RES MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 29, 1964 (Special Mtg. on Philosophic Problems of the New Book)

Present: All

Roya said that, besides the form of presentation being different here and since we first "broke" the Absolute Ideo; II- mention one totally new thing of which whe is not yet sure since she ben only now worked it out, and that is placing Trotokyism philosophically, and not just politically:

I- the breakthrough in '53 meant, chiefly, two things: a-that there was a movement from practice to theory as well as vice varca, and b-the Regelica expression: the self-determination in which alone the Idea is, is to hear itself speak," as applied to listening both to workers, and the masses from the underdeveloped countries. These two elements were the foundation for the structure of NAF in 1936-57. However, as contracted to the period after Stalin's death, but before the June 17 Kest German revolt, the book written after the Sungarian Revolution, but before the African year, had a central new point, that in to say the Eucenism of Harvison. However, when we upoke about going to the concrete, not as a historic movement from practice, but as the actual, we left it quite loose, that is to say, we sentioned elements like the Little Rock and the wildcats and the need for a unity of theory and practice, but that's all by was a challenge we hoped would be set, but none others, least of all American intellectuals, ran to meet the challenge.

In 1960-61 we did make several concretizations, in the pamphlets, on the Afre-Arian Revin, and on the Freedem Ridss, as well as Workers Bettle Automation. Movever, when philosophically we tried to do the entire concentration of the Absolute Idea Chapter on the single paragraph which dealt with overcoming the opposition between Motion and Reality remiding in "subjectivity alone" , and undertook the African trip to, so to apack, find the new subject, we found that reality was much more complex and that there were negative as well as posstive developments in Africa especially insofar as the pull of the state-capitalist world was concerned. (In this case negative is used in the ordinary sense, and not in the sense of the strictly Hegelian concapt of magativity, as the most revolutionary factor for negating the status quo.) Now with the new developments in Japan in 1962, where relations were established and the transfer tion of Mar into Japanese was bugun, we began looking for the new relations, or more correctly new stage of relationship between theory and practice, not morely in the underdeveloped countries, but in the developed countries such as Japan, especially since this had the added advantage of (a) being East instead of West, (b) being colored instead of white, (c) they on their own having come to lock at the philasophica Scundationsof Marxism, and not just the aconomic-political, and finally (d) the fact that the Negro G.I. had a certain experionce in Japan that would in turn bely us in developing all the ramifications of the Negro It was the year, moreover, of another type of congretization, the ACOT.

II- Trotskyism, not only as we desit with it in one of the manuscripts of Trotsky as Theoretices, but as it appeared to the Japanese friends, who found themselves suddenly confronted with the fact that their bresksway from Communism, and other Zengskuren breaksway from Communism but without having resched the state-capitalist position, was suddenly being challenged by the Trotskyists who tried to win leadership of all the Laft. It is this which sent mu to what I said was totally new, and that is placing L.T. philosophically on the plane of Jacobi as Hegel analyzes it in the Third Attitude to Objectivity in the Encyclopedia. At this mament I'm ready only to refer you to the paragraphs; 63, and 77. (Rays setually went into a great deal more, but since the did not feel she had worked it out sufficiently to be pinned down to that framework, we are not recording it here.)

With both these in mind, we must look at the Absolute Idea not as an asoluted chapter, but as a part of the entire Doctrine of the Notion. And in this respect, INDEX its best expression remains the manner in which I wrote it up, especially pp. 3 and 4 to 184, Oct. 27, 1964. She read this and expanded on some of the ideas.

Discussion: Olga said the was glad to see the development of why we have to attack TrotakyQ ism philosophically, since she really failed to see why it was necessary to do so politically. If it were based on influence, then it would be more important to take a chapter out on Sartre, who does influence the youth not only of France, but here as well. She said she had reread the various outlines of the major works of Hagel that R/ys had done in 1960-61, and

also the actual chapter which was called fart IV ME -"The Distortic of Liberation" which Rays had done at the time. In one respect it is fantastic, and we would have to black ourselves for it, that as far back as 61 she had written actual chapters of the book, whereas now the is back to correspondence. Moreover, after listing all that we had done in this period, she realised it could not be only a subjective reasons and that objectively therefore, the reason for not proceeding with the book would have been everything from the Cube exists through the appearance of Japan. I'm not ours I understand all the questions now raised with the Dectrize of the Notice -- I have trouble even keeping straight all the estagorise, perticularly the Universal, Particular and Individual. Mossver, the series of discussions the RES had in 61 does make clear the major points that concern us in the new books 1-subjectivity sharkshing objectivity; 2- discontinuous development especially as it related to the underdoveleped countries; 3- "its own other" both as it appears subjectively i.e. groupings, end so we see it in Capital by the new view we have of the chapter of Primitive Accumulation of Capital. What state-captealism was in the 1940's, the Absolute ides in 1953, Susceive in 1957, we now approach the new beginnings which makes me aspecially excited because I semester that it was only 6 weeks after the Absolute Idea was tackled by Rays that the East Germin Revolt encurred, so I'm really looking forward to great things, but I have a lot of questions to sake. ( She raised the question of second negation, of the etage in which the direct action povement of the N-gro revolution is truly at the cooperant now, and the quaction of both the categories in the Notion, and those in Capital.)

