

*Phil. or if you prefer,  
anti-philosophical doctrine*

Leszek Kolakowski, ALIENATION OF REASON  
Preface: "to grasp the meaning, i.e. inferences to be drawn"

bring reader to closer understanding of function in OUR CULTURE

characteristics, themes: 1) Phenomenalism (NO diff. bet. essence & phenomenon)  
2) Nominalism  
3) Denial of cognitive value  
4) Belief only in scientism

p.9 "Positivism is a collection of PROHIBITIONS concerning human knowledge."

Wittgenstein & Carnap who merely wants to distinguish "not only rejects cognitive but meaningful & unverifiable claims of metaphysics; they refuse it any recognition." vs. moderate wing which says SO LONG AS metaphysics makes no scientific claims, it is legitimate

p.215 According to Mach's theory, science is an extension of animal experience & has no other meaning than the totality of experiences on which it is based"...but then

"HOW CAN WE ACC'T FOR THE PECULIAR FACT that over many CENTURIES being has ascribed to 'REASON' the ability to discover 'necessary' features of the world & for so long a time failed to see that these features are figments of imagination? (Qtyped p.)

p.210 "Science as a self-sufficient activity which exhausts ALL possible ways of appropriating world intellectually." "THE LANGUAGE IT IMPOSES EXEMPTS US FROM THE DUTY OF SPEAKING UP IN LIFE's most important conflicts."

Bertrand Russell scientific ideology "pragmatic interpretation of truth"

p.211 HUSSERL interpreted 19th c. positivism as SYMPTOMATIC OF CULTURAL CRISIS but thought it possible to overcome by phil. means & devoted his lifelong labors to this task.

The philosophical work of our day has found itself caught--to a great extent under the influence of positivist criticism--bet. the phil. of life & the lurid Manichean vision."

so-called phil. of life & empirio criticism have affinities with PRAGMATISM, (pp. 154-174) "show how positivist postulates tilt into their opposites."

p.150: "Peirce explicitly goes so far as to say that the meaning of the judgment is entirely exhausted in its practical consequences & acc'ding to him this is what defines PRAGMATISM." after 1890 he changed, criticized phil. determinism, came out in favor of freedom, asserted new creations are possible.. abandoned his earlier nominalist interpretation of scientific laws" BUT it is earlies & more famous phil. which is imp. in his of positivism.

p.160 spirit of utilitarianism carried to radical consequences & extended not only to the world of value but also pure COGNITIVE FUNCTIONS" What do I get out of this? Dewey not so much interested in individual success--

Ch.2- Positivism Down to David Hume "It is possible to begin the history of European positivist thought almost anywhere for many strands we regard as of primary importance in contemporary positivist doctrines had antecedents in antiquity."

R33  
R34  
Hume's wk.  
consequences of  
Hume's wk.

but Hume's error empiricism  
to ultimate  
P32 "there is hard intel'lt  
behind every sentence  
he (Hume) wrote."

Hume's conclusions glaringly incompatible with his intentions.

Ch.3 Auguste Comte; Positivism in the Romantic Age

Ch.4 Positivism Triumphant 10 yrs. after Comte's death-- Chas. Darwin  
Claude Bernard, Introd. to Experimental Medicine  
J S Mill, Herbert Spencer's "System" AND KM's CAPITAL.

Ch.5 Positivism at the Turn of the c.

EMPIRIC CRITICISM p.104: "an attempt to do away with subjectivity"

p.132: "world org'd. by science... is result of creative human energy & hence that man is a way responsible for the 'thing' his scientific thought constructs." This conviction was to become the fundamental feature of OUR OWN CENTURY'S THOUGHT & has exerted a long-lasting influence in the most various quarters."

Ch.6 Conventionalism-- Deconstruction of Concept of Fact concerned with problems posed by advance of physics

p.135 which involved physical propositions-- problems of space & time resolved by Einstein's theory of relativity YET HE CREDITED MARCH WITH HIS KEY CONCEPTION... not to do away with epistemological but demarcate it from science. "They did this by ascribing a conventional meaning to scientific propositions."

