Milwood M. Drachksvitch, Senior Staff Member of the Hoover Institution Stefan T. Poscay, Dir., Int. Pol. Studies, Hoever Institution The Revolutionary Internationals, 1864-1943 Edited by Milored M. Drachkovitch Amenicanthattant Stanford U/Press,1966

Pretace by MMD \$6.95 Reserve devised from papers divered on "lat day of an int. scholarly gathering, a conf. on "100 Ess. of Rev. Int's. , wergd. by Hooveranstitution on Mar. Rev. Affects held on Oct. 5.6.27.1964. "The 7 essays presented in this book are comparative historical studies It is hoped ... the authors of the present vol. will introduce both the layern athe professional histories to new facets of an EXTREMELY COMPLEX HIS PHENOKENON."

Part I -lst Int. --Rise & Fall of lot Int. by Jacques Freyword &Mikles Molner Secret Societies 4the lst Int.by Borio Mikolaevsky Part II the 2nd Int.-The 2nd Int:1889-1914 Gerhart Kiessyer SD Carl Landauer Part III -3rd Int.

3rd Int. by Hilroad M. Drachkovitch allranko Lasitch The Comintern as an Instrument of Sev. Strategy by Stefan T. Pescny

p/210: By 1928 Massow feered that the League of Mations would succeed in

overcoming them antagonisms bet, the cap, states, " 3rd per, calling SD "social imperialists "munited front from below"

A good ex, of "schelarship" & a metter yet of slander "The soop.bet, Crists & Ger, mationalists had a long his dating from Imperial Germany's aid to lamin during WWI." (Ref. is to his own book!) "It included Crist resdings to enter into an alliance with Ger, against the Entente powers in the summer of 1918. [The is his interpretation of Brest-Litovok) make poraphraces Mast that the MC-let movement provided a model for p.212

the Metienal Socialist struggle," p.213) 6/31/31 CP supports Masi-sponsored referendum for dissolution of the Prussian Diet.

A good ex. of this man's McCarthyist slant is quotin Thelmann's statement of fascism as weeks movement" and "mass party" as if that meant agreement.

To top both the slanders & the total lack of any source, p. 2180Maturally the C-ists didnot help the Maxis MRRELY(my emphasis) because they manted Hitler to win.

p,2200his stupid ass tries to say that because,3/31/39, the British govt "gave a guarantes to Poland Son 4/13/to Ru, ania SHitler could not attack UBBR thru these countries that therefore(sick) "immediately returned" to his policy of 1932 dearly in 1939 made the 1st feelers that were to result in the Masi-Saviet Paot of Aug. 23."

p.230" There was initially no concept.let alone a plan, that would have tied together the hopes of the early \$20s with the restination of the late 40s.... Nevertheless central ideas inherited from Lanin dominated (sic) Stalin's strategic thinking ... "

This "scholar" is as ignorant of Hegel as of Lenin. p/2217 "But even the highly disciplined. "Bolshevized" Commist movement could not be switched deigragged as casily from thesis to antithesis as the laws of dialectics demand ... But one of the essential points of dislectis, as Lenin commented on Regel, is to recognise

12588 the necessity of the "jump"...." Asgain last septembe,p.222; But sessure to print

(Posony nontimed)

p.222: "The CI served the world rev.well. Never before had the world experienced strategy so astate ecomplex deever were operational concepts executed with greater skill deer opnioses..... It was all in line with the insight which Isnin drow from Hegel's phil. of his: "Dislectic equals the destroying of enescals."

200 or your property MA Druberty

Starting with the "fact" that neither the Party nor the Rev. would have been without lenin, he lays basis for "continuity" of line of Lenin, not in 1919 when Ind une founded, but from 1902 what Is To Be fixed and of course all wouldn't have been without of the Fascination of Rev. Power" (1st subjecting) so he proceeds from Gleminist party? "cleminist rev." to show that no one followed him during war but Crower succeeded where Lenin's persuasiveness had failed." And in that therefore are not only Balshevike but socialists INW anarchists, etc. but oridently it was all "A Historical Misunderstanding" (2nd subheading): Sattracted on essentially emotional rather than ideological grounds...."

For "prestige (sic) of the only successful rev."/// or "Bolshevik's activism was attractive"...or, sin of sins, they were young: "It was no accident that the overwhe ming mak. of C-ist leaders in the per. bot. 191941921 were under 30 yrs, of ago, danny of them under 25."

p.161: With respect to the GMs. C-ists, whose leaders opposed the creation of the 3rd Int. as preseture. Lenin enjoyed a great stroke of pol.

good luck in the assassination by a 'class enery' in 1919 of Resa Inxemburg &Leo Jogiches."

