REASON & VIOLENCE, A Decade of Sartre's Philosophy, 1950-60

by R.D. Laing &D.G. Cooper, with foreword by J-PS(Hu 1064 (Introd. is by both authors: Questions of Method by Cooper - & Genet - willed Critique of Dial. Reason is by Laing.)

Introd. rp.11-12(re totalization erel. to PHEN. "In the PHEN. Hegel sought to a many facets of reality can be unified into a consistent view of the world; of which particular events, experiences, actions, find their place &can be cor strued Ancordingly. However abother synthesis equally consistent ... completely contradich the former. Fach point of view is an absolute dat the same time absolutely relative: the collisions bet points of view are the occasion of

thinking is necessarily Hegel's authosen Sartre's term is depasser. A total tion holds the field. It is challenged by another totalization. Thus the negative as an absolute, conserved as a relative Asubsumed in a little synthesis. A point of view, a synthesis, a totalization, in being depassed in this 3-fold way becomes a historical moment."

into scelal collectivisies by acts of totalization ...

p.15"Surtre sees the various th of sociology &psycho-analysis as more or less partial realizations of moment or moments in the dialectic. Since they are not grasped by reason they are blown up into total theories &inevitably run into

p.16: Thus a whole theory of society will be claborated started s conflict bet.classes, without any adequate grasp of the classes the being constituted by a prior dialectic beginning with prexis...

reader's (Re difficulty in/orientation even after guidance in Mathod) neither easy to rely on Sartre's earlier writings for his bearings. To use wife Sartre's favourite expressions, these works are now depasse. Batig-ford being-in-itself, the fundamental categorius of B/N, are absorbed into property approcess. In fact, the pour sol is mentioned UNIT ONCE, almost dismissive in a fin. in the Critique. the 3 ontological dimensions of the body are longer in the Critique. (ff But are in St. Gonet, the "transitional from ear phil. into later" as are in Genet also good&bad faith, the dial. of freedom).

One, Ques. of Method-1. Mxism &Exis-ism p.32: A phil.remains effective only as long as the praxis which produced it remains alive -- the praxis which maintains it &which it in turn illuminates.

Epochs of phil creation in this sense are rare. These 3 philosophies (bet.17th-20thc. Thatartes-Locke; Kant-Hegel; Marx) each became in their the stuff (humus)of all particular thought &the horizon of the whole culture since the his. moment which they expressed had not yet passed... These who come after the great phil moments of creation &who give a practica function to the theories... These relative beings Sartre calls ideologists.

p.35: (re Kierkegaard's existentialism as an idealist protest against idealis which therefore was alegedly eclipsed by Mx & in fact: In its fight against Mxism bourgeous thought at 1st relied upon the post-Kantian.on Kant himself, con Descarte. Only in the 20th c. at a stage when for the 1st time bourgeois thought was on the defensive did Kierkegaard reappear, when plurali ambiguities &paradoxes were used against the Mxist dialectic. Bet the Ger existentialism appeared as a stealthy attempt to resuscitate the transcendent.... (against Jaspers & the existentialism that developed against Mx) but the other, ie. J-P S's own did not so develop the it too started with tier

12874

(p. 36 takes up Lukacs"s pamphlet on Existentialism &Mxism superf p.37: In the early phase of the SU when it was solitary. ... practice & theory split appet in the transformation of practice into an esp without principles &of theory into pure &rigid knowledge. (As for Am. sociology "we have real acquisitions but thebretical uncertain Psycho-analysis got off ton-flying started, but has tended to become fixed &rigid...lacks theoretical base) p.40;re microphysics as "only valid theory of knowledge today" because it asserts experimenter is part of the experimental system. Theory of knowledge however remains the weak point of Mx1sm. (The on reflection Mat-E-C, notPhil. Ntbks) In the 1st (KK) Rmowledge 1st pure theory, non-situated observation, while in the 2nd (VII) it is simply passivity... One can lapse into idealism not only by dissolving reali subjectivity but also by denying real subjectivity in the came of 2. Problem of Mediations & Auxiliary discipline NB NB NB J PS says he began research on B/H in H Berlin under influence of Husserl who "presumably"(sic!) was then full of "activism" which out main meth principal conclusions in winter [1939-40] prior to Occupation experien cays nothing of how it was publid during Occupation)—most vulgar material as to date, or one date) 3. The Progressive Regressive Method) Part III CRITIQUE OF DIAL REASON Itrod - Dogmatic dialecritical dial b)Critique of critical experience p.94=5:"This dogmatism has persisted from the beginning ever since Mx Hegel upside down. There is a sense in which Hegl's dogmatism with the sense in which hegl's dogmatism with the sense in which hegels dogmatism with the sense in which hegels dogmatism with the sense in which hegels a dogmatism with the sense in which he sense in superior to a Marxist dogmatism &this superiority lies precisely in its idealism. Forthis very idealism is sometion and a link cristed betal knowing &its obj) It is this ferration which gets lost in Mx, Mx says the material existence of men same to reduced to contemplative knowledgers swamps mera knowing. But we are immediately in difficulties. Thouse is at one &the same time being &nowledge of being. As such thought is sufficiently in the same way as any detail of his process. But thought as reason is also knowledge of the diel.

