To the College NEB

Dear Bess, Eugene, Allen; Richard, Otis: (copy to organizers)

IT is finished! IT has been mailed off to the publishers! IT is time for us to become fully aware, now that the work is finished, of the uniqueness, originality, and difficulty of Philosophy and Revolution. It can under no circumstances be treated as if this was the week that was. It must under no circumstances be considered as the waiting period for it to be published. It must under all circumstances be recognized as not only this is the decade that is, but that this period between submission and publication is not a period of waiting, but a period of projection as well as internalization.

Let's begin with internalization. The reason I am addressing you three is that you must listen to the tape, not together with locals as a whole, but separately. There are times when leadership must act like leaders, and especially so when this is not a question of giving a line, but internalizing a historic transition point. By historic, as you will see from the tame of the REB presentation, we mean not only -- and that would be a very, very great leap if even it were only a "not only" -- an attempt to fill the theoretic void since Lenin's death. It is something that is built on the heritage they left, but it is also "an assignment" that they gave us that they themselves did not carry out. With this, we feel we have carried out their assignment; history will be the final judge; or more precisely, if we make the history in our lifetime, that will be the realization of that philosophy which the young Marx defined as the idea of freedom becoming a reality on the basis that the needs have already been fulfilled, and the human power first then begins to be the end in itself.

Perhaps I should specify even more concretely the assignment: 1- in 1844, Hark stopped at a paragraph in the Philosophy of Mind. Hegel had just finished defining the Absolute, and Mark intended to "expose him". Since historical materialism that he had then discovered was something altogether too great to turn into an appendage of the arguments he was carrying on with Hegel, he cut off and proceded with all the works we know. However, it constantly bothered him, and he kept repeating in the letters to Engels; that is sometime he found he would like to put "in rational form" Hegel's contribution. As so much else that his heirs never understood, always violated and forever disregarded, the German Social Democracy, at best, interpreted that to mean "popularization". And they didn't even do that. But if they had done it, we would have had something else to live down, so it's as well, perhaps, that they hadn't. I've surely always been happy that Lenin did not know the 1844 Essays, or at least did not know the section that would have inhibited him, and that is that Hegel's indication that the next book would be on Nature was the proof that liegel was an idealist, had first to go to the "outside" (Hegel always defined Nature as exteriority.) after he had already supposedly reached the height of the Absolute -- Absolute Knowledge in the <u>Phenomenology</u> and the Absolute Idea in the <u>Science of Logic</u>. In a word, Marx took Hegel's word at face value, and of course argued against the idea of not starting with reality, with what is, but instead making World Spirit and the Absolute Idea"manifest itself" in reality. And Marx was talking down to Hegel, telling him that any child would know that first there is Nature, first there is life, first there is mama and papa and world, and then comes the Idea.

Lenin, on the other hand, since he wasn't breaking with Hegel, but first getting to know him, and since the people that he was breaking with were the "Marxist" leaders who had just betrayed, welcomed Hegel's "going to Nature" after he had finished the Absolute Idea, interpreting that to mean that Hegel was stretching out his hand to materialism. It isn't that Lenin didn't know that Hegel said the Idea comes first and then manifests itself, etc., etc., it is

14140

Feb. 1, 1972 - pega 2

that be simply paid no extention to it. To him, what was important was that the enverant from the lifes included practice, "ended" with practice, and that therefore regardless of what Hagel meant consciously to do, the objectivity of what he did, ectually showed that the elements of historical materialisa were in Regal, Ur, as he put it, "a guess of a genius."

Therefore, to him, what was important was to go from there seembers else. And the "apprehere else" was that XXXXX the dislectic should be carried through, or sother into science, technique, and history of thought. It's the history of thought, we carried through.

