for but you them very plantamathy 1 Achter Kus Chin

Conrad Branche & L. A Documentary History of Chinese Communism, Harvard U. Press,

MAO TSE-TUNG: REFORT OF AN INVESTIGATION OF THE PRASANT MOVEMENT IN HUMAN (Feb. 1927)

I. AGRARIAN REVOLUTION

Seriousness of the Peasant Problem. On a thirty-two-day (Yen. 4-Feb. 5, 192) inspection four of five heien (districts or counties) of Hunan-Heiangton, Heiangheiang, Hangshan, Liling, and Changsha I have collected a considerable body of Eatertals by listening carefully to reports sade by stimute experienced peasants and conraise in the peasant movement at informatory meetings held both in county-sents and villages. Many aspects of the peasant movement directly contradict what we have leavied from the gentry in Hankow and Changaha. Some unique incidents have never been seam or heard of before. These conditions, I think, prevail in other provinces too; thus various arguments against the peacent movement must be controverted innediately and the erroneous decisions of the revolutionary regime (i.e. the wuhan government) in regard to the peasant movement must be quickly corrected. Only thus can the revolution constit in the future. The further development of the peasant acrement is a tremendous problem. Within a short time, hundreds of millions of peasants will rise in Central, South, and Horth China, with the fury of a hurricane; no power, however strong, can restrain them. They will break all the shackles that power, however strong, can restrain them. They will break all the anackies that bind them and rush towards the road of liberation. All imperialists, variorder corrupt officials, and the feating their their dome at the hands of the peasants. All revolutionary parties and comrades will be judged by them. Are we to get in front of them and load them or criticise them behind their backs or fight them from the opposite dump? Among them three alternatives every Chinese can choose frealy, but the surrous situation demands a quick decision. The following are the results of le surrent situation demends a quick decision. The following are the results of my inspection and my opinions are presented in detail for reference by revolutionary

Let's Organize. The Hunanese peasant movement, as regards the well-organized counties in central and southern Human, can be divided into two stages; the first being that of organization, from January to September 1926. Within this stage, there was a secret period from January to June and an open period from July to September, when the revolutionary armies were engaged in the Custing of Chao (Chao Heng-hai, then governor of Eunan). In that stage, the total membership of the Peasant Ascociations did not exceed 3000,000 or the total 400,000, and the masses under their direct command totalled just a little over 1,000,000. There were few instances of conflict inside the villages; hence there was only a little criticism from the different classes in this regard. Because members of the Peasant A secciations served (the revolutionary army) as guides, scouts, and coolies, some officers spoke even favourably of them. The second, or revolutionary, stage lasted from October (1926) to January of this year. The membership of the Peasant Associations jumped up to 2,000,000 and the number of people under their direct command increased to 10,000,000. (When Joining a Pessant Association, the peasants usually put down one name for the shole family; thus 2,000,000 members means 10,000,000 people.) About half of the entire peasantry in Human is organized. In such places as Heiangtan, Heiangheiang, Linyang, Changsha, Liling, Winghsian, Pingkiang, Heiangying, Hengshan, Hongyang, Leiyang, Chenhaien, Anhva, etc., almost the entire peasantry has been incorporated into the Peasant Associations and take orders from them. After organizing themselves extensively, the peasants began to take action. Thus, within four months, an unprecedented agrarian revolution broke out.

Down with the Village Bosses (t'u-hao) and Bad Gentry, All Power Belongs to the Peasant Associations. after the peasants organized themselves, action ensued. The major targets of their attack were the t'u-hao, bad gentry, and (llegitimate) landiords, as well as the old patriarchal ideology, corruption of city officials, and undesirable village customs. This attack was like a hurricane: only those could

survive the bent to its force. As a result, privileges of the feudal landlerd class, thousands of years old, were totally swept away. Their prestige and prerogatives were altogether abolished. After the overthrow of the gentry's power, the Peacent Associations became the only organs of power and "all power to the Pascant Associations became literally true. Even such trifles as quarrets between married complex were referred to the Peasant Associations for settlement. Who problem could be solved independently of the Passant A secciation membership, whose every word passed for a command. In the villages the Peasant Associations became the authority for everything (seeing to it that), "whatever was promised, was done." Outsiders could comment only favourably, not critically, on the Peasant Associations. Bad gentry, t'u-hao, and illegitimate landlords were deprived of their right of free speach; (sc) nobody dared to voice objections. Under the Persont Association regime, the top-layer t'u-hao and bad gentry fled to Shanghai; the second layer fled to Hankow; the third lyer to Changena, and the fourth layer to the county-cents (heigh cities), while the small fry of the fifth layer and below surrandered to the Persant Associations in the villages.