Philosophy of Science

P36 Ch.6. Logical Empiricism: A Survey  
More  
cont'd. Defense of the  
Generalization

① No such th't as SYNTHETIC a priori judgments  
(most active center in Vienna in 1920s) Carnap  
Wittgenstein

p.202 "logical empiricism, then, is the product of a specific culture, one in which TECHNOLOGICAL EFFICIENCY is regarded as the highest value, the culture we usually call "TECHNOCRATIC".

p.203: IT IS AN ACT OF MANIFESTATION FROM TROUBLESONE PHILOSOPHY which it denounces in advance as fictitious; it also frees us from the NEED TO STUDY HIS".

p.206: "The sheer rigor of the positivist rule has awakened intellectuals to their own responsibilities, & in my opinion have been of practical aid in counteracting attempts to blur the boundaries bet. the scientific (& the obligation of the believer.)"

LINE  
--Conclusion

13014

To H.A. [Handwritten note: "Hegel's materialism was made more accessible by Comte's 'positive' philosophy."]

HM on Positivism "as pervasive as the practice of men"

Comte  
Bentley  
Contingency  
and Hegel's  
dialectic

Hegel, final immanent refutation of positivism.

"the positivist attack on universal concept on the ground that they cannot be reduced to observable facts, cancels from the domain of knowledge everything that may not be a fact." Thus had effect

P.113: "Essence seeks to liberate knowledge from the worship of observable facts & from the scientific common sense that imposes this worship. Hegel recognized an intrinsic connection bet. mathematical logic & wholesale ACQUIESCECE in facts & to this extent."

Decade after Hegel's death European thought entered era of POSITIVISM ANTICIPATED MORE THAN 100 yrs. of the dev.of positivism

Decade after Hegel's death European thought entered era of POSITIVISM new SCIENTIFIC temper AFFIRMING existing order AGAINST negating it. Very different from that which later positivism

Comte 1830-1842

Stahl 1830-37

Schelling 1841

vs. those elaborated after 1877 Positivism conscious reaction against critical & destructive tendencies of Fr. & Ger. rationalism

Stahl official Prussian monarchy phil. out to destroy Hegelian phil. principle

Comte out to destroy heritage of Descartes & Eng. of rev.

1st of all Positivism destroyed metaphysical illusions esp. in the natural sciences - EXCLUSION of ALL that cannot be verified by observation.

ORDERED INTO rather than EMANATING FROM severed social theory from its connection with negative phil.

abandoned pol. eco. as root of social theory renounces phil. critique by exclusion phil. concepts from study of society & thus founds sociology as ind. "science"

obliterates opposition to social reality

FE coined word His. Mat; Plekhanov that of diamat. WHEREAS MARX's use of word material was to designation basic & primary conditions of HUMAN EXISTENCE not just "matter" or physical determinism & Newtonian mechanics. ON THE CONTRARY, in direct opp. to mechanical mat., by way of DIALECTICS and actual class struggles developed HUMANISM.

opposes both new idealism (new Kantian) & old materialism instead of V.V.

his empirio-criticism supported rising idealistic trend in phil. of science & influenced Bogdanov after DEFEAT of 1905

1905/1906 astronomy related to world trade

W.E. atomic theory & d. for world mystery

Matter different in 19th c. when it appeared to be the very stuff of nature

turn of 20thc. when, for Mach, atoms were mental artifice not susceptible to sense experience

TRANSF OF MASS INTO ENERGY now, when all physical phenomena of which men are aware

W. Heisenberg, "All elemental particles are made up of the Atlantic Monthly, 11/59, p.113 same stuff, ENERGY. Matter exists because ENERGY assumes form ?/Elemental particle"

13014

To Ha

*Hegel's materialism  
is a false  
materialism  
and Hegel's materialism  
is a false materialism  
for Positivism*

HM on Positivism "as pervasive as the practice of men"

*Comte 1830-1842*  
Hegel, final immanent refutation of positivism.

"the positivist attack on universal concept on the ground that they cannot be reduced to observable facts, cancels from the domain of knowledge everything that may not be a fact." *This had effect*

P. 145. "Essence seeks to liberate knowledge from the worship of observable facts & from the scientific common sense that imposes this worship. Hegel recognized an intrinsic connection bet. mathematical logic & wholesale ACQUIESCENCE in facts & to this extent."

*Darwin's theory anticipated more than 100 yrs. of the dev. of positivism*

Decade after Hegel's death European thought entered era of POSITIVISM new SCIENTIFIC temper AFFIRMING existing order AGAINST negating it

Very different from that which later positivism

Comte 1830-1842

Stahl 1830-37

Schelling 1841

+ vs. those elaborated after 1871

Positivism conscious reaction against critical & destructive tendencies of Fr. & Ger.

