STATE CAPITALISH AND WORKERS NEVOLT

It In Theory

The prefound simplicity of Harx's analysis of capitalist society & seen in this prediction: once man's later power has been transformed into a commedity, that is to say, ency your society is dominated by the law of value, or payment of labor at its minimum and extracting from him the caximum surplus necessary to maintain production on an ever-expanding scale, then mething on earth or in heaven -- will stop the inevitable, irresistible, chronic, engulfing crises leading to the system's utter collabor, not even if you have achieved such concentration of power, centralisation of capital, that all capital is commentated in the hands of "one single capitalist corporation."

single espitalist society." What he was concerned with was the freedom of humanity and the inevitable waste of human life which is the absolute general law of the constant growth of machinery and the constant degradation of the laborer. As far back as 1845, long before he had worked out his strictly esemble analysis of capitalism, he attacked Proudhon for being concerned with "esganizing exchange" when what was crucial was the despotion of production of which exchange is but the necessary phenomenon. Once you leave the factory, relations in the factory, intact, the young Marx predicted, then any organization of seciety would only end in having "one single master."

The hierarchic structure of management in production is there for one reason and one reason only -- to discipline the worker. It is the workers relistance to this "barracks-like discipline" which discloses that what appeared, ideally, as plan, was in actuality "the undisputed authority of the capitalist. The theoretical sais of his greatest work, CAPITAL, became the question of plan -- the despotic plan of capital against the plan of free and associated labor.

The Paris Commune burst upon the world's horizon after the first

30 & KR - 2

en entirely now type of society, where the workers were to rule themselves in emperative, not private, production. Farx watched "fighting, bleeding, think-ling" Faris and told it that comperative production itself might become a "sham and a snare" which it was under the gentral of free and associated labor.

That then introduced a desizive addition to his CAPITAL. He asked renders to pay enough attention to the "French edition" of CAPITAL even if they had already read the original Gorman because this new edition contained entertained back "scientific value" independent of the original. The reference was to the legizal conclusion he had drawn in his analysis of the laws of expitalist development -- concentration and contralization of capital:

"In a given society the limit would not be reached until the moment when the entire social capital was united in the hands either of a single capitalist company."

At that point he eddu:

"then this latter (centralization of capital) result is the consequence of accessiation or of centralization, whether centralization is accessiated by the violent <u>methods of annoyation</u>...or whether the fuelon of a number of capitals alread formed or in process of being formed takes place by the <u>amount method of loint stock</u> company formation — the sconozic effect remains the same." (emphasis

The prediction of state capitalian was so far removed from the apparently real world of highly compatitive capitalian with its market precocupation that the analysis was enturely lost, not alone on his generation but on many generations which followed. It was lost not only on bourgeois encountate but on marriets. The conception of the Cerman Social Democracy—the whole German Democracy including its most extreme left wing, Rose Luxemburg—was that capitalism meant planessess and chaos and that only when the workers "took over" would they first introduce plan and socialism.

warm himself, however, built Volume II of CAPITAL precisely on the conception of an isolated given capitalist society which has all its obvious problems -- selling, competition, "realizing surplus value" or making a profit

10 点 第 - 3

which is the law of its collapse. The world was not to see Veluce II until efter nern's death and then millions of words began pouring out questioning its premise. Here Luxenburg even wrote an entire voluce "to supplicate it" which would nevertheless take in "the real world of imperialism which hark had not foresoon" -- and ended or rotising CAPITAL. (Df.: Luxenburg's Assurablism of Capital and my critique of it.)

The outbreak of world har I and the collapse of the Second International did bring the analysis of monopoly capitalian and "its development into state monopoly" by Lemin but it is still more theory than fact. Only after the 1929 than which shook revid capitalian to its foundations and brought forth a whole plothers of plan from New Dealism to Fascism, from the Russian Pyatilethm (Five Year Flan) to Japan's "Co-Prosperity Plan" that the world first began to look explausly into the Marxian theories. That proceed warks the today rass of wars analysis, that one hundred years ago, he posed theoretical problems of crisis, centralisation of capital, uncompleyment and capitalist collapse that first became concrete matters that were being battled out in the 1930's and have first sow become a matter of life and death of civilization as we know it.