WI contribute ten dollars, so please let me join the Peasant Association,

pleaded the small-fry bad gentry.

"Mai Who cares about your bloody money?" answered the persents. Many middle and small landlords, as well as rich and middle peasants who formerly opposed the Peasant Associations, now begged for admission to thom. met a number of those people in the places I visited, and they said: "I beg the commissioner from the capital (Changsha) to endorse mels

At the time of the Manche dynasty, when the population census was made, there were two kinds of census, one regular and another subsidiary. Decent people were registered that in the regular census and bad elements such as bandits, etc., were registered in the subsidiary census. At present the peasants in some localities have threatened those who opposed the Peasant Associations with the remark: "You will be registered in the subsidiary census!"

Those who were affect of being registered in the subsidiary census tried by various means to gain admission into the Peasant Associations, not resting fill their names were included in the rosters. Often the Peasant Associations refused categorically and threw them out; then they spent their days in suspense, like hors-less wendsrert. Such a condition is called "To ling" (lit., a lone wanderer) in the local slarg. Thus, the so-called "possant society" that was despised by most people four months ago has become a thing of glory today. Those who knelt before the gentry now kneel before the power of the peasants. Indisputably, the mituation the gentry now kneel before the power of the peasants. Indisputably, t before last October and that after it belong to two (different) worlds.

Very Bad and Very Good. The peasant revolt in the countryside awakened the gentry from their sweet dreams. When the news reached the cities from the villages, the urban gentry protested tumultuously. On first arriving in Changsho, I met people of different backgrounds and heard a lot of gossip. From the middle social strata to the KHT right wing the general comment was: "Very bad." Even some revolutionary (-minded) people did not object to this comment, especially when they used their imagination as to the conditions in the countryside. Some progressive elements only remarked apologetically: "Though this is bad, it is in-All in all, nobody entirely denied evitable during the process of revolution." the epithet "bad." But as pointed out previously, it is actually the rising up of the bast peasant masses to accomplish their historic mission; it is the rising up of the democratic forces in the countryside to overthrow the feudal forces in the villages, which is the true goal of the national revolution. Sun Yat-sen devoted forty years to the national revolution; what he wanted but failed to achieve has been accomplished by the peasants in a few months. The patriarchal, feudal to-hao and bad gentry, together with the illegitimate landlords, were not only the foundation of the dictatorial regime of the past several thousand years, (but also) the tools of the imperialists, warlords, and corrupt officials.

12735

This is a great achievement unprecedented in the past forty years or neveral thousand years. This is "very good"—not in the least "bad", and not at all stepsy had." To give credits where they are due, if we allow tempoints to the accomplishments of the democratic revolution, then the achievements of the upday deglers and the military units rate only three points, while the remaining stepsy bed" is abvicusly an argument to save the interests of the landlords and great the passants: It is obviously an argument of the landlord class, which tries to preserve the old fended order by obstructing the establishment of a new democratic order; it is obviously an anti-revolutionary argument. He revolutionary comrade should order by the countryside, you will experience a satisfaction never felt before; tens of thousands of slaves—the passants—are exertinosing their nan-eating energy. The action of the peasants is entirely correct; their action is "very good."

The action of the peasants is entirely correct; their action is "very good."

The action of the peasants should realize that the national revolutionary groups. All revolutionary comrades should realize that the national revolution requires a trawndows change in the villages. The Revolution of 1911 did not achieve such a change, and therefore it failed; now there is such a change, and it is one of the major factors in the accomplishment of the revolution. Every revolutionary comrade should support this nevenent; otherwise he is against the revolution.

