COMRADE AND LOVER, RL's Letters to LJ; edited and translated by Elzbieta Ettinger, MIT Press, Cambridge, 1979/

(2) The letter which Ettinger refers to as if it were proof of the fact that he always read and "revised" her work jumps all the way to 1912, and thosegalleys which RL sent him of Acc. of Capital, are certainly not anything she would accept anyone's "revision" about, much less Jogiches.

What is more than just annoying in this kind of ftning, and the choice of the personal life of Luxemburg as her concentration point, is that because we do not see from these letters HL as a theorist, as a great writer, and as very, very independent, the impression is left that Jogiches really not only dominated her life as "comrade and lover" but indeed remained the man behind the little woman's work.

About the only thing I found quite valuable and wish I could trace it through is that in her introduction, where she speaks about the general atmosphere in Poland among the educated assimilated Jews, who supported the cause of Polish independence, which was characteristic of RL's father, she says (xxv) that the [863 insurrection was "sometimes called the "women's war" and "women proved to be skilled conspirators and kan a "comrades in arms... They revealed themselves as a power to be reckoned with."

In further elab rating the question of the role of women, beginning with "the first mash strike in warsaw grupted after women laborers and prostitutes had been ordered by the franks police to undergo identical, hygienic checkupe". The also shows that in literature the protagonist of a Tamous nevel by Ediza Orzeszkowa, published in (1877) " as distinguished from such famous characters as Mme Bovary on the one hand and Anna Karmina on the other, who were romantic tragedians, "paid with her life for being useless in the labor market."

The divisions of the book likewise do not seem to have any real logic. Thus, the first chapter called "The First Years: 1893-1897", has only one "female" characteristic (my quotes) when she quotes the 7/16/1897, as saying: I just don't want you to take my tears as female hysteria."

The second chapter is called "The Trial: 1898-1900".

This period contains that magnificent letter (No. 24 by this editor) of April 19, 1899, where RL declares that she feels something great coming on, and I do like the fact that she includes one sentence that I didn't see in the other translations: "Lett to your heart's content I don't care. I'm convinced that something is stirring inside me, something is being born." (p. 76)

The third chapter is titled. Together: 1900 - 1906".

In her introductory notes to that part, Ettingersays, correctly, but rather in the wrong period, since it's 1904 and on the very article against Lening Organizational Question of Russian Social Democracy": "One of the first on the European scenar to recognize Lenin's political genius, she was a supporter and at the same time a critic of the Bolsheviks." (p. 110) And since she would have to show a better proof of this than the 1904 piece, the jumps to 1912 when in a letter to K. Zetkin, RL writes of Lening Lenin came yesterday and called on me four times in two days. It's a pleasure to talk to him. He is sophisticated and knowledgeable, with that kind of ugly face I like so much to look at..."

On p. 142, RL's letter of May 21, 1905 reveals what I couldn't figure out as to why so many things are referred to as "What Next?" RL explains that she's absolutely opposed to Jogiches publishing it as a separate pamphlet. It must be a publication which has the "format" of "What Next?": "Therefore, I categorically insist that the format of "What's Next?" stays unchanged. Tonly give in on the title. Let's call the publication: From the Revolutionary Era, subtitled What Next?" No.2." She follows this up on May 26, all furious about the way it was published: The printing of What Next? is an idiot's dream."

The final part is entitled 'Unto Death... 1907-1914" but since that is not when RL is murdered and since the letters and in 1914, she writes an epologue which tells you that she was murdered on Jan.15, 1919, and that he was assessinated within 2 months, and she doesn't even tell you that it took quite a few months before her corpse, completely deformed, surfaced.