Stahl official Prussian

monarchy phil out to destroy Hegelian phil "principle of rationalism"

Comte out to destroy heritage of Descartes & Eng. of rev."

1st of c. Positivism destroyed metaphysical illusions esp. in the natural sciences - EXCLUSION of ALL that cannot be verified by observation.

ORDERED INTO rather than EMANATING FROM severed social theory from its connection with negative phil.

abandoned pol. eco. as root of social theory renounces phil. critique by exclusion of concepts from study of society & thus founds sociology as ind. "science"

obliterates opposition to social reality

*Product has to do very! Why? Because pol. eco. is not a science. Capitalist imperialism*

FE coined word His. Mat; Plekhanov that of diamat. WHEREAS MARX's use of word material was to designation basic & primary conditions of HUMAN EXISTENCE not just "matter" or physical determinism & Newtonian mechanics. ON THE CONTRARY, in direct opp. to mechanical mat., by way of DIALECTICS and actual class struggles developed HUMANISM.

*Theory of Feuerbach* His. Mat. 1845-1846  
opposes both new idealism (neo-Kantians) instead of V.V. materialism his empirio-criticism supported rising idealistic trend in phil. of science & influenced Bogdanov after DEFEAT of 1905

[16/12] 19c. astronomy splits to world view

19c. atomic theory & 1 for world mystery

Matter different in 19th c. when it appeared to be the very stuff of nature

turn of 20th c. when, for Mach, atoms were mental artifice not susceptible to sense experience

TRANSF. OF MASS INTO ENERGY now, when all physical phenomena of which men are aware

W. Heisenberg, "All elemental particles are made up of the Atlantic Monthly, 11/59 p. 113 same stuff, ENERGY. Matter exists because ENERGY assumes form of elemental particles"

11081

from Crotas as from - well, you could almost  
say yourself thus Lenin's view on enjoin.

Crotas are not only limited to  
those specifically devoted to that sub-  
ject without as to much as a flute (There  
are none ~~incidentally~~ flutes) & the Phil Niss

Wich definitely deny the more or less vulgar  
about atoms Yet on wild think that the few  
remarques in P.D. ~~particular~~ <sup>with</sup> greater weight  
~~with~~ <sup>with</sup> probably <sup>as</sup> against. This is in summary

With by his self that he will go  
imposed all

Bev (off) The Don Neper  
no doubt would be interested

Matter &  
Energy

Dr my fact is  
seen in Mac's favorably  
statements of his arguments

In Science Despite this, despite Black's  
rel. phil. To further complicate the  
attack of

who was close to S. & co  
Nevertheless credits Black - What  
could that have possibly been?

13015

*Philosophy of Subjectivism*

LESZEK KOLAKOWSKI THE ALIENATION OF REASON

"The primary aim [of this subjectivism without a subject] was to formulate the idea of "pure" experience. For this purpose it was necessary to track down those elements in the current scientific image of the world that had been "thought into" it... not necessarily, not even primarily, in order to reject them entirely, but in order to demystify them, to grasp their origin, and to assign them their proper place. This kind of positivism [the most complete philosophical expositio]n of which is known as "empiricocriticism" was concerned above all with genetic problems. It inquired into the origins and function of knowledge." (p. 104)

"A second peculiarity of this philosophy, which also relates it to such else in the intellectual climate of the period, was what might be called its Molunterianism. Its abandonment of the idea that human knowledge is the truer the more it submits to reality and the more faithfully it mirrors the laws governing it. Like the literary Molunterism of the period (in striking contrast to Zola's "experimental novel!"), the empiricocriticist interpretation of knowledge is in keeping with an idea of man as a being primarily characterized by his active role in the world." (p. 106)

"Lenin, however, launched a sharp attack on empiricocriticism, citing the philosophy expounded in such writings of Engels as were then known. His work is a defense of the materialist position, and in it he regarded empiricocriticism as a subterfuge in which lurks a content identical with Berkelyan idealism. . . . Lenin opposed to this doctrine a materialist and realist doctrine that he called "the theory of reflection." (p. 122)

"Lenin agrees that there is no difference between the phenomenon and the Kantian thing-in-itself, but according to him this does not imply that the phenomenal world understood as a complex of impressions is the only accessible one; it implies only that there is nothing absolutely unknowable." (p. 129)

