KS

FF

In view of the fact that some confusion arose in the class regardthe meaning of the word "productive laborer", it would be advisable to quote briefly from the unpublished 6th Chapter of Marx's Capital (Archives II(VII),pol27:

"Just as the direct cim and peculiar product of capitalist production is surplus value and only he who applies his capacity of labor is a productive worker who produces directly surplus value; consequently, it is only that labor which is utilized in the process of production for the augmentation of the value of capital."

So anxious is Marx to give the word, productive labor, the type of connectation in ich, in a capitalist society, would tie it up, with valuproduction, that he goes so far as to say (p.147, Arbhaves):

ist, as representative of capital, finding itself in the process of augmentation of its value—of the <u>productive capital</u>—executes a <u>productive</u> function which consists precisely in order to directand exploit productive labor. In contradistinction to other co-participants in the use of <u>surplus value</u> who do not find themselves in such a direct and active relation to their production, that class is a <u>productive class</u> par exclusion.

No one in this class has to be propagatized on the fact that the capitalist, any more than the pops or the poet laureate, is a worker, much less a productive one, but lives off the surplus value extracted from the workers he exploits. All the more significant there is the above use of "productive class" in stressing value productive has mark explains in vol.III of Capital, p.455, the capitalist's "rages" is equal to the surplus value he gets from his productive laborers:

compared to money-capitalist the industrial capitalist is a laborer, but a laboring capitalist, an exploiter of the labor of others. The wages which he critical and pockets for this labor amount exactly to the appropriated quantities and mother's labor and depend directly upon the rate of exploitation of this labor, so far as he take the trouble to assume the increasing burdens of exploitation. They do depend upon the degree of his exertions in carrying on this emploitation.

The Expenses of Circulation in order to know which labors created preserve value and which as not, and role that chapter follows:

1. The Time and Rurchase of Sale

p.148: Conversion of a commodity costs time and labor power

not for the purpose of creating values, but in order to accomplish
the conversion of value from one form to another/The mutual attempt
to appropriate an extra share of this value, changes nothing fundamental
This work, increased by the evil designs on other side, does not create
value any more than the work done in a civil process increases the value

the object of contents converted the converse condities are not
capitalists, but direct produces the time required for buying and
selling is seemed loss of labor time, and for this reason such transactions were deferred in ancient and medieval times to holiday.

(Note phrase! loss oflabor time")

p.149: For the capitalist who has others working for him, selling and buying become primary functions....But still neither the sale nor the purchase create any values. An illusion is here created by the function of merchant's espital....If a function, which is unproductive in itself, although a necessary link in reprod., is transformed by a division of labor from an incidental occupation of many into an exclusive functions essuperion of a few, the character of this function is not changed thereby. One merchant, as an agent promoting the transformation of commedities by assuming the role of a merc buyer and seller, may abbreviate by his operations the time of sale & purchase for many producers. To that extent he may be regarded as a machine which reduces expenditure of energy or helps to set free some time of production.

(Note particularly expression: \*machine which/helps to set free some time of production. \* )

2/Bookkeeping

p.152.footnote: but production and bookkeeping for production remain as much two different things as a cargo of a ship and the way-bill.

as a cargo of a ship and the way-bill.

the person of the bookkesper, a part of the isbor-power of the communis withdrawn from production and the cost of his function is not reproduced by his can labor, but by a deduction from the communal product.

(what is extremely important in the above is "The cost of his function is not reproduced by his own labor, but by a deduction from the communal product." Recause Marx sticks so close to the production process, and the whole of Yolume I congains itself with the strict process of production, it seemed possible to be able to evoid monecessary (unnecessary to Yol. I that is) discussion of non-productive labor and simply keep in mind that when he talks of productive labor, we are all the time in the process of production, of capitalist production, which means value production.)

3.Boney

Commodities performing the function of money do not enter either into productive or into individual consumption. They represent social labor rates formula which it may serve as a mere machine in circula

a mere change of form in circulation, ideally speaking, do not enter int the value of the commedities... Not so the expenses of circulation which we shall consider new. They may arise from the process of production which are continued only in circulation, the productive character of is merely songealed by the fermion of the productive character of its value, which therefore are used expenses so far as society is the other hand, in so far as the addition to the price of commoditien merely distributes the expenses of circulation equally, the unproductive character of this expenditure is not changed.

Value of commodities in this case is maintained operations which permit of additional labor influencing that use-value. Its expenses of Storage Likewise with transportation. Aggregates of

products do not increase through being transported. But the use-value things is realized only in their consumption & their consumption and their consumption of production.

exists only where the means of labor and the external conditions of labour belong to private individuals. But according as these private individuals are laborer or not laborers, private property has a different character....

the labourer working for himself, but the capitalist exploiting many laborers. This expropriation is accompanied viable, by the cation of the immenent laws of capitalist production itself, by the centralization of capitalist production itself, by the centralization of capital. One capitalist always kills many. Hand in hand with this centralization, or this expropriation of many sapitalists by few, develope, on an ever extending scale, the cocceptative form of the labor-process, methodical outlivation of the labor-process, methodical cultivation of the early the transformation of the instruments of labor into instruments of labor confly usable in common, the economising of all means of production by their use as the means of production of combined, accislisted lagor, the entanglement of all international character of the capitalistic regime. Along with the constantly diminishing number of magnates this process of transformation, grows the makes of misery, oppression, slavery, degradation, exploitation; a class always increasing in numbers, and disciplined, united, organized by the vert mechanism of intext the process of capitalist production itself. The monopoly which has aprung up and flourished along with, and and socialisation of labor at least reach a point where they become incompatible with their capitalist integument is burst quander. The knell of capitalist property sounds. The expropriators are expropriated."

Marx's final chapter, The Modern Theory of Colonization, in which he brings us back to the capitalist society in which we still live, reveals how all economic categories are really social categories. Hence, as Marxista we speak not of thing, such as machines, but of relations of production; Marx uses the story of E.C. Wakefield to show how this capitalist has discovered in the colonies the truth as to the conditions of capitalist production; (p.859)

"First of all Wakefield discovered that in the Colonies property in money, means of subsistence, machines and other means of production, does not stamp a man as a capitalist if there be wanting the correlative—the wage-workers, the other man who is compelled to sell himself of his own free will. He discovered that capital is not a thing, but a social relation between persons, established by the instrumentality of things."