Anat is not seen to this day is his prediction — that the mily solution is the

It is this which Lonin saw in 1920.

(Rere II -- 1920-3 --Anticipation of World Crisis and State Capitalian --Trade Union Debate with Trotaky-Bukharin and Shlyapnikov)

STATE CAPITALISM IN ACTION: RUSSIA THROUGH THE FIVE YEAR PRANS

Introductory Foto

Just as capitalism in general is a world phenomenon, so is state capitalian Lot no one think that because we are taking Russia as the supreme example that America is exempt from this development. The 1929 crash split the world mind into two in each country. Procisely because capitalism had reached a new gament at stage of development, it sharpened class attitudes. That World War II sharpened class attitudes.

80 & WR - 4

the rule of the state in the common was not a var phenomenon. The foundations for it were laid in the previous period as can be graphically seen from a study of the TMKC reports. The TMM index of the present stage of capitalism is him rele of the state in the occase. War or peace, the state deep not diminish manapolism and trusts but develops, hotherse faction, that characteristic mode of behaviour of capitalism: contralization of capital, on the one hand and sectalization of labor on the other. The Planners form to one side; the workes to the others the workes to the others.

State capitalism is not a continuous development of capitalism in the sense of a development without breaks. Rather it is a development through 'transformation into apposito. Capitalism lived and pregressed by free ecapetition and hence, found its fullest development under a decoratio bourgeoiste or parliamentary democracy. State capitalism means -- and can only mean -- burgan areay, tyranmy and barbarism, as could have been seen in Masi Germany and can be seen in totalitarian Hussia. But one would have to be blind not to see the elements of it everywhere, most dependably in the United States. We see the readers to keep in mind the admonition that Marx has made to the readers of Capitalia.

"Intrinsically, it is not a question of the higher or lower degree of development of the social antagonisms that result from the natural laws of expitalist production. It is a question of these laws themselves, of these tendencies working with iron necessity towards inevitable results. The country that is more developed only shows, to the less developed, the image of its own future."

A: THE FIRST FIVE YEAR PLAN: Relations between Planners and Derkers.

The First Five Year Flan was introduced in October 1928, shortly after Stalin came out the complete victor over all tendencies in the Russian Communit Party which had been unloosed with Lenin's death and which ended with the expulsion of Trotsky.

^{*} Trotaly was the first to propose the Plan while Stalin-Bukharin maintained

83 A WR - 5

that Reseis needs no plan, that it can reach secialism "at a enail's pace." But he was no second expelled than the Plan was introduced. But all this is banks the point for we are now dealing with plan in action and it was staling with plan for it. To the extent that trately clung to the Plan, to that extent he was in actuality the prisoner of stalin's Plan.

The verters had meanwhile gained the seven hour day. For a brief mezent to the first few menths of the Plan, the workers were enthusiastic over the end of the HEP and the beginning of that they thought would be socialist planning, that they over-fulfilled all norms set by the State Plan.

workers in the fight with management. On January 5, 1929, for example, Middle Removals Laife, organ of the Commist of Labor and Defence, emphasized that place-work rates are subject to the approval of the Workers Comflict Commission but that the responsibility for fulfilling the financial program rected exclusively with management. That issue of the publication also reports that it is an endinary occurrence for workers dismissed by management to be reinstated by the labor imprector. A new decrea, on January 24th, however, made workers responsible for damaged goods. The Planners ordered the Five Year Plan completed in Four. This became the sharp division point between planner and workers.

Two appagonistic plans, inherent in capitalist production, came to the fere. From then on, the execution of the State Plan turned into an endless battle between the State Planners and their representatives at the point of production, and the workers.

The Planners called 1929 "the year of decision and transformation."

That was certainly fact. The appearance of workers' resistance to the State

Plan was not by substituting, for workers production conferences with their

conflict commissions, a production conference of engineers and managers and

the inevitable politicians. At the same time, trials of professional personnol

began. Some Gosplan officials were charged with "wrecking" and we had the first

feretaste of that distinctive feature of state capitalism -- mass confessions

85 A TR - 6

and recentation - but the world crisis nowe just then and this first winor spectacle was lost upon the world.

