

FORM FOR WL CHAPTER: THEN & NOW

1996 can't do  
fact

1982  
1906  
1907 Wk. Cong. - 15B  
1912 Suffrage  
1914 General  
1915  
1916  
1917  
1918

to follow chronologically RL 1902 to the outbreak of WWI and a little beyond, perhaps 1918. <sup>post-</sup> the WIM that was emerging in WWII, whether from an intellectual like Simone de Beauvoir, or the Black women workers who came from the South, ~~that~~ who were not heard, but I tell the incident with them.

Transition point to mid-1960s. What has to be stressed here is that the Marxists of all varieties except ourselves were using the fact that the German movement had been both a ~~mass~~ mass movement and mainly proletarian, against the newly emerging, seemingly ~~middle-class~~ middle-class, <sup>WIM</sup> in the U.S. What they failed to see ~~was~~ was that one great dimension--the Black, which seemed to be totally absent in the European movement--was not only present here, but it is the precise characteristic that at once reconnected it with the 1st WIM (1848), which likewise ~~was~~ not only arose <sup>from</sup> and was related to the Black dimension, but the latter had actually preceded it. Q Maria Stewart and relate it to Nat Turner. P That is not

11/16/1999  
38  
of 1915

Richard P.  
1982/2/24

Now, the short-minded men who called themselves Marxist of our era were ~~not~~ totally bereft of Marx's own philosophy, thereby not only confusing Marx and Engels as one and not only knowing nothing at all about the EN, but seeming to know next to nothing of <sup>the</sup> open, actual history of Marx that was ~~the~~ very much part of the movement. What I'm referring to is even if one of the periods, 1844, was unknown, <sup>for</sup> 1848, GL, certainly was not unknown, and there Marx spoke not only about equality, but about the need to destroy the family as totally bourgeois.

2ndly, there was the magnificent First International of which we never stop hearing, and yet we likewise did not know that Marx had not only been very active in so-called recruiting women, but had seen that they <sup>had</sup> ~~were~~ full leadership responsibility. Madame Harriet Law, who was a member of the General Council and an editor. of... was ~~the~~ very one who published Marx in various periods when all others refused. <sup>Verd</sup> Furthermore, just before the Paris Commune, Marx not only sent a young Russian woman, to organize a women's section of the International in Paris, but again the relationship was not ~~only~~ only organizational and not only French, but theoretical and Russian, that is, she gave him a lot of information on Russian agriculture which she was then studying.

4thly, again, if even we did not know the EN, there was absolutely no way not to know the preface to the Russian edition to the Communist Manifesto and the correspondence with Vera Zasulich. ~~3/2/82~~

It goes here and

So the question is, how the heck did all these Marxists have so absolutely bourgeois a conception of the so-called role of women, and how could it persist so long that when we come to the mid-1960s when it is already a mass movement in the U.S. and in the world, we still get these absolutely

