THE CONCEPT OF IDEOLOGY SOTHER ESSA by Geo. Lichtheim, Random Ha

Untrod. claims a certain unity in these essays written bet. 162-166, embracing no less than 3 centuries from Puritan upheaval in 17thc. "by way of the Fr.Rev." to totalitarianism.

Part I-3 Studies in Mistorical Logic.

THE CONCEPT OF IDEOLOGY (His.&Th. IVm2, 165)

p/4:"His...lly, the term 'ideology'made its 1st appearance at the time of the F.Rev., its author, Antoine Destutt de Tracy, being one of the group of savants whom the Convention in 1793 entrusted with the mgt.of the newly-founded-Institutde France."(ftn is to Georges Lefebvre, La revolution francaise (Paris, 57)p.443
which shows the assation of Institute was for purposes of creating a mationwide system of higher learning committed to the chiles the Enlightenment. "Intellectual explosion countempart of brilliant achievements in natural/In the 1840's Marx used term in onlinely

different context. In any case the ideologues of the 18th c. were forerunners POCHTIVISM. De A Ploper H New -

of normative ideas &as an incipient critique of the very notion of "The 2-forld character of the liberal "ideology", as a system Elemente de Ideologie (1601-1815) presents a Science des lilees for which he cites absolute norms. the authority of locke &Condillac." who are praised for having inaugurated "the natural his. of ideas", -- "that is the scientific description of the human mind."

The antecedents of this faith are Baconian and Cartesian. Helvetius; "Our ideas are the necessary consequences of the societies in which we live. "L'education peut tout, " which is where Marx writes that the educators must themselves be

p.11 GL returns to the historic in strict chronological order when he goes to the Hegelian tradition holding that though Marx handled the Left Hegelians "German Ideology" were legitimate heirs of the ideologues. "Actually" Hegal was "its true originator was Hegel, who from his youth all. Jacobinism had gradually moved to an almost Burkean worship of continuity without ever quite renouncing his faith in universal reason &the rule of law." Q-Phil-of Rt. #209.p.134)

Q KM's HOLY FAMILK: (p.1167) History, like truth, becomes a person apart, a motaphysical subj., of which the real individua motaphysical subj., of which the real individuals as merely the bearers."

GL is v.g. on p.17 when he says about Kierkegaard aniputsche but means also today's existentialists: Among the Vat universals to be case overboard by these influential critics of rationalism was the concept of HUMANITY.

His.now proceeds from Hegel to Marx

Marx's concept of ideology as "false consciousness p.22 begins From Metaphysics to Positivism "sociology took shape as the application of positivist principles -- themselves rooted in the world view of 18th c. Enlightenment to the study of institutions." (Ftn refers to Beatrice Webbis Apprenticeship upon which GL comments ultre-study of Fabian origins in recent years has done much to clarify the manner in which the Comtean impulse reached these late-"ictorean intellectuals by way of JS Mill othe novels of George Elliot."

Traces also Nietsche's irrationalism from 1880s when phil.seemed indeed dead until rise of fastism.p.28:"From this irrationalism it was only a 12209

short step to the BIOLOGICAL VITALISM of the 3rd Reich &its ideologists Re Weber ftn.refers to Schumpeters Cap., Soc. &Dem. p. 32; "Unlike Marx, for whom history as a whole exemplified a hidden rationality, he (weber) relativized sociology by SEVERING IT FROM PHIL: every culture has its own norms Evalues which enter into the perception of what is called reality. ... At this point the critique of ideology—originally a philosophical them turned into relativism The Sociology of Knowledge. As against the question of ideology, 1860-1920 as against earlier debate, Fr. Rev. - 1848, Weber Sidn't "really 'turn Marx upside down' (e.g. in asserting that Protestanism was a key factor in the rise of cap.) but rather developed a bourgeois counterpart to the Mxian theory of history. Mannheim is treated by GL as epilogue to Waber! Claims link bet them provided by Lukacs 1923, "Athe ques is asked how far the concept of ideology was clarified by this belated fusion of the Maist & the positivist standpoint.