After those 2 pieces of astuteness we get to "Pol.Background" (3rd subheading) not however, the Russian Rev., or world situation, but of knut (p.163) "pelitical immaturity" ("ignorent) as witness the Congress of Towns in 12/20 of the French SFIO-how only the "most hackward" countries or "parts of the country" goes on in great detail for 2 pages of Tugoslavia

When we get down to 4th subjecting "The Shaping of the CI", item (p.164: From the disperate human material that came to Moscow...") we get back to 1902: The events of Oct.1917 in Russia confirmed Lemin in the corr octness of his 1902 view, set forth in What Is To Be Done! that spontaneity was the main enemy of the wigglass movement. Ather the victory of the rev. could be secured only by "the controlization of the most secret functions in an org. of professional revolutions is

p.166 MMD calls "Left-Wing Communism, in Infantile Disorder "one of the greatest manuals of pol. merfare ever written", insisting that, despite its titls dits varning against "lefthing sectarianism" it was really "right doctrianism" that was the enemy atherefore the 21 Conditions at the 2nd Congress soon to convene., at which supposedly "discipline was more important than truth."(p.167), making fascist triumph not the work of Mussoline but the split in SP 1/21 which "paralysed the workers" movement athus contributed to the ultimate fascist triumph."(p.168) (NOT A WORD THAT THIS SECOND CONGRESS WAS THE NEW POINT OF DHECRETICAL DEPARTURE FOR NATIONALACOLONIAL QUES.) so that when he gets to 3rd CI Congress in 1921 MMF cancludes that L "abandaned the positions apriorities he had urged upon the 2nd congress"(p.169) and by the 4th CI Othe new tactics of the United Front. dadersed by the 4th CI Congress in NoveDec.1922. did more to confuse the rank affile of the CI than to win oder the non-Communist masses." Ather startled listeners" re his statement that the speech of the 3rd CI(or rather resolution) was "almost thoroughly Byssian; that is to say everything is taken from Rus.conditions...

We ourselves have blocked our own rd. to further success."(p.170)

** }

The next subheading, "The Splitter" p.172"the blind anti-Socialism of Lanin" (Siei) let he must admit the lack of any Russianism Sociatent repetitions that once the rev. would spred GRussia will cease to be the model country & come begains a backward country. @(Cr.also L's Coll. Wks. Vcl. XV. p. 546: "The examists victory of socialish rev. is unthinkable in one country. It requires the most calleboration of at least several leading countries. AMONG WHICH WE

power in Balkans, in China, in Cubussio, IN STALIN'S DAY.

Next subhead Interrogram p.177. which deals with Zinoviev's "Bolchevizition" following defeat of 2rd Ger.R v. Abulgarian. He does say Zinoviev replaced professional revolutionaries of Lemin's day with prof. bureaucrats. Zin. replaced by Bukharia 1926, xkminostarian subministration from the control of the light of the control of the same has jumpe to 1928 mitimatical subministration desires Stalin credit for working out onew strategy forch. was worked out that FORESHADOWED(sie!) Kan's protracted guerfile warfare. "(of THE COMINTERS! HIS HIGHLIGHTS. Essays RecollectionDocuments ed. by HED again who palls attention to Richard C. Thornton's article.

Next subhead. "The CI under Stalin" begins with 6th CI & rd per. "radicalisation of the sasses" when MMD said Grecalled the early CI documents drafted by Lenin" in Grobensance". Popular Fronts & retreat back to SD after 1935. Then off to Pattler Stalin Pact 8/23/37. (re Bertolt Brech "a former anarchist Alibertarian poet" p.191)

And into final subhead GFrcm Lenin to Stalin: A BalanceSheet" (p.193) last par.)

For He Denewith its "obsession with power" was in both. "T. THE ISOIATION OF RIS. IN ORDER TO PREVENT ITS CONTAMINATION BY INFEREIS—where were Lenin's legacy to the CI. Stalin simply exploited the full potential for the evil of practices that were well—est'd. in Lomin's lifetime."

Moche has more "The CI's Place in His. & where he says in a way it is the rightful inheritor of 1st Int dimithe real Marx, the passionate dimpatient revolutionary... whose hatred of the bourgeoisie decontempt for pol. rivals matched Inin's in intensity." The he proceeds to show "differences" in GThe Sual nature of Lim..."

P.201: "L's criticism of the 2nd Lat., justifiable the it may have been, when not so such a pol. critique as a theological excommunication."

p.4 (A since this is really the beginning of beck)

Part I-let Int. "Rise AFall of let Int." by Jacques Freyword Miklos Molnar Pp.3-35

"In the general social dintell.his.if the last c.. the 1st int. ecoupies only a modest place....Still.the lst Int. created quite a stir....