The world origin, in turn, adversely affected the price ### Russian wheat sould command on the world market for which they manted to buy tractors. (A Nive expitalist society cannot tear itself out of the vortex of the world market.) This was crucial for the plan since tractors were not manufactured rapidly enough in Russia to take the place of draft animals. Not only that. The persents resistance to collectivisation was such mass slaughter of smirals that Russia has not recovered to this day, after a quarter of a century

Agin the case of the famine, which Kussia never admitted, they first revealed the extent of the slaughter of animals in 1934 in Stalin's Report to the 17th Congress of the RCP, thus:

	2742	7735	
Sectors Large Horned Cattle Cheep and goats Pigs	33.9 70.5 146.7 26. 0	19.5 40.7 52.0 11.6	

This, they called, at the end of the Plan, "100% completion of plan" of collectivization:

There was such baves on the countryside that the harvest declined from 183.5 willion tens to 70 million tens in 1931. This was after Stalin's famous "Dizzy with Success" speech. All his speeches are famous since the dictator never says a word without its being forced, by the millions of copies, upon the people, not alone in word but by law, by police action, by terror -- but this one in particular will be remembered for its doubletalk on "the art of leader-ship":

"The art of leadership is a serious matter. One must not lag behind the movement, because to do so is to become isolated from the masses. But neither must one rush shead, for to rush shead is to lose contact with the masses. He who wants to lead masses must wage a fight on two fronts -- against those who lag behind and against those who rush on shead."

what going neither too far shead nor lagging too far behind has meent in the land of planning, is that they plan -- from crisis to crisis.

That critical year of 1930, the labor exchanges were instructed to put 11960

11961

50 a M - 7

werkers the leave jobs on their own initiative on a "special list." That blacklift deprived the worker of unemployment compensation. By October 9th, unemployment compensation was stopped altegather. It because obligatory for factory directors to insert into the normal paybook, the reasons for his dismissal. But nothing could stop the labor turnover. By the end of the first five year plan, it had remained the stopped figure of 152%. Thereupon they possed a new decree.

Tester that a werker be disabsed from the services of a factory establishment even in the case of one day's absentecism from work without sufficient reasons and be deprived of the feed eard issued to him as a negher of the staff of the factory or establishment and also of the use of the ledgings which alleved to him in the houses belonging to the factory or establishment.

Beolalist Augustiation" or "Upon what meat bath this our Caesar fed That he has grown so great?" -- Shakespearet Julius Caesar

The only part of the so-called national wealth that actually enters into the collective possessions of modern peoples is their national debt." Never was this truer than in the case of Russia where the whole cost of industrialization and militarization has been borne by the people through that ingenious scheme known as the "turnover tex." The manner of raising the State Treasury to pay for The Plan appeared in an innocent enough guise. On December 5, 1929, the Central Committee of the RCP passed the following resolution: "To instruct the Peoples Commisseriat of Finance and Supreme Souncil of National According to draw up a system of taxation and government on the principle of a single tax on profits."

"The single tax on profits" turned out to have two sections: 1) a tax on profits which comprised nine to 12 per cent of the state budget and (a) a turnover tax which comprised 60 to 80 per cent of the budget. It is the latter tax which is crucial for it suffices to finance all industrialization and militarization. Contrary to the usual sales tax, which is a fixed percentage of the base price of the commodity, the turnover fax is a fixed percentage of

SC & TR

the total sales value of merchandise, including the amount of tax. In plain language, this means that whereas a 90 per cent sales tax raises the price of merchandise 90 per cent, a 90 percent turnover tex increases the cales price ignsold.

The turnever tax is unevenly applied, going lightest on heavy industry and heaviest on bread and agricultural produce. To get the full significance of the turnover tox, let us consider how it affects that single commodity which is the staff of life for a Ruspish worker -- bread. The proletarian, in paying a reble for his kilo of black bread, pays 25 kepoks for the actual cost of the bread - including production, distribution, transportation and delivery - the remaining 75 kepeks of that ruble goes to the state as turnover tax. This is the meat of what they call "socialist accumulation," There was such chaos in the sity and in the country --and prices skyrocketed so, that the worker was faced with actual starvation. To assure the manual laborers getting at least sufficient to be able to work at all, rationing was introduced.