D.96: Whitehead said very accurately that a law of nature p.96: Whitehead said very accurately that a law of nature pogins as an hypothesis and ends by becoming a 'fact'..... p.100 "In one moment (in the Hegelian sense) man is subj. to the dial.as In one moment(in the Hegerian sense) man is shed; to as enemy power. In another moment he creates it/ This 2nd is the negation of the lst which is the negation of man. This dracial negation of negation. p.101:The dial.is the law of totalization. Thus, in a materialist dialectic, as in the Hegelian, thought rust discover both (ts own) necessity the necessity of its obj... The dial is the living logic of action. It will be for us to have that it is universally & necessarily present as a possibility, as the adventure of all. It can be nothing other than its own total transluency.... p.105. While we may take exs. from the wkgclass or the bourheoisie it is not the primary intension of this study to define these or any particular classes, but Tather to work out It the way a class is constituted to totalization & detotalization & all the time its dial. intelligibility.

involving links of interiority&exteriority, its internal structures, relations

12875

with other classes etc

Book 1 From indiv.praxis to the practice-inert
p.107"The original totalizing relation of this material being, a man, with the material world of which part is defined as need/Need is an interiorization by the man-in-need a lack in the exterior total field of satisfactions. Scmething is lacking or missing or scarce.

B. Huran relations as mediation bet. different sectors of materiality C.Matter as totalized totality & a 1st experience of necessity I.Scaroity &mode of prod.

p.115:"In speaking of scarcity, some
Mxists can often be quite degratic. Engels is often unintelligible & ambiguous. A form of scarcity they chracteristically neglect, for instance is the scarcity of time. by metter isa principle of dialectic intelligibility. Ban sees his action stolen &deformed by the world in which he registers himself. Scarcity is fundamental for the understanding of our his. It remains, however, a contin 2. Worked on matter as alienated objective of collicing. Necessity as an attracture of dialectic experience. 4. Social being as materiality & particularly the being D/Collectives p. 126: "There are in fact 2 dialectics: that indiv.praxis &that of the group as praxis, & the practice-inert field is anti-dalectic of each, that is, the practice-inert social field is negate by indiv. Abroup praxis, his the negation both of ind. action dof the praxis, the group. This is not recognized in the theory of Mx angels. Their marks begins with their failure to see that all objectification is alteration Every objectification becomes other because it is an obj.in the field free of action of the other. This is the freedom that limits freedom. The fail of Hegel is that he does not recognize that materiality is the needestary in mediary bet. 2 freedoms. The 1st alienation (by objectification & alteration is that one praxis steals the meaning from the other, or at least, necessary alters it.... The following therefore is a schema for the intelligibility of praxis -- practico -- inert -- praxis:1) The univocal relation of interiority. 2) The equivoal relation of a multiplic of practical activities, of which each steels the freedom of the others (rda more such gibberish) ... 3) The transformation of all free praxis into exis each exis into passive activit by the free praxis of the other, whose projects &perspectives are other into passive activity by the passive activity of the obj. V BOOK II-From the group to history The Group, The Equivalence of Freedom as necessity &of necessity as freedom; limits &extent of all realistic dial.

B.Conclusions: the individual in a class society

p.176: "The scandal is not in the simple existence of the other; but in the

one-too-many through interiorized scarcity."

wiolence undergone or threatened in each person's perception of the

finis