Eq. by analyzing all of Megal's works (it is all, toccurs the others that I do not gonelder are inctures, which carry out and converine the ideas of the Franciscopy, the Science of Lagia and Fallesophy of Mind.) . following that through with the alternative, we have estually reached our own period by "knowing" everything in throught that Hagel ground up that proposed him; Megal's great revolution in thought; Mern's, Lenin's; and than Laging Existentialism as Marnist-Generalize of the epoch in which the casees from below with their new passions and force had began a new newscore EM to thempy.

Not only have no Marrista over excelered the three final syllogisms of Margel in the Philosophy of Mind, but whither have many of the academinisms until most recently. There are vary, very few in English; none of them are good; those is one in German that is far superior to anyone clas, but it remains a theodicy. Which is exactly what Regal did not do.

The three syllogisms that you must study by heart, over and over and over again will be empleised in detail on the tope, and I will here merely list thom:

. First Syllogiant LEGIC -- NATURE -- HIND

Second Syllogisat MARURE -- MIND -- LOGIC -

Third Syllogical SELF-THIREIRG IDEA in above two monifescations

(Philosophical MEDIATION &s, at one and the same time, OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE METHED)

The first syllogism seems mapply to list the order in which the books were written by Negal, but in fact this is the very one EMMS which, way back in 1953, I had stated meant that there was a movement from progries to theory, as well as vice parso. Outside of the maturity of the ago, and that we ware on the was of the June 17 revolt in Mast Germany, the respon I draw that conclusion was due to the fact that the middle term is always the crucial one in Negal; it is the middle out of which the whole is formed; it's the mediation; it is the method; it is the process; it is at one and the same time objective and subjective. Therefore, I concluded that if nature = practice can turn either back to Logic or forward to mind it means that we have resulted the age that where, instead of being burdened down with the weld in the movement since Lenin's death, we should look forward to consthing existing from practic.

The second syllogism, the mediating force, is Mind INEE itself, and that means not only theory, but philosophy, and means a great deal mose than just the movement from theory to practice that would aim to unite with the movement from practice, because it's an actual philosophy of history and history of philosophy. And I question whether that didn't actually also take in the Phanomenology of Mind, which Mark had always considered the birth of the dislectic and Hegel's greatest

14141

Feb. 1, 1972 - page 3

work. Clearly it was not just phanomens, but the rejence, the philosophy of phanomens. So that the enveriences of constituences, through all its stages of clienation, ended with an absolute that was so absolute that it even killed off God! "the Golgothe of the SpiritYEXX(That's how GKI Regal managed to put philosophy absed of religion.)

The third syllogion is whet moterialists have always distrusted, and that theologians were very happy to most, because Megal thereby supposedly returned to the Abelute Spirit. But if that had been so, her explain the self-thinking of the Ides, which ever since the Spirice of Legis, he had defined as self-development, the Subject?

Well, I presume you can now listen to the taps, and know the you must listen, think, have a dislogue with your esploaders (it includes erganisar) before you throw it so the rank-and-file. It isn't that the rank-and-file may not by throughout libraries listen to it before the local discussion, but the provision must be that if they do so, they cannot, and I man not, discuss it. This is mathing you talk about off the top of your books. This is something that requires tou-fold "enricusesse, patience, suffering, labor of the asgetive", The practice of the second magativity.

At the same time, even of the time we are ready to discuss it, this ign't anything we "vote" for. It is something which makes us realize the great advantage we have to have these months (year) before publication, when we have to face the messes with it. It is namething that makes us see how such more there is then there appears to be to that last part, especially the last chapter, of falloward and Revolution, where we would all be suisming so electedly with all other new passions and now forces that us sever keep tefore, from whom we have elicited me might, and who will recognize themselves in us.

The teps ins bean seat to L.A., to share with S.F. Another tope will first be beard in Detroit, and them seat to Connecticut and How York. By that time it will be Spring and I will be there. Indeed, I will be in New York very soon to KMM start having alsopless nights about publication. I will probably be there the last week in Fobruary can first week in March. Have a great time with the tapon,

Yours,

RAYA