

WL New Left Review, #66 March-April, 1971

Theories of Women's Liberation Branka Magas

This is a rather comprehensive summation of WL theories in the form of a review of three books--The Female Eunuch, by Germaine Greer, Patriarchal Attitudes, by Eva Figes, and Sexual Politics by Kate Millett, and Sodality of Sex by Shramamith Kinkarone (translated to English).

The difference in the title of the article as it appears on the cover (above) and what appears inside--Sex Politics: Class Politics--tells a story in itself, since it is clear that the woman, Magas, still suffers from doing something which may not be "correct class politics", though she herself certainly diverts, as a good NLR editor, to the horrible structuralism of Althusser and his "love", Freud.

You nevertheless can trace the development of WL from as far back as 1790 when Mary Wollstonecraft defended the French Revolution against Burke and in 1792 wrote "A Vindication of the Rights of Women". She also quotes from August Bebel's work on "Women and Socialism" who had an absolutely beautiful quotation from Olympe de Gouges who in 1791 in her manifesto of the Rights of Women, said: "If women have the right to mount the scaffold, they have the right to mount the tribune."

On the other hand, she does not understand women as reason, specifically Black reason, specifically in the Abolitionist movement. Even though I was glad to see her expose of racism in some of the white middle class women in that movement, she sure doesn't know what conclusions, other than class--that is to say, to show that the Black women certainly had not only a correct but an actually "higher status" than any of the white women or men who headed the movement. She does quote people like Lucy Stone, wife of Henry B. Blackwell and another spokesman, Francis Power Cobbe, who dared declare in arguing for certain types of women's suffrage: "The difference, my, rather the contrast should be insisted on between proposals to admit ~~men~~ of population to franchise, and those to admit mothers, daughters and sisters of those who already exercise it."

11/11: On the Subjective of Women
Betty Friedan : The Feminine Mystique

W.N.A.C. (C.P.)
Korean Feminist
R.S.W.S.

14431

The centerpoint of the article, however, is the modern development and in there she sees only the failure to link sexual with class politics. And the truth of the matter is that Simone de Beauvoir in the with her Second Sex had a sort of class analysis and to this day considers it "revolutionary" as the main ideological basis for revolution and yet that is the most male-chauvinistic basis of any of the WL books except Capital, Materialism or Marxism. The New Femininity by Alice Becker. But the truth is that her book, For all the "revolution" and for individual freedom to women, and the openly anti-feminist especially, ended by saying it was male politics. And here it is the whole of the 60's who took matters in their own hands, and actually not only forgive Beauvoir who openly in its "that one sentence" she was wrong and don't even bother to correct that one sentence.

There is altogether too much in the analysis which instead of relating the particular book to the historical period or the to even more important in this case, relating the development of the ideas on WL to simply talk on the basis of the actual books of others. For example, a great deal of time is taken up between contrasting two works of Rousseau—"Emile" and "Social Contract"—by showing how a feminine author would counterpose the one to the other and yet fails to say its material same man. The truth of the matter is that the French Revolution ended materially on even a lower level than had been achieved materially by not only deriving their political right but actually closing salons and women's clubs (p. 70).

The whole point is that despite all the talk about history and method she sees their lack only in other writers but not in her own.

Our history is limited by Sturz und Aufstand
which means Suffrage
She defends Freud against women's attacks on him as totally sexist, not so much by pointing out what evolution Freud had wrought but simply by sticking to Althusser's analysis of Freud. (p. 20) She is good however in contrasting how Marx exposed John Stuart Mill which the women who are now overplaying Mill's concepts are not at all realizing that all his being for women, it was the middle class woman he had in mind.

Her first article (1) "Woman as Noble Savage" (pp. 70-72) (2) "Rebellion and Revolution" (pp 73-4)
this analysis extends 6 more pages on Rousseau and only 1 page on men in that period)

(3) "The Suffragette Movement and Its Heritage" (pp. 75-90)
With this conclusion ending simply on the fact that the WL poses difficult theoretical and practical problems for Marxists whereon the two comments that follow by men--not in Blackburn and Lucien Key--take over "the answer".