

Point 5
Mony
Lawyer
Point
6/27/70
Herr Lange wonders that Engels, I, etc. take the dead dog of Hegel seriously when Bouchner, Lange, Dr. Duhring, Fec Fachner, etc. are agreed that they --poor dears-- have buried him long ago. Lange is naive enough to say that I 'move with rare freedom' in empirical matter. He hasn't the least idea that this 'free movement in matter' is nothing but a paraphrase for the method of dealing with matter--that is the dial. method.

+++++
re MACHINERY 1/8/63 ~~Ex~~ KN to FE "There are some curious questions here which I ignored in my 1st treatment. In order to get clear about it I have read through all my notebooks (extra acts on technology gain can also attending a practical course (experimental only) for workers..."
7/6/63 he works out th. of reprod. --4 bks. now are clear
"63-'65 writes 1st draft of all vols. using
"61-'63 draft as basis.
1/15/66--writes Kugelman about 4 bks

Don't know
if it's
any

5/24/61
to
Mony
Point
5

R, 5/13/50 : If mere counterposition of mat. to idealism in the '40s was insufficient to destroy the economics of Proudhon, ~~Ex~~ moved in '50s to destroy completely concept of 'ex.' ~~Ex~~ in '60s & '70s saw that what 'Ex. Banks' & 'Credit' was to non-pol. Proudhon, became
* IN THE HANDS OF THE VERY POL. LASALLE THE STATE.

re categories of wks ~~what~~ what they did before & after displaced in order to show that in machine prod. DIV. OF LABOR as described by Smith does not hold true --wear & tear of machinery; ACC&REprod'wkr's PRECISE activity in factory & in agric.

6/2/62

6/28/62 with letter on Darwin & also Hegel on spiritual kingdom of animals

It also means, for us, living after WWII while colonial world is in turmoil & Ch. after 3 decades of civil war STILL HAS VITALITY TO CHALLENGE THE US & UN, the theoretical ans. to the empty abstract per. rev. of L
Q KM to FE, 1/24/63: "Approaching the ch. of my bk on Machines I find myself in great difficulty. It has never been clear to me how the self-acting mule changed the process of spinning or, more correctly--inasmuch as still earlier steam power had been employed in what, then, does the interference of the motive forces of ~~is that then, does the spinner express itself in rel.~~

to the force of power?

6/2/67

4 days later: "I am enlarging presently the ch. on machines. There are many problems there which I had evaded (oboshel) in the 1st draft... In order to clarify myself I reread in full my ntbks (extracts) on tech. & am attending a practical course (experimental only) for wkrs.... I understand the mathematical laws, but the simplest technical reality demanding perception is harder to me than to the biggest blockhead."

Outline at end of [1863] shows [1867] to end Cap. "Results of Process of Prod. with notation" The change in the appearance of the law of appropriation can be given under 6 or 8. Th of SV 9. Th. of Prod. labor. And this as 6"

[Faded text, possibly a list or index]

In same letter (11/20/65) in which he asks E about why wages of spinners he writes: "For the full exposure of the Eng. hypocrite there lacked only--after the American war--Irish his. & the Jamaica butcheries." The revolt of the West Indies Negroes become part of the his. of world sending out impulses to Mx as he rewrites Cap. But decisive thing is study of [81] books & wkg. day. 2/10/66. Letter to Meyer, 4/30/67 re "on verge of the grave."

1883

CHANGES AFTER pub. (1) Part I does NOT have 4, but 3 sections as Fetishism has been made part of e. (2) Part II does not have separate chs. but is 1. (3) Part VIII is transformed into Part VII, all except final ch. incl. but 1 ch. NE***NB***NB*** 1/15/51 (final letter, after SCWR) I still complain that we dev. s-c too much as "logical" conclus/on of cap instead of TRANSFORMATION INTO OPP. as Lenin did mon. & as "absolute contradiction" which I added to SCWR. And once we speak of these transformations as in Vol III (per se) rel of subj. to obj. then we can jump directly to NOTION instead of merely contrastive Form to Essence, & begin to speak of HUMAN factors. reaching our age not merely as unity of opposites but as NEGATION OF NEGATION