dara 12, 1828

Dear H.M.

The absoluteness of my silence is not to be construed me, but only that the Absolute Idea has lost its grip on publisher is so small as almost to units with the politices to silence the works and thus burdening her with all the "promotional" work as well. But, outside of an appearance on TV hast week for I appear at Cooper Union. In any case I grasp what memerary luli there is in my tours and lectures to resume where I left off when

I will begin with what will not be contested. I believe: the dislectical relationship of subject and object in the process of history as the center of Hegel's Absolute Method) Or, to put it differently, the conception of reality as totality, the unity of inner and outer; the relationship between the whole and the parts which constitutes the nassare from existence to reality. But the real world, even when Hegel is the Prusclan philosopher Elevityin: the state as the combination of the ide al and the real, is not plated a republic with its "philosopher-Hings"; to Herel not even whintstian Hegel lets "Revealed Religion" play second fiddle to philosophy, so the state philosopher Hegel leaves state as "Objective Mind" remain on the doorsteps not in the inner sanctum of "Absolute

Mow Marx criticized Hegel for not having really surof subject and object; that his diclectic, no nore than Mant's,
could in its mystical shell be the actual, interior dialectic of the
could in its mystical shell be the actual, interior dialectic of the
the actualhistory of man. He insisted that under the circumitance
as content, the expression the restricted that under the circumitance
as content, the expression the restricted that alion can, the pullcosopher, and that in fact, it is always after the fact that
is "unconscious" and does through necessity what logic incomplimes
unconscious" and does through necessity what logic incomplimes
unconsciusly, "For in effect the absolute spirit does not become
and its making of history only exists in the consciousness, in the
opinion and representation of the philosophers, in the speculative
the making of his own history and his own thoughts then first will
self-knowledge and knowledge coincide, the proletariot being being
subject and object of knowledge and maker of history.

There is no argument with Mary's reterialism

There is no argument with Marx's Esterialism, but in the mature Earx separate his dislectics from his materialism, but in the young Earx, when the need of the hour was to free enecalf and the whole generation from mysticism, did underplay (because he did not know the early works?) Hegel's insights to "pooples" and not just consciousness and solf-consciousness, who receive the heritage of history as "natural principles" and "have mission of applying it." In any case, I am not here interested in what wax did or did not see (to that we will come later) but what

13723

our age can and must see and to which it has a contribution to make the

A STATE OF THE STA

in PHENOMENOLOGY; where he sums up the movement from Descarte's "I think therefore I am" through Spinoza's abstract in unity in Assubatance to Leibnitz's recoil from this abstraction to the Individuality of-may I add?—commercial, pre-1789 capitalism which Kamanitcipated and developed further after the French Revolution as abstract freedom, or Individual Will; all good men get together and work out contradictions according to a gueral will. Hogel continues with his rejection of the Absolutes of ther philosophies, Arm the millentum did not follow the French Revolution and we had Fichte's analysis of Reality as Ego, Schelling's would be void and empty intuition" (of which Hegel says "Bubstance by itself would be void and empty intuition") and Jacob's "reactionary" (my emphasis) recetablishment of Absolute as faith alone. To this Hegel adds "However, Spirit has shown itself to be noither mere withdrawal of self-consciousness into pure invardness nor mere absorption of self-consciousness into substance...Spirit to the movement of the self which empties (externalizes itself) and cap aubject..." Wegll, what does it accomplish "qua subject"?

(1)1t "wound up process of ombodiment": (2) History was born anew to combine with science of the ways in mich knowledge appears to combine with science of the ways in mich knowledge appears itself from the form of its self" which is supposed to be "the highest freedom and security of its knowledge of itself" does not make it as happy as the ending of PHENOMENCLOY would have it appear for it will reappear as Absolute Idea in Logic and Absolute Mind in the Encyclopeadia and there we will say, not the work of art with its "double-tontued equivocal character) of what they gave mind in the Encyclopeadia and there we will say, not the work of art with its "double-tontued equivocal character) of what they gave mind in the Encyclopeadia and there we will say, not the work of art with its "universalism, i.e., freedom itself;" (2) "Sasolute Kind as the actuality of Tradom! The philosopher f

With your indulgence, therefore, I wish to return Look at the real world of ours and spell out this movement from practice to theory for it is only there where we'll get the new insights,

"the new impulses" emerging from the objective movement and the maturity of our age which will compel us to make concrete what was only general to Marx): (1) the period of the 1930's-not of Hitler for I am consider not the development of counter-revolution but of revolution—the French Sit-Down Strikes, the American CIO, the Spanish Revolution all adding up to new forms of workers! control of production. That is to say, the climax in the Spanish Revolution and occupation of factories by workers showed the workers were moving from soviets or political control to actual management of production by themselves. (2) the period of the 1940's: National Resistance Novements, including Negro demonstrations, wartime and post-war general strikes, including GI movements for return home, ending in the flocking by the millions into the Communist Parties

