

in ONE conceptual framework

IN CM IN SOCIOECONOMICS by Jos.A.Schuster

WE mention in 1889 preface that each moved toward CM positions for
"some yrs before 1845" But when I again met Mx at Brussels in spring 1845,
he had it already laid it out & put it before me in terms almost as clear as those
which I have stated it here. (p.5 of Fauer coll.) (NB 1st of April 1889 MX TO FE writes
that he has reread Holy Family & found nothing to "be ashamed of."

Moreover JS correctly notes that in those years Engels was certainly further
along as an economist.

One Conceptual Framework for all 1845

1. Theory of His
p.205 It is an essential feature of the Marxist system that it treats the social
process as an (analytically) INDIVISIBLE WHOLE & uses only ONE CONCEPTUAL
scheme in all its parts...social class...in a living,feeling,acting...IS ALSO
THE CLASS OF HIS ECO.THEORY

p.205 If Engels was right THE BIRTH OF ECO.INTERPRETATION OF HIS-DATES FROM 1844
+ a MS in Preface to the critique says he considered it the leading thread
in my studies."

"In the 2nd place, it must not be forgotten that Mx (despite
reected as he was in...in the Ger.) had an enemy to fight & an obstacle to
overcome that barred the way toward an acceptable THEORY OF HIS...the doctins
say "the general progress of the human mind" that made a purely intellectual
PROCESS THE CAUSALLY IMPERFECT max ind.variable in social his...
The eco.interpretation had done nothing else except to beat back this kind of
thing by compensatory overemphasis of "obj." eco.conditions, it would, because
of this merit alone, have to stand high in the his.of eco...."

2. Theory of Class

p.206 Nevertheless, Marxists are right in claiming that the sentence
(his.of all society is his.of class struggles) contains the
principle of a theory of the social process that was novel SPECIFICALLY MARXIST
in the same sense AS was the eco.interpretation.

ftn16 Even the significant terms "class culture"
culture occurs in Manifesto; this part of Mx's ideology or analysis
was so I believe fully developed (NEVER TO BE ALTERED) by 1847 (against Pr.)

ORONO! p.207 But Mx weakened his eco.theory of the class structure still
further in order to fulfill his ideological desire to
come out with the great battle array bet.bourgeoisie & prol...."

(rd-class relations as "pivots of his THEORY OF HIS"; it is they which, in his
system, implement the eco.interpretation of his.
p.208 In other words, the idea of making social classes & relations bet.them
the pivots of the his.process & the conception of class culture &
ohho! so on MIGHT prove analytically verifiable even if we refuse to accept
Mx's particular theory of social classes!"

ftn.p.209: I believe that most historians will agree that it would be difficult
to improve Mx's statements within the same space."