had written under all these; signs, "This Bachine is NOT 3afe Enough To Do It With." (9)

Ind d, directly after the launching of the Sputnik, a poll in Detroit revealed, that, next to kussia, automation is what the workers feared the most. This is not due to the fact that they are not as "educated" as thehe same and do not know the "promise" Automation holds, but because they are confronted with realities of Automation under Capitalism. They not it creates a permanent unemployment which the intellectual bureaucrats, along with the managers of production, call "pockets of unemployment that dot "prosperity". They see it as a "man-killer" not only because it has, in actual fact, raised the death rate in industrial ac idents, but because, even where it is safe, it has made the worker "jampy" and degrad d him. He never seems to be on top of the estuation any langers the muchine is complete master of him. These machines are "man-kkllers" that are consantly breaking down, and breaking down the nervous system of the men themselves. It is they, therefore, who have raised the decisive philosophic questions why is the division between mental and manual labor so great that muchine masters men, not men machine? How can one unify thinking and doing "in the worked himself"? But no one, least of all the makern intellectual who is busy indicting bureaucracy "in general" listoms to bhese impulses from the ordinary men at the point of production. Indied the bureaucratic mark of our age is that everyone is ready to lead; no one to liston.

W have reached a stage of capitalistic development where the law d scribed by Marx as the law of motion by which the technologically advanced country shows the technologically backward country the course of its own furure is true in reverse. The bureaucratization that is the concemitant of total planning, reaching into the political tyronny, had takenthe shape of Eusseliny, Stalin, hitler, not because these characters were Italian, hussian, German, but because such was the nature of total burearcratic state capitalistic divelopment, which pays the worker the minimum it takes to feed, colthe and reproduce the next generation of laborers and extract from him the maximum unpaid labor that it takes to constantly expand production to keep ahead in the lawless laws of world market competition that has reached the form

.9492

of world market competition that has reached the fom of nuclear distruction, there

All roads, including Socialist and Communist, lead to the summit of the State Plan. Andre Philip is the litest "viotim". Along with the "left" and the "hight" in present-day France, a not only captivated with "the Plan" but endows what he himself calls "France, a New Power Elite" with a revolutionary mission: "The only class that is capable of making a revolution is the very class which is actually doing m, the class that in fact has the power to administer organize and create."(8)

No wonder that the most popular saying in Poland, which has a great dal more experience in discussing the various "rods to socialism" from China to Eussia, is: "Yes, I'm for socialism, but Iam against all the rods leading to it.

The only read leading cut of the bureaucretic j ngle is that by the rank and file workers who, in their opposition to automation, have raised the decisive question; what kind of labor should man perform? Where they have not "slogenized" the demand for an end to the division of mental and manual labor, they have sue inotly expressed themeselves in their aspiration that week "completely different, and not separated from life itself." (9)

Anyone who today mouths phrases against bure ucresy sithout discussing the conerete attitudes of worker and intellected to Automation or the concrete question of S ats Capitalism is falling into the trap of totalitariantism leading up to the summit of Khrushchev and Eisenhower. The red gainst bureaucracy is not the invellectual road whether it is guilded as "Socialist", "Communist" or "Free Enterprise".

(1) Mills. C. Wright, "The Sociological Imagination", p.97. 919590
(2) My draft of "Marrian and State Capitalism," handed into the Oxford University Press.
(3) K. Marx : Speech at the Amiversary of the People's Paper. April 165 6
(4) Wiener, Norbert, "The Human Use of imman Peings", (1950), p. 189
(5) Article included in "Automatic Control"by the editor of Selentific America, 1955.

See also a ravies of "Automatic Technology", U.S. Dept. of Labor; "Automation:
Materialon zur Bourteilung der okonomise en und socialen Folgen" by Friedrich Policek 1956 and "Automation: Its Impact on Susiness and Labor" by John Diebold, May 1959.
(6) Arendt, Hannah, "The Human Condition", 1958
(7) Queted in My Marxism and Freedom, p. 268
(8) Philip, Andre, "France 's New Elite" in The New Leader, June 22, 1959
(9) Angels Terano in News & Letters, Detroit, Jan. 6, 1986. See also the chapter on "Automation and the New Humanism" in my "Marxism and Freedom".