"Complex Origins of the lat Int." p.8ffnere are as many percursors of the Int.as there are authors in the field."

The Est. of the Int. in Europe", the largest sub-section deals with it nevertiels as if it only were European donly whom he comes to eThe Colleges of the Lat Inte 1864, the Intereshed its semigh bet.186941871. Sections proliferated through *S***SEVERAL 100,000 WES PROCIAIMED THEIR ALLEGIANCE TO THE IMA*(p,21, but even *** ** Rere CW is not mentioned; in fact it will not be mentioned except as I'th Sthem only by quoting EM) Formally not dissolved till 1875 in Phila.
p.23 does Q Engels: "Commune which was beyond doubt the intellectual child of the Int." Also, "E: "For 10 yrs. the Int. channelled Ecropsen his in one direction—the direction of the future...."but calle it

Sclever application of his mat. but Schuits FE analysed it exoderately & dispassionately. "o.23" In a way the letter was the rough outline of a thesi if its antithesis is specified out, a synthesis energed." And what a synthesis mgse from the authors wishessh. hetprogeneous sections, diversity &divergeboss,p.24

p.26 is where he quotes Mx that the existence of DW "was what decided Palkers" to avoid war in the DS." (the ftn.is on p.227.ftn.36, from article in Int. Review of Social His. I(1956) which is based on Mx's lotter to his unple Lion Philipsythus:"If you consider, dearwoole, howat the time of Lincoln's election Philipsythus:"If you consider, dearwoole, howat the time of Lincoln's election Philipsythus:"If you consider, dearwoole, howat the time of Lincoln's election Philipsythus:"If you consider, dearwoole, howat the time of Lincoln's election Philipsythus:"If you consider, dearwoole, howat the time of Lincoln's election Philipsythus:"If you consider, dearwoole, howat the time of Lincoln's election Philipsythus the property of tion the only issue was not to make any further concessions to the slave owners, whereas now the abolition of clavery is the avowed epartly already realised aim, it must be admit

that such gigantic rev. was never carried out so fast. It will have the nest baneficial ifivence on the whole world."

The "synthesis" is that prosperity came to Europe dates.were not rev. any more. p. 35: "The attainment of Italian ager.unity.in particular made the collapse of the old Int.coincide with the end of old Europe."

"Secret Societies 4the ;st Int." by Boris Nicolaevsky p.51 The Philadelphians #the Founding of 1st Int v.g.:@The Int.,as his knows it,was born out of the struggle against the old methods of pol.conspiracy asceret organisations.Only against the background of this struggle can we understand the creation of the lat int dite subsequent his."...."I cannot refrain from indicating thatnot only was Mikhail Bakunin connected with the Philadelphians, but there were strong bonds bet. the Philadelphians, but there were strong bonds bet, the Philadelphians and the Hlanquists. And it was, after all, the Blanquists who dealt the death blow to the 1st Int. when they forced Mx to move the General Council to the IS.

The Anarchist Tradition by Hax Nound "propaganda by the deed"

)p.61:"There was no place in the world of his(Proudhon;s)ideas for either labor unions or strikes for higher wages."

p.62 Enter Bakunin From Proudhon through Bakunin to Kropotkin

-5-(B) Cont Nosed's The Armerchiet Tredition (Prince Peter Kropotkin)

Nomed is v.g., in discribing "The last convention of that "Anti-Authoritarian" or sare precisely anti-Marxian Int. took place in 1871 ... w

p 70:"The disintegration of Bakuninism had bogun even Before Bakunin's death in 1876. It had started 2 yrs.earlier, in 1874, when the revered leader covered hissolf with shape this movement with ridicule by wasting the entire mar chest of the hoped-for rev. on the childish project of improving the ville in which he lived.

pp.78-79 re strongth in Spaint"By relating their propaganda to the wage struggles of the wkrs., the lat Sp. leaders of the Int. ost. in their country so firm a tradition of championing wagelass interests that no ant. of later Mrist competition was able to weaken it." 1907 pengress in Amsterday, p.83181ts main feature was the debate bet. Briles Malatesta the most remantic rep. of post-Bakumin amerchism & Pierre Honatte, the outstanding spokesman of a new school of amerchism, usually designated as amercho syndicalism, which had emerged in the mid-1890's...

p.87: re WM I colepse of Fr. unions of Ger. of 2nd, etc. "That wer proved a blow to the "puro" abarchists as well. Peter Kropotkin, Jean Grave. Chas Malato, Max Nottley, dother boarers of famous names came out in defense of their respective countries ... The fact that some of the olf famous oldetimers, incl. Halatesta, Essa Goldman & Alexander Serkman, struck uncompositingly to their guns could not offset the disarray created by the fall from grace of the almost deified Kropotkin....

