FI, June 1940 , "Once Again, Lenin and Luxemburg" by Walter Held

" A Word about the Biographer" (This is a critique of Froelich's RL, the German edition published in France 1939. It is an attack on PF.)

"Is There a Decisive Contrast between VIL and RL" : There is a feetnete Which refers to VIL's "12 Years" and tries to play down any difference VIL in troduced into his "What Is To Be Done".

"RL's Theory of Sponteneity", in which he claims that she HMKK's "did confine the tasks of the party to agitation and propaganda. The rest she left to the masses. As against the VIL formulation of the tasks — organize the revolution — she stands much close to the Menshevik slogan (the slogan of the revolutionary Mensheviks of 1905, not that of the government working of 1917) — Welson the revolution " ment Mensheviks of 1 917) - Unlessh the revolution. "

"The Spartacus Uprising": "Rosa's political mistake of Jan. 1919,] so catastrophic for the German Movament".

He blames her for the defeat even

though whom he quoted is Froelich not RL.

P. 50: "Spirtacus, on the other hand, in accordance with its conception, disclaimed the task of controlling and leading the mass movement; fell victim to revolutionary dilettantism of the left GBBB leaders of the LMH Ledebour type ..."

"RL's Theory of Acc. of Capital."

Inadequate Inadequate RL's Theory of Acc. the Basis of her Assonception of the

14309

Con They ran for for most nely for Mother when some per for the form of the fo