All this signified, not "backwardness" or workers, but so the for new political form to work out both freedom from occupation and sconomic slavery; the fact that that "double-torgued" enemy—communism in Western Europe—won the allegiance is only one more manifestation that this is an age of absolutes, and that the manifestation is not only in the innerds of the revolution counter-revolution is not only in the innerds of the revolution but viv. And because the two are so tightly linked we had stalemate. Dut with the period of 1950's and Automation new grounds were laid for overcoming this total contradiction. Where state capitalised for overcoming this total contradiction. Where state capitalised for overcoming the study ruled over production, the question those where Communism actually ruled over production, the question of the new type of workers' revoltand the return to Mark's theories of allenation. Automation made it concrete, evoking the theories of allenation, Automation made it concrete, evoking the question; What Kind of Labor Should Man Perform? If that was a question; what Kind of Labor Should Man Perform? If that was a miner, it began to be heard 3 years later during recossion, and, above all, that year it was united with the cry for political freedom out of totalitarianism in the East German Revolt.

theoreticians until they had broken through on that Absolute Idea and absolute freedom in the manner in which Marx broke through and absolute freedom in the manner in which Marx broke through the mystical shell, and in the concrete manner Lenin, confronted the mystical shell, and in the concrete manner Lenin, confronted with "transofraction into opposite" made his own the marking the imperialist war into a civil war. But, formation with "Turn the imperialist war into a civil war. But, no, the Kantian ought remained exactly as abstract as Marx had not it—and no Markist would move to make the abolition of division of mental and manual as concrete for our age as Marx had made of mental and manual as concrete for our age as Marx had mede "the general absolute law" of capitalism concretely mean for the movement the mobilization of "the new pagsions and new forces" movement the mobilization of "the new pagsions and new forces" for the establishment of the new society. The greatest deterrent for the establishment of the new society. The greatest deterrent to the indispensability of the theoretician is the theoretician to the indispensability of the theoretician is the theoretician and from "war quilt" to psychoanalysis—anything, anything at all to avoid the respondibility of the Harxist theoretician to be where the workers are.

For anyone bound for "adventures of the Hegelian dialectic", the Absolute Mind lies beckening, but, no, we so back to repeating the old about the de-humanization of ideas that Hegel is repreached with. Now, I admit that the humanism of Hegel is not the most obvious element in the Hegelian of Hegel is not the most obvious element in the Hegelian of Hegel is not the most obvious element in the Hegelian of Hegel is naturally, the academic tradition that its innermost escence. Naturally, the academic tradition that the innermost escence. Naturally, the academic tradition that operates on Prof. Wildebrand's assumption that the generation operates on Prof. Wildebrand's assumption that the seneration of the wouth of our epoch grasp the France of the vision of the most encyclopsedic mind of Europe who wrote; [Mithin the short most encyclopsedic mind of Europe who wrote; [Mithin the short most encyclopsedic mind of Europe who wrote; [Mithin the short most encyclopsedic mind of Europe who wrote; [Mithin the short most encyclopsedic mind of Europe who wrote learn the whole leng span of man's own life, an individual must learn the whole leng mind is operative in every individual mind and is the very substance of it. It is true that Hegel himself did throw a mystical woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological woll over his philosophy by treating it as a closed ontological wol

time comes."

You care told me that what I wrote in the first letters in 1955 on the Absolute Idea and what appeared in HARYISK AND FREEDOM were miles apart and, in a sense, it is. He public work, popular or urpopular, can contain the intribation of thought as they develop in their abstract form before they become filled with more concrete content. And no doubt also part reason of landing it in its undeveloped state was finding none but "dumh workers" agreeing while the theoreticians were shying away. But I do mean to follow up the book with further development and I cortainly would love to have your help, no matter how sharply critical in breaking through those surky categories. At least you shouldn't merely keep silent. I will await to hear from you before I go any further.

Yours.

*Did you notice the paragraph in the last issue of American Economic Ro view on MARKISH AND FREEDOW. It surprised no that And an economic journal should be the one to strey the humanisms

"The book conters on the frequently neglected or misunderstood appeats of Marxian thought; its thorough-going commitment to the humanist tredition of all earlier revolutionary and socialist movements and of Gorman classical philosophy. The crucial significance of Marx and Engels of this tasis orientation is do bonstrateod by a close scrutiny of their works. The student of Marxism will appreciate the appendices presenting hist English translation of important but little known philosophical statements by Marx and Lenin. The volume includes a preface by Merbert Marcuse."