

1.VIII. p.320-321 "The agrarian question must be solved...  
BUT SOC. DEMANDS INTRODUCED BY A SUNDOWN, A TERRIBLY difficult task  
VII: SEL, WKE, on peasantry

VII

The Agrarian ques. in Russia end of 19th c.  
1908, p.83 "The eco. significance of nat.-ition does not by any means lie  
where it is very often sought for. It does not lie in fight against  
bourgeois relationships (as we long ago pointed out, nationalization  
is one of the most consistent bourgeois measures) but in the fight against  
feudal relationships.... Nationalization would accelerate the death of  
serfdom & the dev. of purely capital farming on land that has been completely  
cleared of medieval lumber"

V.II-To the Rural Poor, 1900 The Agrarian Programme of S-DmCh. 7, 1902

V.III Character, Driving Forces & Perspectives of Rev. of 1905-7  
*(inc. also His Meaning of INTERNAL Party Struggle)*

p.503 What struggle bot. Bo. & Men is inseparably bound up with that  
his. it is a struggle over the ques. of supporting the liberals, over the  
ques. of overthrowing the hegemony of the liberals over the peasantry  
Therefore to attribute our splits to the influence of the intelligentsia,  
to the immaturity of the prol. is childishly naive repetition of liberal  
fairy tales."

p.505 "Tr distorts Bol. because he has never been able to form  
any definite views on the role of the prol. in the Rus. bourg. rev."

(in 1905 Tr advocated electoral agreements with cadets!)

V-IV-Agrarian -Peasant ques in the Yrs of Reaction, 1908-14

V-V-Imp-N?

V-VI-APRIL THESIS\*\* Party & Peasantry on Rd. to Oct.

p.33-(ffNB)bour-dem. rev. BUT "rev.-dem. dic. of prol.&peasantry"  
The Bol. slogan "dictate in General" has been fully corroborated  
by his; but concretely things have turned out differently  
than anyone could have anticipated - bourg. dem. rev. infus. has been completely  
The rev. dev. of "no peasant rev." has already been realised but in an extremely  
original form - a Marxist proceeds not from possible, but from actual  
(I am absolutely in favor of the Soviets of Agric. Labourers & Peasants  
taking possession of all the land.)

Not skipping stages, not calling for  
workers state - but Soviets of Workers, Peasants, Soldiers  
(tenders resignation, p.232)

V-VII-i/24.18p/b may also wish to smash up state but we recognize  
only one road, changes from below - we wanted workers themselves  
to draw up, from below, the new principles of economic condition  
Left Wing Childishness & P.D. Mentality - The pol. in a frenzy may also want as  
much. And this int. sh. fact. is what our rev. did best.

Oct. 1917 & Feb. 1918

IT CAN BE INTRODUCED BY TENS OF MILLS OF PEOPLE WHEN THEY HAVE  
LEARNT HOW TO DO EVERYTHING BY THEMSELVES... To think that we shall not  
be thrown back in utopia. ("On Revising Party Program & Name, 3/8/18")

V.IX-it is necessary to abolish distinction bet/country as well as distinction  
bet/manual & brain workers... p.433  
p.439-Main Cap. & Chrday The formulae of genuine C-ism differ from pompous  
involved solemn phrasemongering of the Kautskys, the Mensheviks & the SRS &  
their beloved brethren of Berne in that they reduce everything to the  
conditions of labor!

VII-XV-VII on Marx on Am. Black Redistribution

there is no doubt about the rev.  
democratic nature of this (new) movement