The Problem of "Excesses." Another group of people say: "Peasant Associations should be organised, but their actions are too excessive." This is the argument of the middle-of-she-road group. But what are the facts? The peasants in the villages have indeed been "disorderly." The power of the Peasant Associations seing supreme, the landlords have been prohibited from speaking up and their prestige is wiped out. This is like stepping on the landlord after striking him down. The phrase is coined, "All handowners are t'u-hao and all gentry are bad." In some places those who owned fifty mon of land or morganic automatically called tu-hao and those who wore long gowns were all brauded as bed gentry were fined, required so make contributions, and had their sedan chairs smashed. Some people forced their way into the homes of t'u-hao and bad gentry who were hostile to the Peasant Association, and killed their pigs and commendeered their grain. The ivery beds of the daughters and daughters-in-law of the t'u-hao and bad gentry were stepped upon by the dirty feet of the peasants. On the slightest provocation men were paradod down the streets, wearing tall paper hats (such as are worn by oriminals on route to numishment). "Vile gentry! How comes our day!" Actions were unrestrained; things were turned upside down, and terror swept some of the villages. This is what some people called "excesses", "going to the other extreme" or "unspeakable." This kind of comment appears suverficially correct, but actually it is erroneous.

First, the above-mentioned incidents were the result of oppression by the tiu-hao, bad gentry, and illegitimate landlords, who bere down on the peasants with their power and privileges. Thus (the peasants) tomultuous resistance is only a reaction. Their resistance is most intensive and disorderly where tiu-hao bad gentry and illegitimate landlords have wreaked the worst damage. The peasants eyes make no mistakes. Who is bad and who is not bad; who should be punished most severely and who should be punished lightly: the peasants judge this most clearly; only very seldom do they hand out undeserved verdicts. So even Mr. Tiang Mong-haiso (General Tiang Sheng-chih, militarist supporter of the Wuhan government) once said: "When the peasants attacked the tiu-hao and bad gentry in the villages, they were right in nine out of ten cases."

Secondly, revolution is not a dinner-party, nor literary composition, nor painting, nor embroidering. It cannot be done so delicately, so leisurely, so gentlemently, and magently, kindly, politely, plainly, and modestly (quoted from 12736

Mary Mary

the Analecte of Confucius). Revolution is insurraction, the violent action of one class everthrowing the power of another. An agrarian revolution is a revolution the peasants by the peasantry to overthrow the power of the founds landlord class. If the peasants do not apply great force, the power of the landlords, consolidated over thousands of years, can never be uprooted. There must be a revolutionary tidal wave into this great force. The excesses described above result from the transndous revolutionary enthusiasm of the peasants. In the second (revolutionary) stage of the peasant neverent, such acts are very necessary. In this second stage, an absolute peasant neverent, such acts are very necessary. In this second stage, an absolute be allowed; the gentry's power just be tetally liquidated, the gentry knocked down, even trodden upon. All excesses in the second stage have a revolutionary significance, counter-revolutionary activities in the villages cannot be suppressed, and the gentry's power cannot be corritored. To correct wrongs one must go to the other gentry's power cannot be corritored. The argument of this group (against peasant sexcesses) appears superficially different from that of the former group; but in reality it is based on the same viewpoint, being an argument for the development of the peacant movement and serves to sabotage the revolution. Ye

II. THE VANCUARD OF REFOLUTION. The "p'i-tsu" movement (i.e. the movement of rural "underirables" - paupers, gamblers, loafers, et al.).

The MMS right wing claims: "The peasant movement is a p'i-tsu movement—a movement of peasant lonfers." This argument was widely circulated in Changsha. When I visited the villages, the gentry told me: "Peasant Associations are allright, but their present leadership is unacceptable and should be replaced." This comment has the same meaning as short of the (MMT) right wing, namely, that the peasant movement is all right (it being already in existence, no one dares to say otherwise); but that the precent leaders of the peasant movement are not all right, especially those that the precent leaders of the peasant movement are not all right, especially those say the lower units, all of whom are allegedly p'i-tsu who used to go around in wornout shoes, carry broken unbrellas, wear blue gowns, and gamble. In brief, all those who used to be despised and trodden down by the gentry, who had no social standings and were deprived of their right to speak, are now raising their heads. They are become kings of the village Peasant Associations (the lowest units of the Peasant Associations), which they have turned into deadly weapons. They put their muscular, swaburnth hands on the heads of the gentry. They bind the bad gentry with ropen, put tall paper hats on them, and parade them through the villages. Their crude curses are heard every day by the gentry. They give orders to all, standing above all, where previously they stood below. Hence this is called "abnormal."