The division point between planners and workers was coming to a breaking point. Staling as usual, didn't flinch from taking the most extreme arev from the workers. Far from stepping the unbearable terms of industrialization that was the result of the slogan of the Five Year Plan in Four, he now declared an increased tempo in creating a new "industrial and technical intelligentain" to help bear down upon the worker. He may not have Heen very brilliant but he was wery specific and tirelessly repetitious on what these "New conditions, New Tasks" vere:

- 1) It was necessary "to end depersonalization" by displaying "the maximum care for the specialists, engineers and technicians."
- 2) It was necessary to be done with the foolishness of "squalitarianism," "Better pay for better work."
- 3) It was necessary to stop the "instability of labor industry": a greater differentiation must be made between skilled and unskilled and "wages must be organized in a new way."

50 & WR - 9

4) Business accounting must be introduced and an increase in agreementation and a levering of production costs schieved.

"Such," continued Stalin in his address to the conference of industrial managers on June 23, 1931, "are the new conditions of the development of inclustry, demanding new methods of work and methods of leadership in our economis sometreetion."

Although this was being done with great deliberation and consciousness.

Lot no one assign emmipotence to Stalin. There is no doubt that he was making a exassions effort to breate "leaders," "managers," "organizers" -- in a word, because he a matter of fact, it was not going to work quite as he had envision and it for four more years when he is finally able to create an aristodracy of labor through place-work and the creation of Stakhanoviem. But his iron will was the manifestation of the objective drive of the industrial development, which warr long are analyzed when he analyzed the objective basis of the theory of classical expression:

Accumulate, accumulate: That is Moses and the prophets:....
Accumulation for accumulation's sake, production for production's sake: by this formula classical economy expressed the historical mission of the bourgeoists and did not for a single instant deceive itself over the birth-throcs of realth.

meither did Stalin. He was more ruthless because we live in the age of state expitalizm. While the basic problem everywhere in the world now is imbor weekers productivity -- how to get/mis to work more -- nowhere is it more so than in a totalitarian state. Which is why it is totalitarian.

ecuntryside where Stalin was busy "liquidating the kulak as a class," a mast of such rebellicus workers that the labor turnover in 1932 was 152 per cent; the beginnings of a new class called "the industrial and technical intelligentals." While we can easily dismins the fantastic claims of accomplishments of industrial-kation (See statistical abstract in Appendix 1), while more unplanned-for things happened than those which were planned, the one thing that is certain beyond the peradventure of a doubt was the direction in which the economy was

80 & ER - 10

developing, the economic structure that was evolving out of its law of motion, was the same one that was so profoundly summarized by Marx when he divided up the whole mational acomony into but two departments of production -- means of production and means of consumption -- and showed that the law of development and law of crists of capitalism was that it was constantly developing machines at the expense of scriptary and there was a constant proponderance of scene of production over means of consumption. The exact direction of the whole accounts, and the relationship achieved between those two departments during the First Five Year Flan is:

		1928	7933
Beans of prod	uction	44.6	52.3
Boans of Cons	upption	55.7	46.7

On the horizon is the social physiognomy of the new roling class which Rabevsky, back in 1930, had called "the singular class resting on the singular form of private property, state power." Its specific production? Forced labor camps — as we shall see for the Second Five Year Plan.

B. THE SECOND FIVE YEAR PLAN: The Ope Party State Tokes Full Totalitarine

"Ausume a certain mode of production..."