(Evidently Mannheim didn't reveal the influence Lukacs exarted.) "Bourgeois Lukacs" Aftn.p.36 points to Inkacs influence on HM, likewise unacknowledged altho GL doesn't put it that openly.)pp.35-36:"Not only was it the class destined to make an end of bourgeois soc.:its coming triumph signaled the practical resolution of theoretical problems insoluble from a bourgeois standpoint incl. the gential proble A this conclusion was devid not in the usual philistine manner, which virtually negated the very existence of phil., but thru an analysis of LOGICAL SEPTSTEMOLOGIC CONCEPTS which sought to est. their essentially HISTORICAL character. Lukacs in 1923 not merely revived the Hegelian dialectic in his own fashion he hid what Hogel had done in PHEN. WHEN HE TREATER THE CATEGORIES AS MANIFESTATIONS OF STRIT," p.37: "Lukacs had seen well enough that empiricism can never attain to an intellectual grasp of the concrete totality of history" i.e., metaphysics. Mannheim's solution was that it was the intellectual not the prol. who is this subject, but now what about consciousness in luxues? bourgeois illusions, sone which, il not overceme, must unfailingly promote the catastrophe c humanity. The conflict bet bourgeoisie &prol thus involves the fate of manking But p.39 the empirical prol is itself subjected to the ideological confusions corises typical or bourgeois society in the era of its decomposition, &therefore -- here Lukacs takes leave of classical Mxism&adopts???? ????????? the Leninist standpoint-it requires the leadership of rev.party which incarnates.??? the consciousness on which literally everything depends is once more that of a the consciousness which literally everything depends is once more that of a group of individuals; for of course the party itself has to be led. In his late years Lukacs showed himself ready to face the implications of this dialectic: Reason could be located in a group, ment in an indiv. who had substituted himself for the group. OND ARTICLE in Part I deals with Jordan that no interest for us nor is it. on same level. The 3rd article, renamed Oriental Despotism (In.St. Anthony's Papers, XIV(Oxford, 1963) it was more correctly called Marx and the Asiatic 12210

Production is excellent but was summarized elsewhere.

GL SARTRE, MARXISM & HISTORY (His. & Theory, 11(1963) p.300:"...one of his root assumption that the inherent problems of dial are ultimate(ONTOLOGICAL.") p.301:"The Marxian antihomies of being sconsciousness which came to light when the Hegalian synthesis collapsed must be overcome through an affort to lay bare THE UNTOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF HISTORICAL REALITY. The elucidation of this structure will demonstrate that Man does not simply submit to the dialectic, but that he makes it. (Critique, p. 231) This demonstration is the subj of what Sartre calls his theory of ensembles pratiques." p.304. "Thus human nature is shown to have been conditioned by a state of affairs which thears a marked resemblance to a concentration campp" whole of bk.2 is totalization-from group to history. JPS calls Hegel's thought "the most ample philosophic totalization"./But that would be Hegelianism pure &simple. Sartre (in this respect following Marx) believes that the meaning of the historical process can be grasped from the inside as it pere, only by those who are actively engaged in promoting its forward movement. At the same time he is clearly fascinated by the Hegelian notion of a dialectical process which comes to itself in the consciousness of the beholder. Thus can it ge speaks of constitutive dialectic that grasps itself. via the individue pragis' which rather sounds as though the dialectics were an independent motive force; &in Hk II he expounds at length a METHODOLOGICAL principle best described

constitutes the group is precisely the 'inert practicality of BkI." P.#)*:308:"Sartre on the whole remains 'abstract' in that he rarely succeeds in grasping the ESTOTICAL HOMENT IN ITS UNIQUENESS.... Afinally the dialection being an intelligible principle of HISTORICAL EXISTENCE, becomes an indimative

by human praxis (instead of being 'organic'as with the Romantics) the praxis that

by saying that he identifies 'totality' with structure. Although he make point that the "ONTOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF THE GROUP" (p.438) is constituted

`force:"

p.312:"S's phil.of his.presents itself as a speculative system which transforms the concept of Marxian analysis.-HIS ... PRAXIS. class conflict.-into ONTOLOGICAL NOTIONS & then sets up a dialectic bet. them..... He therefore remains at the Hegelian level."

p.313:"It (S's concept of totalization, Wholes) also presupposes, if not and 'ABSOLUTE moment' in time, at any rate a CRITICAL moment.

Time need not stand still to oblige the philosopher, but there have to be privileded moments when the process discloses its meaning. In their different ways both Hegel &Marx thought they had lived thru &perceived such a moment. This set: the dial.off from his.relativism with which it is sometimes confused. If there are moments when his discloses its own secret, we are relieved of the pseud problems with which positivists &skeptical relativists occupy their laisure has.

which which desired assertion that ONTOLOGY this toricism are incompatible....

p.314:"What Wan experiences in his. (tat a remove in thinking about it) is simply his own being as it comes back to him MEDIATED BY THE TIME SEQUENCE. The thinking that reveals the LOGIC OF HIS. at the same time makes transparent the ONTOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF HUMAN EXISTENCE. The 2 come together in the act whereby Man creates himself & his world. (HISTORY IS CAUSA SUL. 1)

"Compared with D/N the principal difference appears to be that while in that work S presented human existence as a foredoomed attempt to realize the union of being &consciousness. he has now adopted the Harxist position that the project is executed in athru HISTORY....p.315he has closed the gap in his own thinking about his by inc.man's praxis in the dial.of being aconsciousness. (IF) HUMAN NATURE can be shown to be of such a kind that it necessarily sets the historical process in motion, the dichotomy of phil&science has been overcome & the world has ceased to be mysterious."

15's Marxist critics have denounced the attempt to subordinate human pricis to ontology."

12211

Although he makes the