pp.90-2 has conclusion which traces it from its 1st appeal to skilled wers. (Proudhonism) which lost out with dev. of large-scale ind "Proudhonist enerchism or nutualism was followed by Pakunism, whose moving force was the declasse intelligents is of the underdeveloped countries-precisely tht social groups which the Proudhonists had opposed.... Unlike the Proudhonis the Bakuninists were insurrections.... "Then charche-syndicalism "And finally it was the Hol. Rev. whose prol. mystique danti-cap, reality deprived the anarchist of most of their ref dof many of their leaders, " but theb(p.92)"It may also be said that basically Leninism is a hybrid of Bakuninist activism deficiet terminology."

le wis how you have The 2nd Int., 1889-1914. Gargart Niemeyer he will have the copy of the post of the property of the part of

8 periodical congresses plus 1 extraord.one. p.97???"It is most imp. to emphasize, though, that the 2nd Int.draw its identity lst of all from a set of symbols rather than an org."

Exclusion of anarchists did not mean exclusion, p.99:"In the recurrent debates on the general strike, on the rd.to power, dabove all, on war, the anarchists were silent but influential partners of theparties who though with the expulsion of the anarchists in 1896, they had laid the ghost of rev. radicalismforever. "end and means configuration"p.100

p.104: "Ger.S-D-the very term indicates its character as a little world unto itself-formed a "state within a state, ""

"people in insurrection" (Babeufian phrase?)

Q FE on Tearism (from Mayer's P.B.) says E's statement contained word, aggressor",p.111/ p.115 gd.re 2nd's insistence on nat.armies, nat.order, nat.parliaments, nat.parties p.120, ditto .abeve p.125 dismisses "noble enterprio", "idealism", "selfless concern" by: Gwho will

har her 9111 ohn 2

-6 (C)-

Misneyer cont.

p.; 26.concl: Without the Int., European labor might have become as integrated c part of the oxisting scriety as labor did in as, in the 20th or and resident it would have done we earlier. The revolutionary dutopian ideology, however stood in the way of this dev. It created fears accumterfears, athose helped to neurish the fescist aliani novements as such as they now ished the Commist MOYOMAN 122 1/0 Ch 1/4/8

SD by Carl Landsuer

Lizevi

o.126.great histus in the his.of soc.in 19th c.severe repressions that followed Fift sub. "The Grigins of SD &Elements of its Creed". \$.129"Heir of dem/liberalism def whenian soc. "

p.130 msD humanitarianism.however, was in irreconcilable contradiction with SO belief in rov., which had been inherited from radical dom." altho CL does admit tunsses understood rev. "in precisely this sense, "hayfork sense of violence."

gives t.u. "credit" for inflincting sense of organity principle instead of v. CD doce admit that except GB considered themselves Mxistsp.131 ????!"From the contention that labor was the cause of all value was derived the postulate that were should receive the full value of the product, REGARDLESS OF THE POLE OF CAP. MIAND IN ITS PROD. "p. 133/

p.133"Rebrew-Christian tradition of the value of the human person, divise was one of the carriers of this tradition, all the professions of 'materialism' by him a his disciples notwithstanding.

p.136: "One element of Marxism was almost entirely eliminated ... SD lost the survivals of ELITISH which are still found in Mrist thought

MEMBNH without going into WW I CD jumps from "theory" counteracting forces address around mass strike as result of 1905 ALL THE WAY Tol920s "SD in the Interser Per", 151:"SD, as it eserged from the ordeal, was beth weaker detrogger than it had been in the prover per."

"how could the instrumental of the state, if no longer restricted in its effectiveness by the many fetture on the popular will of the preser per, be used to promote the dev. of society in the dir. of society

p.142:000 believed in the value of the individual man/The kind of sec.

the SD wanted was humanitarianism applied to the eco."

p.142: "othical relativism of Mx"(sici)

all on economics 7 coop.of Am govts in WWII with those in Europe led by SD-what is that all about???????? AFTER WHICH HE JUMPS TO 1950's 21960's p.146,"The SD 'Subculture': "Before turning to the effect upon the SD of the defeat of fascism in WWIIdthe prosperity of the 1950's 4196_s."like People's Theatre in Ser.daustria "The New Eco. Theory of Soc." "Has SD a Future?

p.151 Mitho the SD parties emerged after WWII as proud bearers of a tradition that had survived fascist oppression, they seen found themselves in a new crisis....purged themselves of the relics of Marxisa which now seemed just so much ideological rubble. This was a necessary sacrifice; whatever value Mrism as a unifying creed had had in the past, it had now disappeared ... eco, planning, welfare state, etc. etd. p.155: The SD movement has a protagonist of himanitarianism has not yet won the day in spite of the defeatof fascism