"Lenin also uncompromisingly criticized his adversaries for accepting empiricocritical interpretations of the most important philosophic categories. Among other things, he defended the concept of causality on the grounds that it describes actual necessary connections between events, and that it cannot be reduced to a purely empirical functional relation. He also defended Engels' view of the cognitive functions of time and space." (p. 130)

*Stalin*  
"Lenin's book played an important part in the subsequent history of Marxism, particularly after Stalin summed up its main ideas in a popular article titled "On Dialectical and Historical Materialism," which for several years was obligatory reading in all Soviet Schools. . . . When we look back over the leading empiricocritical ideas, we are especially struck by the following features: (1) the philosophical destruction of the subject;" (p. 131)

*Subjectivism without Subj.*  
*Phil. destruction of the Subject*

"Escape from Commitment  
13016"

*Philosophy*  
of Subject  
without a  
Subject

LESZEK KOŁAKOWSKI THE ALIENATION OF REASON

"The primary aim [sic] of this subjectivism without a subject was to formulate the idea of "pure" experience. For this purpose it was necessary to track down these elements in the current scientific image of the world that had been "thought into" it... not necessarily, not even primarily, in order to reject them entirely, but in order to demystify them, to grasp their origin, and to assign them their proper place. This kind of positivism, the most complete philosophical exposition of which is known as empiriocriticism, was concerned above all with genetic problems. It inquired into the origins and function of knowledge." (p. 104)

"A second peculiarity of this philosophy, which also relates it to much else in the intellectual climate of the period, was what might be called its 'activism.' Its abandonment of the idea that human knowledge is the truer the more it submits to reality and the more faithfully it mirrors the laws governing it. Like the literary voluntarism of the period (in striking contrast to Zola's "experimental novel"), the empiriocriticist interpretation of knowledge is in keeping with an idea of man as a being primarily characterized by his active role in the world." (p. 106)

"Lenin, however, launched a sharp attack on empiriocriticism, citing the philosophy expounded in such writings of Engels as were then known. His work is a defense of the materialist position, and in it he regarded empiriocriticism as a subterfuge in which lurks a content identical with Berkelyan idealism. . . . Lenin opposed to this doctrine a materialist and realist doctrine that he called "the theory of reflection." (p. 128)

"Lenin agrees that there is no difference between the phenomenon and the Kantian thing-in-itself, but according to him this does not imply that the phenomenal world understood as a complex of impressions is the only accessible one; it implies only that there is nothing absolutely unknowable." (p. 129)

"Lenin also uncompromisingly criticized his adversaries for accepting empiriocritical interpretations of the most important philosophical categories. Among other things, he defended the concept of causality on the grounds that it describes actual necessary connections between events, and that it cannot be reduced to a purely empirical functional relation. He also defended Engels' view of the cognitive functions of time and space." (p. 130)

"Lenin's book played an important part in the subsequent history of Marxism, particularly after Stalin summed up its main ideas in a popular article titled "On Dialectical and Historical Materialism," which for several years was obligatory reading in all Soviet Schools. . . . When we look back over the leading empiriocritical ideas, we are especially struck by the following features: (1) the philosophical destruction of the subject;" (p. 131)

*Subjectivism without Subj.*

*Phil. destruction of the Subject*

*Escape from Commitment*

13016

13017

P. 2

Is positivism  
a distinctive  
whole?

"The purpose of this book has been to present a few doctrines important in the history of positivism and to show that each of them is an aspect of the cultural background out of which it arose. Each phase of positivist thought is a specific variation of the dominant intellectual style. At the same time, however, a diachronic continuity is clearly disclosed when we compare successive versions of positivism; . . . this leads to the question whether positivism also discloses cultural features justifying its treatment as a distinctive whole." (p. 207)

*Philosophers who, like Jaspers, do not look upon philosophy as a type of knowledge but only as an attempt to elucidate Existenz or even as an appeal to others to make such an attempt, do not transgress the positivist code. The latter attitude is nearly universal in present-day existentialist phenomenology. Awareness of fundamental differences between "investigation" and "reflection" between scientific "accuracy" and philosophic "precision," between "problems" and "questioning," or "mystery" is expressed by all existentialist philosophers, Heidegger as well as Jaspers and Gabriel Marcel."* (p. 208)

*"Suffering, death, ideological conflict, social clashes, antithetical values of any kind—all are declared out of bounds, matters we can only be silent about, in obedience to the principle of verifiability. Positivism so understood is an act of escape from commitments, an escape masked as a definition of knowledge, invalidating all such matters as mere figments of the imagination stemming from intellectual laziness. Positivism in this sense is the escapist's design for living."* (p. 210)