Revolutionary Vanguard or Revolutionary Heroes. An issue or a person can be viewed fine two opposing angles; thus two contradictory arguments can be arrived at. "Very good" and "very bad" is one example; "p'i-txu" and "revolutionary vanguard" is another. As recounted above, the peasants have fulfilled a long unfulfilled revolutionary mission, performing the major take is the mational revolution. But is this revolutionary mission, this major revolutionary take, carried out by all the peasanty? No. The peasantry is divided into three sub-classes: rich, middle, and pour peasants. Their conditions differ, and so do their concepts regarding the revolution. During the first stage, rich peasants (those with cash and grain surpluses) picked up the news that (the Hationalist revolutionary army) had been routed in Kiangei, that Chiang Exi-chek had been wounded in the foot and flown back to Kwangtung, that Tochow had been reoccupied by Wu P'ei-fu, and that the Peasant Associations would not last long nor would the Three People's Principles

(San-min chu-i) expand, since they had never existed before. When managers of the village Peasant Associations (many of them p'i-tzu) approached the rich peasants with the membership list, sowing: EPlease joins, some of the rich peasants replied blandly: "Peasant Association? I have lived and tilled the land here for many decades but have never heard of any Peasant Association; yet I still eat my rice. I advise you not to start such a thing." "To hell with the Peasant Association; heads will roll and troubles flow," sneered other rich peasants. But believe it or zot, the Peasent Associations have lasted several months already and even dared to oppose the gentry. Some gentry in the adjacent districts who refused to turn in their opius pipes were arrested and paraded through the villages by the Feesant Associations. Some big gentry in the cities were even killed (such as You Yungchin of Heinngton or Yang Chile-tee of Hingheinng). On the anniversary of the October revolution, at anti-British rellies, and at the general celebration of the victory of the Northern Expedition (of 1926-27), more than 10,000 peasants raised tanters of various sizes, amidst poles and hoes, and paraded in great strength. Then the rich peasunts began to feel perturbed. At the celebration of the victory of the Forthern Expedition, they heard that Kinking had falled, that Ching Kaisher had not been wounded in the foot, and that Wu P'ei-fu had finally been defeated. Also the slogans "Long live the Three People's Principles", "Long live the Peasant stations", and "Long live the peasants" appeared clearly on colourful handbills. "Long live the peasants; do these men deserve that?" The rich peasants were Associations

deeply disturbed. The Peasant Association thus assumed an important role. Itz members said to the rich peasants: From names will be registered in the subsidiary census! and In a month, the membership fee for new-comers will be ten dollars;

Under such conditons and threats the rich peasants gradually began to join the Peasant Association. Some peak the membership fee of fifty cents or one dollar (the stipulated fee is ten cents), and some were admitted only through the good offices of a third party. Some die-hards still refused to join the Peasant Associations. When ik rich peasants errol in the Peasant Associations, they usually put down the name of a sixty- or seventy-year-old family patriarch because they were still afraid of being conscripted. They did not work enthusiactically for the Peasant Associations, the state of the s benefit much from the revolution. They had rice in the pot and were not disturbed by creditors knocking at their door et midnight. On the basis of "precedent" they brooded: "Will the Peasant Associations survive?" "Are the Three People's Principles going to last?" Their consolation was: "Probably not!" They held that everything would be decided by the will of Hoaven. "Organizing a Peasant Association—sho knows whether the will of Heaven favours it or not?" In the first stage, when mem bers of the Peasant Association marker entered the households of the middle peasants with the Peasant Association roster and said: "Please join!" they answered "Do not rush Only when the Peacant Associations became very powerful in the second stage did the middle peasants begin to join up. They are better than rich Peasants as Peasant Association members, but rarely become active, and retain their wavering attitude. Only one group in the coun ryside has fought hard and relentlessly from the very start: the poor peasants. Out of the secret stage into the open stage, it was they who fought, who organized, and who did the revolutionary work. They alone were the deadly enomies of the t'u-hao and bad gentry, whose bastions they attacked unreservedly. They alone were capable of doing the destructive work. They asked the rich and middle passants: "We joined the Peasant Association long ago, why do you hesitate?" The rich and middle peasants answered sarcastically: "You have not a single tile above you, nor a needle-sixed (strip of) land beneath you-naturally you joined the Peasant Association!