11964

Mere, before, we were tracing the direction of the Russian economy along the beaten line of any capitalism, what greets us in the Second Five Year Plan is new I that horrific feature of the new phase of capitalism makes its first appearance in a modern industrial society under the suphemistic title of the "Rabor Correction Code." This decree, of August 1, 1933, lists as "places of detention": Corrective colonies, factory colonies, agricultural colonies, colonies of mass work and penalty enlenies." And then explains that "factory colonies are organized for the purpose of inculcating labor habits." On Cotober 27, 1934, it is supplemented by a resolution of the Central Executive Committee and Council of Peoples' Commissers, as follows: "All correction institutions (prisons, isolators, correction colonies and the bureaus of

#5 4 MR - 11

correction work without deprivation of freedom) which are at present managed by the Pauples' Commissariat of Justice of each constituent republic, are to be transferred to the computance of the Peoples' Commissariat for Internal Affeirs and its local organs."

"The Commissariat of Justice" is nothing other than the CPU which has been transformed into the Commissariat for Internal Affairs or REVD so that from now on, party purges, errosts, exile and work for the plan are all "co-class." That is to say we see the inage of the One Party State Ruling

The first year of the Second Plan had begun, along with the amouncements of the goals to be schieved, with the ordering of a party purgo which was to last no less than two years and completely transform what was left of the original Selshovik Party.

The Counterriat for Labor and Defense. The trade unions were blazed for the resistance of the workers to the norms out by the plan and so the trade unions were liquidated and made part of the state apparatus. The workers continued to fight the "norms" through the technique of slewdowns.

Hereor, the famine on the countryside, the terrible privations of the people, sent millions of peasants to the city and though they introduced internal passports to stop the flow of peasants to the city, they didn't do this 'til after a considerable army of "surplus labor" was created. In tune with the times, Industry, the organ of the Commissarian of Heavy Industry, in its issue of March 16, 1953, informs managers that they now have "a trusp sand: there are pure workers in the shops than is necessary according to plans."

(Emphasis in original.) The advice isn't lest upon them and the struggle between management and workers intensifies.

Stalin's slogan of 1931 -- "Setter pay for better work" -- had lain dormant because it could not gain momentum until there had been a piece-work system (which mark had declared to be bent nuited for the capitalist mode of production.

SC & MR - 12

Such a system came to thom, according to Stalin, as "a gift from heaven."

Vo Kushlenk (the thon chairman of the State Planning Commission) thus explained the "gift from heaven":

"A plain miner, the Beach Beach hewer, Alexel Stablenov, in response to Stalin's speech of May 4, 1935, the Reynote of which was the sere of the human being and which marked a new stage of downlopment of the USER, produced a new system of labor organization for the extraction of coal. The very first day his method was applied, he gut 102 tens of coal in one shift of six hours instead of the extablished rate of seven tons."

In the four months alapsed between the speech of Stalin's, so may ith, and the achievement of Stalingov on August Most, the State did not miss a single beargacis trick in setting up this "miracle." The press, photographers, the wires of the world, all impediately heard of the "gift from heaven." What they did not hear about, was the hotherse conditions that were created for Stalianswites get the finest tools and spoil them at the factest pace without the necessity of raying for them as the average production worker must pay for goods he damages; 2) the brigade of helpers who do all the detail work but get me Stalianswite recognition either in fame or money; and, above everything.

3) that those record breakers for a day, do not repeat their records but retire behind swivel shairs while the mass of workers are now told that the "miracle" must be the hors."

Armed with Stakhanovism, the State was able to revive the 1931 slogan -"to train the recalcitrant factory hands," as Ure put it in his day -- for
it had the wherewithml. Piece-work was made the prevailing system of work.
In the early workers' state, the range of pay was one to three; now it
became one to twenty if

"Ending depersonalisation" and wresting this extreme differentiation in pay would, however, mean nothing if rationing was still in effect and the Stakhanovites could buy nothing with their money. Rationing was ended and the production of luxury goods extended.

