Oct 18, 1980

To Olga, Eugene, Mike

Doar Colleagues:

Of God: Since I know no being to appeal to, I am
compelled to appeal to a non-being. As the result of the walk
from which I just returned the complications I tried explaining to
Olga in the morning about that wishing to limit Marx to a chapter
and therefore may call Ch.8, Part II, what I am now thinking
about would make it very nearly a whole book. What to do? Here

It is true that Rose Luxemburg remains the subject not alone in herself, but as the one that makes it possible to prove that it is not either revisionists or state-capitalists and Trotskyists who have not understood Marx, but revolutionaries and trotskyists who have not understood Marx, but revolutionaries as starling as AL, and not by merely so declaring it, but showing her reviews of Early Marx (not 1844) but plenty great which beam not at alle, and Theories of Surplus Value) — AND DRGANIZATION same philosophy makes no sense; spontaneity is not solution to vanguardism. But, but but—

Even Lenin, the only one who reorganized himself, neither reorganized others, more saw the totality of Marx, and left us bound with the one thing he did not reorganize himself on Party. But is that the answer--just showing Organization?

As I think of it, trying hard to keep from writing new book--TOTALLY IMPOSSIBLE FROM EVERY POINT OF VIEW, there would be 3 chapters to Pert II--From A Critic of Hegel to Author of CAPITAL, AND THEORIST OF PERMANENT REVOLUTION:

Ch.1--Merx Discovers A New Continent of Thought and Revolution, 1841-1851

Ch.2--From the Grundrisse to CAPITAL and the Critique of the Gotha Program

Ch.3--Mark's Unknown Ethnological Notebooks. Uncad Drafts of Letter to Zasulitch and Indigested 1882 Preface to the Russian Edition of THE COMMUNIST MANIFESTO

How I will ever got through it, and finish the whole draft by end of year I don't know, but it must be done for no one, ABSOLUTELY NO ONE HAS EVER TRULY GRAPPLED WITH THAT MAN'S REVOLUTIONARY PHILOSOPHIC VISION OF A NEW WORLD.

which reminds me of little things that aren't little at all-Indexes, Archives that have no Behinning and No End. Library. To begin with, will some one find me 3 quotations so I need not reach in a way that gets me off to still another realm? I'm sich & tired of how all. HM incouded) credit Fourbach as if Mark & My had same idea of him? Whon, where did Mark say-afrom the start, I mean-I disagree Fourbach Spends too much take on Nature, not enough on politics? Where did he tell Engels: rereading some of the material our superadmiration for Fourbach sounds strange? And where and when did he also tell Engels: it's pecular how they keep talking of us as if we were one?

14604