

the leadership,
 Indeed, her conviction of the need of/the party, equally
 with the spontaneity of the proletariat, that it would bring her a
 step backward, that is to say, she would not draw the conclusion
 that she should therefore break with the party, but on the contrary
 maintained the old shibboleth that nothing stands above the "unity
 of the party." Once she makes the general strike not only the des-
 cription of what happened in Russia and culminated in revolution,
 but a universal especially applicable to the new stage of mass strikes
 in Germany, she writes, "What Next?" It is here that she includes
 not only material on the mass strike, but the agitation for a Re-
 public which causes Kautsky, who is still considered the leading
 theoretician of the whole International, to refuse to publish the
 article. ~~She also writes that~~ Roca decides to publish it outside
 the Neue Zeitung, and is the real reason for the break with Kautsky
 although formally her "lack of discipline" is ~~also~~ directly related to
 the fact that she had made public some "personal" letters.

abstract Here, the whole male chauvinist attack on Rosa reaches
 its malodorous depths. Nor is it only the more or less recognized
 male chauvinism of Adler, for Bebel too by this time speaks of
 "the poisonous bitch will yet do a lot of damage, all the more she's
 as clever as a monkey" (JPN p.432). The fact that this appears in
 "personal" letters, and that no one dared to bring it into the open
 and RL who certainly knew about them, considered it beneath her to
 answer them, much less bring them into the open and make a category,
 does not mean

For that matter, she was as quiet about the
 prevalent anti-Semitism.

→ "When help is needed,
 call the Jew..."

14362