It is true that the poor peasants have nothing to lose. They are the outcasts or semi-outcasts of the village, and some of them are literally "without a single tile

12738

above and without a strip of land below. Mhy shouldn't they join the Pessant Association? According to an investigation made at Changsha, the poor peasants constituted seventy per cent, the middle peasants twenty per cent, and the rich peasants ten per cent (of the total) peasantry. The poor peasants can be further classified as very poor and poor. The very poor—twenty out of the (total) seventy per cent—are entirely without occupation, having neither land nor capital; with nothing to live on, they have to man become soldiers, or hired hands, or beggars or bandits. The remaining fifty per cent constitute the poor (peasants) who are partially without occupation, but who have a little land or capital, though not examine their expenses. Thus they suffer all year long—handicraftsmen, it feasants (except rich tenants), and owner—tenants. (The percentage of poor peasants may be less in other haim than Changsha, but the difference is slight.)

This multitudinous mass of poor peasants is the core of the Peasant Associations, the Tanguard in the Gverthrowing of feudal forces, accomplishing the not-yet-accomplished revolutionary mission. Without the poor peasant class (in the words of the gentry; without the pi-tru), ac revolutionary conditions would exist as they do now in rural areas; and the tu-hae and bad gentry could never be overthrown to complete the issucratic revolution. The poor peasants (especially the very poor) we must the leadership of the Peasant Associations because they were the most revolutionary. During the first and second stages (of the peasant movement), the shairmen and committee members in the lewest units of the Peasant Associations (fillage Peasant Associations) were almost entirely poor peasants. (In the village Peasant Associations of Hengshan, fifty por cent of the cadres came from the very poor peasant class, forty per cent from the poor peasant class, and ten per cent from poor educated elements.) This leadership by the poor peasants is very essential. Without the poor peasants, there will be no revolution. Their revolutionary course is foultless from beginning to end. They have cost the tire had and bad gentry "face." They have thrown the big and small tu-hae and bad gentry to the ground and have trampled on them. Many "excesses" of theirs during the revolutionary period have been a revolutionary necessity.

Some haien magistrates, haien Party headquarters, and the Peasunt Associations of certain haien in Husan have already committed a number of errors. Some even dispatched soldiers to arrest the lower cadres of the Peasant Associations at the request of lendlords. In the prisons of Hengshan and Heianghaiang haien, many chairs and committee members of the village Peasant Associations are imprisoned. This error is extremely grave. Unintentionally, it strengthens the position of the reactionaries. The mere fact that the illegitimate landlords rejoiced and that the reactionary atmosphere thickened when the chairmen and committee rembers of the village Peasant Associations were arrested is sufficient to expose the mistaken natural (of the arrests). We should oppose such anti-revolutionary slogans as *pi1-txu movement" and "lary persent movement", while taking special care not to help the t'u-hao and bad gentry (even unintentionally) by attacks on the leading class of the poor peasants. As a matter of fact, though some of the poor peasant leaders have indeed been "gamblers without gainful occuration", the majority of them have since reformed. They themselves now prohibit gambling and clean up banditry. Where the nower of the Peasant Associations is strong, local gambling is completely prohibited and banditry disappears. In some localities it is safe to leave articles unattended on the roadside and doors unlocked at night. According to (my) investigation in Hergshan, eighty-five per cent of the poor peasant leaders are now reformed, able and hardworking people. Only fifteen per cent still retain some of their bad habits. These can only be called "a few undesirable elements", but one should never imitate the slander of the t'u-hao and bad gentry by branding them as "p'i-tzu". As regards these "few undesirable elements", Peasant Association discipline should be improved by mass propaganda and individual training among them, under the slogan "strengthen the discipline of the Peacant Associations!" Indiscriminate arrests by soldiers, which cost the faith of the poor persant class and strengthen the position of the tu-hao and bad gentry, should definitely be avoided. This point deserves the utmost attention.