•	1912	1936
Watches	65,000	558,000
Grossphones	58,000	337,000
Cameras	33,000	357,000
Silk (million motors)	21,5	512,000

In contrast to the 2400 per cent increase in the production of slik
there was a more 44 per cent increase in the production of cotton goods -end the average worker continued to cat black break and have his kipyatek
(bot water). Under the given base and with the given min, it was impossible
simultaneously to extend production of the means of production and the production of means of consumption. One or the other had to be sacrified. The
course of development of the state-owned means of production, the constant
accessity to expand "in order to catch up with and cutdistance the expitalies
lands," the high organic composition of capital in the advanced capitalist
seria which imposed the same technical occapitation of the economy upon Hadsis,
all these descended sacrifice in the sphere of producing articles for mass
consumption. Distribution of articles of mass had to be brought into conformity with the reality of the stage of production. It was not a question, as
trotaky thought, of beargeois norms of distribution." It was a matter of
the bourseois sathed of production.

nes type of executive edministrator who made clear by his everyday behaviour her different he was from the workers, as if giving bedily form to what warm called "the strictly regulating authority of the social mechanism of the labor process graduated into a complete hierarchy." The hierarchic structure of the labor process, the hierarchic structure of the party and the state, all were in the image of the Plan and norms to be fulfilled by others. The men to administer the goals were engineers and administrators, who here as much resemblance to the men who led the revolution as Napoleon did to the "sams coulottes". They were made produced by the State, so that by 1937, woloter could beast that there were 1,751,000 "leading positions" in the Seviet Union and "250,000 engineers and creditects without personal responsibility for enterprises or projects." Two years later.

. BC & RR - 14

when he will supply us with figures that reveal the physiognomy of the new roling class, we will learn that the top embelons comprise only 2.5 percent of the whole population. Meanwhile, the "classics intelligentain" has to be given legitimacy and so move into the great hullabelion about the "Stalin Committution" which, in 1936, will replace the Committation which have witness to the impaintable character of the dictatorship of the proliterats

The principal object of the constitution of the REFOR, which is edapted to the present transition period, consists in the establishment of the distancemble of the urban and rural prolotariat and the people peasantry in the form of the strong All-Russian power with the aim of scowing the complete suppression of the bourgesists, the shelltien of exploitation of sun by san, and the establishment of scalalism under which there shall be neither class division nor stake authority.

The new Stain Consitution, which claimed that "socialism one irrevasably established", on the other hand, strengthened the state suthority in
the ferm of complete totalitarianism, establishing piece-work as the reigning
Oystem ("From each according to his abilities, to each according to his more")
and degreeing the protection of state and personal property from "thiswes and
missparopriators." For from withering anay of this state, this octupus will
first new garge itself on that is left of the revolution and the workers the

Suidenly, the ruling bureaucracy let loose with a series of macabre trials, the like of which had not been seen since the Spanish Inquisition and the hunt for witches, with all the added terrorism, wichence and shamelessness that only a totalitarian state can produce. First the Zinoviev-Kamenev Trial, then the Bukharin-Fistakov Trial, then the trial, an essera, of Tukha-wheevely and, finally the trial of the Yagodas who staged the first set of trials. The fantastic confessions and debasement of the "General Staff of the Revolution" who had long since espitulated and re-capitulated and been isolated and imprisoned and were without stature and dignity, all added up to the extermination of the memory of revolution in some men. The full totalitarian state had taken shape, wasthrowing its weight around, needed that blood-

30 A R - 15

letting to install firmly the new class created by the "new" method of production. The greatest frameup in history, is, however, not limited to the sen who led the revolution. Guite the centrary. Its full fury is unlocad against the workers. In a profound study of the "Crisins of Tetale itarianism," Hannah Avendt writes: "The terror increased both in Soviet Russia and Hami Germany in inverse rathe to the existence of internal political opposition so that it locked as though political opposition had not been the pretext of the terror. Dut the last impediment of its full fury." In the second stage of terror, she continues, they begin killing not those who were left from the revolution but those who seemed sake the adjustment to "t he new society." The mass proved discovered at the end of the car bear terrifying witness to that.