*The least restrained positivist-Avenarius—is the most radical. His neutralizing of experience is at the same time liquidation of the fictitious "inner essence" within which the "Outside" world supposedly manifests, discloses, or subjectivizes itself."* (p. 214)

*"Like all allegedly metaphysical riddles, the whole problem of necessary truths results from the abuse of words, from grammatical inertia (hypostatizing abstract terms, substantializing verbs and adjectives, etc. — Hobbes said the last word on this subject). In short, according to the positivists, we are dealing with an error."* (p. 215)

*"The enormous efforts made in the history of culture to discover this paradise were wholly chimerical. Nonetheless, the vast amounts of energy squandered in these explorations and the extraordinary tenacity with which they were carried on are worth pondering, all the more because the explorers were perfectly aware of the technological inconsequences of their efforts. After all, what seventeenth-century writers called "moral certainty" — i.e., conditions under which we may recognize the truth of a given judgment although our reasons for doing so have no absolute character—is entirely sufficient in scientific thought. From the point of view of ~~so-called~~ knowledge, the desire for an epistemological absolute, i.e., "metaphysical certainty," is fruitless, and those in question of this certainty were perfectly aware of the fact. And yet, we repeat, philosophy has never given up its attempt to constitute an autonomous "reason," independent of technological applications and irreducible to purely recording functions."* (p. 216)

*Rayner, a novelist, who made him famous for which as the author of the book he has already written is the Human Comedy, says he has never written a book he has not written for the public.*

*of positivism  
a distinctive whole?*

13017

"The purpose of this book has been to present a few doctrinal important in the history of positivism and to show that each of them is an aspect of the cultural background out of which it arose. Each phase of positivist thought is a specific variation of the dominant intellectual style. At the same time, however, a diachronic continuity is clearly disclosed when we compare successive versions of positivism. This leads to the question whether positivism also discloses cultural features justifying its treatment as a distinctive whole." (p. 207)

*Philosophers who, like Jaspers, do not look upon philosophy as a type of knowledge but only as an attempt to elucidate Existenz or even as an appeal to others to make such an attempt, do not transgress the positivist code. The latter attitude is nearly universal in present-day existentialist phenomenology. Awareness of fundamental differences between "investigation" and "reflection" between scientific "accuracy" and philosophic "precision," between "problems" and "questioning" or "mystery" is expressed by all existentialist philosophers, Heidegger as well as Jaspers and Gabriel Marcel." (p. 208)*

*"Suffering, death, ideological conflict, social clashes, antithetical values of any kind—all are declared out of bounds, matters we can only be silent about, in obedience to the principle of verifiability. Positivism, so understood is an act of escape from commitments, an excuse masked as a definition of knowledge, invalidating all such matters as mere figments of the imagination stemming from intellectual laziness. Positivism in this sense is the escapist's design for living." (p. 210)*

*The least restrained positivist Avenarius is the most radical. His neutralizing of experience is at the same time liquidation of the pretentious "inner essence" within which the "Outside" world supposedly manifests, discloses, or subjectivizes itself." (p. 214)*

*"Like all allegedly metaphysical riddles, the whole problem of necessary truths results from the abuse of words, from grammatical inertia (hypostatizing abstract terms, substantializing verbs and adjectives, etc. — Hobbes said the last word on this subject). In short, according to the positivists, we are dealing with an error." (p. 215)*

*"The enormous efforts made in the history of culture to discover this paradise were wholly chimerical. Nonetheless the vast amounts of energy squandered in these explorations and the extraordinary tenacity with which they were carried on are worth pondering, all the more because the explorers were perfectly aware of the technological inconsequences of their efforts. After all, what seventeenth-century writers called "moral certainty" — i.e., conditions under which we may recognize the truth of a given judgment although our reasons for doing so have no absolute character—is entirely sufficient in scientific thought. From the point of view of called knowledge, the desire for an epistemological absolute, i.e., "metaphysical certainty," is fruitless, and those in question of this certainty were perfectly aware of the fact. And yet, we repeat, philosophy has never given up its attempt to constitute an autonomous "Reason," independent of technological applications and irreducible to purely recording functions." (p. 216)*

*What Lacovici does not say here is precisely what made him famous, for much as he might say he has never justified is the Humanism, if the Humanism is to be justified, what is the world?*