SOME NOTES ON LENIN'S STATE AND RECOLUTION

1) Of Tives importance is the fact that the book was written right on the CV3 of the November Revolution, that is between the first February Revolution and before the actual Bolshevik Revolution. Man Senting Section of Explains that it was written in August and September 1917, and that he had not completed the book begause he was "interrupted" by the actual outbreak of the revolution. "It is more pleasant and more outbreak of the revolution. "It is more pleasant and a useful." he adds, "to live through the experience of a revolution than to write about it."

2) Secondly, just as there is no division between theory or books, and practice, so it becomes necessary to the every great crisis to review the past Markist works in the light of the latest developments. That is just what Lenin did in 1917, review the works of Mark on the 1848 revolutions, and the Paris Commune in 1871, against the background of the Imperialist war of 1914 which saw what Lenin called the transformation of monopolist capitalism into State-monopoly capitalism, and at the education time saw the collapse of the Second or Scalalist International

3) The book is divided into 6 chapters: First, the analysis of class society and the State; Second and Third the 1848 and 1671 revolutions; Fourth, the dispute with the anarchists as is seen in the work of Engels; Fifth takes up the whole question of the withering away of the state after the Revolution; and the Sixt Chapter duals with the opportunist raisifications of Marx which Marxiets call "Vulgarization".

4) The first point of important that Lenin emphasizes from the start is the fact that the State, or Government, is, in the first instance, a result of class antagonians. That is since only the class struggle in its final stages can do away with the political rule of the capitalist class, and since the struggle of the classes in the factories themselves are too sharp and no one there can pretend that the interests of the workers and the bosses are the same, the capitalists as a class have their representation in the state which they pretend stands above classes. All of history shows that to be a falsehool. Lenin puts this view clearly when he writes:

Lenin puts this view clearly when he writes:

"According to Marx, (the) State is the organ of class domination, the organ of oppression of one class by another.

Moreover, not only politically and economically is the working class oppressed, but the armed forces, the prisons, etc. are all a sign of this class rule.

It is for this very reason that the Marxists, after the revolution, and the period in which <u>Dictatorship</u> of the Froletariat is necessary, foresee the <u>withering away</u> of the state, once there is no exploitation of man by man.

12594

5) After dealing with the state, Lenin goes on to energy the 1848 revolutions. He takes up Herr's works the Poversy of Philosophy and The Communist Manifeston and shows that to the projectoriat, the state would become the projectoriat organized and the raling sizes.

Once the 1848 mevolutions, in which the petty played the same trancherous role in relation to the plurgeois as we now know to be common, were over, the bourgeoisis terist petty bourgeoisis showed their true color. Rather than Mor give any substantial rights to the proletariat that hely Then overthree feetallam, they returned back to the rule of lines (the erecting of louis Philippe as louis Bonarparts in 1957) existinated the counter-revolution. Lenin quotes from Farm's analysis of this event, known as "The 18th Brussire of Louis Bonaparte", to show the difference between a prolaterian ionis Bensporte", to show the difference between a partial and a boargeois revolution. It is this which led Marx to conclude that the workers couldn't just "take over" the state machinery of the boargeois but must "smash it up." Louis Brosporte"

6) The experience of the 1871 revolution is of greater impor-tence than the 1843 revolutions, because the 1871 was a truly proleterian revolution and established though only for a short time, an actual workers republic, the Paris Commune, which served as a model for the 1917 revolution.

Losin then takes on the achievements of the Paris Communed described rule by the corkers, the rejority of this population destruction of the stanting army and the bureaucracy and replacing it by the same people and the commune which was not only elected by the people but where every elected offici the subject to mesell, and sould earn a salary as that of

(3) to bessing empt by the people Themselves. Here is now

Lexin puts the words of the workers themselves:

The must organize production on a large scale, starting from that has already been done by sapitalism. By correlate, we workers, relains on our own experience as workers, must create an imalabelle and iron discipline supported by the power of the armed workers; we must reduce the role of the State officials to that of simply carrying out our own instructhose; they must be responsible, revocable, moderately paid framewers and clerks.

ind, as Hark put it, the Commune was the form "discovered at last" by the proletarian revolution under which the profeserat can achieve its economic liberation.

Film the 4th chapter Lemin deals with the housing question, for even that seemingly simple question sannot be solved by confidence. Init, in the process, he shows that the anarchists has wish "to shollan" the state, even the proletarish state, from the first day of the revolution, only make it easy for the communer revolution to enter. However, the importance of this pertinular the providence of the reformists or encielists continued the anarchists only from the opportunist 12395

For example, Lenin shows that in a certain sense the Socialists, even when all Marxists were Rocialists, erred in their program and in their conception of the state. For instance, the Errunt Program was considered the model for all socialist parties; yet feven in its time Engels criticized it. But the letter was hidden from the party by Eautsky for many years.

What Mar appeared then as the kind of an argument that is pute hair splitting turned out to be crucial for the revolution. Thus Kautsky said that that the state and trusts that is pute heir splitting turned out to be crucial for the revolution. Thus Kautaky said that that the state and trusts can "plon" and hence the disorder of capitalish would disappear at that stage. Engels had objected and said that isn't socialism, and Lenin now supports him in this view:

This fast must be emphasized because the 'reformist' middle class view that monopolistic capitalism, whether private (a) State, is no longer capitalism, but can already be termed, "State Socialism" or something of that sort, is one of the most widespread errors."

for that is what the English call wocialism, state planning, and that is what we must still fight against as capitalist state "planning". At does not do away with exploitation of workers, imperialist exploitation of the colonies, like Africa.

8) The real economic foundation of the withering away of the state is to abolish exploitation, and Marx in What is known as his "Criticism of the Gotha Program" of the socialists of his day) dealt with it best of all.

Lenin here deals with the transition period from Capitalian to Communism, and with the early such highest stages of communism when the rule of life, so to speak, would be what Marx called the all-round development of the individual.

(a) In the last chapter Lenin takes up the opportunist errors of the Socialists, the German like Kautsky, the Russians like Plekhanov, and the manner in which they all hid the fact, and thus betrayed the workers, that you could not have a workers state, without a workers revolution to small the exploitative capitalist state.

Before Lenin had a chance to move from this chapter to the one dealing with the 1905 and early 1917 revolutions, the revolution burst forth. Without this previous clarification of his own mind, he could not have given out the very simple slogars that helped win the revolution, because it was in the language of the workers, and for sims for which they were already fighting instinctively.