The Hoscow Trials were the culminating point to the cumter-revolution that we saw developing early in the changed rolations of production. A hangman's noose, rather than a full army, sufficed because only one of the parties to this conflict mas armed. Thatever had been left of the October Revolution was exterminated and the proletarian state overthrown, not so much by the execution of the Old Belsheviks (although that is always a manifestation of counter-revolution) but by clearing a place in the process of production for the new class. That place could have been clear for the # "classiess intelligentain" only where there existed such a full-blown class, only where the method of production itself called it forth. The production relations established by the Revolution had long become incompatible with this new method of production. That is why the blood-bath which came at the end of the Second Five Year Plan. The Russian worker knows that the production relations of state property demands his sweat and degradation. He knows that the job of a factory director is not, as the Russians so suphemistically put it, morely "functional". The factory director behaves like a boss because he In a boss. The state bears as such resemblance to a workers state as the president of the United States Steel Corporation does to a steel worker just because

- SC & TR - 16

ther are both "employees" of the same corporation.

The scenter-revolution of 1935-1937 was the culmination of what began with the introduction of the Plan. It's the Plan which brought worker and sansger into immediate conflict. The liquidation of the trade unions into the state apparatus was the symbol of the unbridgeable gulf between Planner and worker. Statisanovites, engineers, administrators in production, joined these in the state to form the bulwark of the new ruling class which was given juridical status, that is legitimacy, in the Soviet Constitutionof 1936. The experience of Statislat Russia since 1936 has exploded the idea that planning by any other class than the proletariat can ever reverse the law of motion of empitalist society.

OF THIPD FIVE YEAR PLAN AND THE WAR: State Capitalian Visite Death

The figures for the accomplishment of the Second Five Year Plan were not released til two years after it was ever, in 1939. At that time they showed the tramendous development of industry and lagging behind in means of emmunption, has not brought Russia cut from its backwardness if we are to judge by per capita production. Thus, relative to the rest of the advanced capitalist world, including Japan, labor productivity was low:

	Per Capita World Freduction in 1937				
Electricity	urit.	315	USA 1160	735	431
Coal	kilo	757	3429	3313	643
Pig Iron Steel	n	86 105	397 392	234 231	30 62
Cement	# 1	32	156	173	60
80ap Sugar	# ## ##	3.Ž	12 12	7 29	17
Cottens	Sq. Meter	16	58		39
Leather Sootweer Paper	pair kilo	16 5	2.6 48	1.1 42	8

to do before the USSR is properly supplied with all that is necessary...before we raise our country economically as well as technically to the level
not only as high as that of the foremost capitalist countries but considerably
higher."

Thus, the slogan of the First Five Year Flan "to catch up with and outdistance the capitalist lands" and which hold for the Second, still remained
for the Third Plan. Again, the unperdonable sin was the workers' attitude to
work. Meleter knows better than anyone that to accomplish what they did do
in the First Plan, they were forced to use 22.8 million workers where the
Plan called only for 15.7 million and, he knows above all, that the low labor
productivity of the Russian worker is not a sign of his backwardness but a
min of revolt against the conditions of production. So, aread yet with one
more law, the introduction of labor booklets, he thinks he can degree slavery.
Well, they decreed forced labor camps but productivity in the wilds of Siberia
is even lower because you cannot degree slavery on the production line or
you'll need more gummen than laborers and still the indoor productivity will
not go up.

In Addressing the same 18th Party Congress, the Leader, Staling complains

"Notwithstanding the complete clarity of the position of the party on the question of the intelligenteis there are still in our party those who have views hostile to the Soviet intelligenteis and incorporatible with the position of the party. Those who hold such inserrect views practice, as is known, a disdainful, contemptuous attitude toward the Soviet intelligenteis, considering it as a force foreign, even hostile to the working class and the persontry... Inserrectly carrying toward the Soviet intelligenteis those views and attitudes which had their basis in old times when the intelligenteis was in the service of the landowners and the capitalists." (Emphasis

Inadrov follows with a new theory to the effect that there could no longer be any besses "since there are no exploiters" and if the workers den't understand that, the party should, so he proposes to change the statutes of the party and make no "occupational distinction," that is, class positions, in allowing people to become members. Of course there is a "unanimous decision" to that effect. So far as the worker is concerned, the boas had long been both the head of the Party cell and of the NKVD, so this belated extelling up with the

25 & M = 18

productivity is needed to keep the wheels gaing and he maintains his eyecstically in and day out, year in and year out, despite the forced labor comps, wearful the Berty becameracy, armed with full state power, wreaks it vengance in a new set of anti-labor legislation over resorded in the history of modern times. (See Appendix 2 for tables on pay going to ruling class and conditions of living econg working slass.)

of factory discipline, such as chaing 15 minutes late, was unde punishable by six months at "corrective labor," that is, labor in the factory at 25 per cent reduction in pay. If this law was violated, then he was to be sent to forced labor camps in the wilds of Siberia.