Each attended the importance of analyzing something even when it's only a footnote to history as Trotskyism is. For example, the Blanquists and Proudhonists of liern's time are just that, and yet Mark spends a great deal of time on it. The same thing is true of Tretsky, who kept repeating the question of the Purmanent Rav'n as a statement of faith that the proletarist would reorganize society, rather than as a theory which would constantly become enriched by the actual events. He questioned, however, whether the movement of curselves between 60 - 63, and now could philosophically mean a step back since we desit in the earlier period unimaged with the A.I istelf whereas now we are going back to the Doctrine of the Hotien. He said that in one respect he velcomed everything, and especially so placing L.T. philosophically with Jacobi as the næded confrontation with our son role. The whole question that Rays raised about the fact that by the time the book would be finished, no less than half a century would have elapsed since the Ruesian Ray'n which by no means can be considered a transitory moment, is central. Alsop the need to ensure the who thinks that "in absence of demonstrable agents" there is no way that theory and practice can meet. We think the exact opposite.

John pointed to the fast that only one single theoreticals position over became dominant in the Trotskyist movement, and that was socialism in one country. There was a baif-bearted attempt, at the time offighting Burnham to raise the question of dislectical materialism, but surely the vey Cannon carried this tohough was not very serious. In fact, what Trotsky said about the social senoracy, really characterises him that all politics has been reduced to the "assall coin of concrete questions". This close shows how very different we are from Trotskysism and how necessary at the wame time it is to have done with it in the way that others understand this.

Denby stressed two elements that were occurring in his shop that he felt had a great deal to do with philocophy, though he could by no means state it in Hegeldan dategories. These are the attitude of the workers that there will never be an end to the crisis of the Congo so long as Seigium is not thrown out of there root and branch. The other is that the Regroes in the shop -- many, many new ones were hired -- simply disregorded the octablished union Reutherite leadership, ancluding its Uncles Toman, and opposed them on the vote for stewards, winning in each case quite handily. Both these things mean that they are ruising quite independent questions, having independent attitudes, and acting on these.

Johnny said that it's casy enough to understand Hegel when you read Rays on Hegel. Unfortunately once he gets away from that he doesn't find that he can handle these categorées in the way that would make things clearer, lighter for the proletariat or himself. The question therefore of why philosophy has to be dealt with from many different aspects until ME finally 45 it appears as necessary to the masses as it is to us.

13908

REB Hove 29, 1964 Dags 3

Loss raised two questions. One whether we could do something actually movement by diverting it to simple political questions. She felt that if we had had farther disaussionsel the section in ACOT on thy imperialism needed racing, we might be able to work this out now. It just sert of seared her when she can everything a movement there seems to be a question about whether we can help change the course of history. The second question related to the African trip and our analysis ofthe one-party state. But she does feel the urgancy of the enlty for theory and practice now, to such an extent that she wents to know our mile in the stress on philosophy and need to have the masses Louise and these

Louise said that even though she does not have the philosophic language she does feel that we grasp the philosophic categoryls, oven where we have not yet learned the language. She said becaver that two questions concerned her most; one, the point that Eays relead at the local when digs presented the Perspective Report, and Royfoald that when the old categoris don't work, that's when you must return to philosophy to find new cates of Trotalyses and the third attitude to objectivity -- does that have a relationship to the categories that over not secondly, on the question matter related at the convention that correct politics are not enough, one has to have a

a lot more meeds to be done, and he would rather deal with that he does understand, for one thing the question of the power of reaction is so great in this country that we cant do two things at coope, slways look at the subjectivity of the messes, and not think that we can fill is can say such measure as objectivity absorbing subjectivity, instead of v.v. as if the measure could really suallow up the person, that makes all the more necessary for us to follow the class struggle in this country, expectally since Automation. The fragmentation reality does show the direction that this activity will take and that will help us in seeing that the categories or notion does correspond to a reality that is not outside the werking class. He felt also that a great dash of the snaver lies in the trip to Japan because in a country that has even more controsted with this same problems we are confronted with, sail activity of the masses and the problems confronting our people in Japan and in Scotland, then the philosophic answers will come to us in a way that will enrich our theory.

Rays made a short ensuer. However, because it is still all in the informal stage and she did not wish to give a "finished" look to this, it is not here recorded.

Good and Welfare.

Hearing convened at 6PM, Adjourned at 9 PM