Alla phose some To the KEB

Jan. 30, 1967

Dear Colleagues:

Ind like to consult you on a little theoretical problem. After much "labor, seriousness, patience and suffering of the negative" I have completed the first and most difficult chapter of the entire book, "Hegel's Absolutes As how Baginnings." I need a dialogue with the members on it, and much of it will have to be read to them; no matter now difficult the language there counds, we aust break into the conspiracy of silence against the masses having ontry into that "alicuated language" that nevertheless has semething most vital to say.

And I'm sorry to say that by conspiracy of silence I include also Marxists. any case, it is a must with us, that no problem, and no stage of development is to be kept from the rank and file.

Therefore, we must make sure that some day-not a workday- they have hours for concentration with me, and I would like to propose that in every place I visit this spring, a Sundry, or most of it, is set aside for this.

Meanwhile I have asked IA to make themselves responsible tor typing a copy for each lecel. And I would like to ask MI to do the same for Chapter 2, one-third of which is ready now, and if Dick will respond that it's o.k. he or Hiko or whoever will type, I'll begin forwarding it to you at once. This is a such easier chapter for, although still on Hogel, it is Herz on Hogel, and I oven hope that efter this chapter is digested, the friends will finally be able to read Herx hisself in these Early Essays which contain the jaw-breaking Critique of the Hegelian Dislectic.

Now this is enother problem, if NY types a set of copies of this chapter-and it should all be typed no later than the end of February se that each local can have the whole month of March to study or reed or what not, but I think it would be wrong if the local did it as a local without my being present. Therefore the menth for study is for each individual to come to the organiser and read it there for himself.

The important point is that, however it is handled before I come, we knye a full day conference when I come on just the problem of the book, with say a morning session for Hegel and an efternoon session on Harx. I am anxious for sarious discussion, and I do not care whether any outsiders are involved or net. It definitely is not a public meeting, but if friends wish to invite cless sympthisers, or if they know philosophers who would be willing to attend, and listen, then I in turn will be most anxious to listen to them as well, provided only it is not an interruption of each paragraph as if we were making debaters' points. The newsment of the whole has to be followed, and the reasons for grappling with this must be understood by any invited to participate.

The whole of this part is to be called Why Hegal? Why Now! It has three parts: 1) Hagel's Absolutes as Beginnings.

2) Marx's Transcendence of and Return to Hegel: a) The 1840s; from A Critic of Hegel to Author of The Communist Manifesto. b) The 1850s: The "Economist"

when the masses are not in motion

c)The 1860s and 1870s: Capital, the Paris Commune, and still hegel 3) Lanin's Ambivalence and Shock of Recognition of Hegel. I do not know whether I will be able to get to Chapter 3 because I'm not quite as incommunicado as I would like to be; there is always something on that objective situation, and Mao is so busy creating his own "civil war" that it may make it impossible for me to finish my minimum assignment for these 2 months. But in any case there will be plenty for the friends to study and discuss with me, so let me hear from you, or rather let Olga know, whether you can arrange for one full day 13949 theoretical conference when I see you in Spring. Yours, Raya