From labor, the tetalitarian bureaucracy moved over to take its vengeance, on the youth. Teen-agers were taken out of school and given from six menths to two years "free venational training," at the end of which they have to work where the state directed, for two and up to four years at "the revailing rate of pay."

On Descender 26, 1940, Fravda reported that in the coal mines, especially, trusneles were greater in the first six menths of the operation of the law than in the previous period. At the 1941 Party conference, held just a few menths before the Mazi attack and after the European war had already been going on for nearly two years, the report stated that workers "were constantly absentesing themselves, particularly after pay day," and that fully a third do not accomplish their "nerms."

The truth is, this bracemian anti-labor legislation records the terror of the ruling bureaucracy in the face of the revolt of the workers which has been going on for two solid decades since the insumunition of the First Five Year Flan and which performs miracles of insenuity and endurance in resisting the totalitarian stranglehold over production. The millions in forced labor

5C & BR - 19

camps are the true messure of the never-anding resistance of the Russian workers to the Russian rulers in the state and in the factory. Had the revolt not been so persistent, the terror would not have been so violent. Nebody wents to put millions of people in scheentration camps.

Facing Stalin was Eitler with his own Five Year Plane, his "end to unemployment," his was chambers and concentration cames ready to centralise European capital as a step to world domination. In 1939, he gots the go-sign from Russia and with the Rani-Soviet Pact they carve up Poland and Russia goes in for entright imperialism. But by June 1941, he turns against Fussia. 30 deep are the antagonisms in Russis that he marches up to Stalingrad before the Russian people see what he has in atore for them and chose to stand up to him and turn bin back.

In the midst of the war, the totalitarian bureaucracy discovered the conveyor belt system." That is the way in which 1943 is referred to officially as "the year of the conveyor belt system." The assembly line technique was used to transform the individual break-neck competition of Stakhanovism by "socialist emulation to factory-to-factory competition. It seemed to embolden them also to lay their brutal hands on Marx's CAPITAL.

Seretofore, all the theoretical revisions had been pulitical. They had stopped being concerned with theory with the abolition, in 1936, of the Communist Academy and the many archives of Marx were lying unpublished in the Marx-Engels Institute as they had lain unpublished in the Archives of the Second International. But any works that had been published were untouched and, when taught, followed the sequence of the original works. It seems, t however, that during the war, the teaching of political economy had stepped altogether. No Excelen worker could see the difference between his "socialist labor and that which was described by Marx as capitalistic labor and the questions asked by students were unanswerable. So, in the year that they discovered the conveyor belt system, they were emboldened to lay their hands on CAPITAL and to demand that the dialectic structure be not followed.

The fetiphism of commodities is the mystery with which social relations of production are clothed in bourgeois society. In Eussia, where the society is completely state expitalist, the bourgeois fotiphism of commodities seems to be overcome and, in a sense, it is. The Eussian bureaucrate are not affected by problems of the market nor confused by ideas of equal exchange as are five bourgeois economists. But another aspect of fetishism, the critical one that Hark uncovered, was the asymptoty of relations between machine and man, where dead labor dominates living labor. That is why hark is so insistent in asying that the form of the commodity is fantastic, not because labor is bought and cold, but because it correctly reflects the real relations at the point of production, where wachine employs man, not san amounts. This real fotishism not only has not been evertome in Russia; the Flan has perfected it and become prisoner of it.

They have substituted for the fotishism of commodities the fetishism of the Plan. But their plan turns out to be no more than a disguise for the actual relations of production in the factory. They are no more able to overcome this fetishism then are the bourgeois economists. In other words, far from the plan bringing light into the relations of production in the factory and particularly the domination of the worker by machinery, the state planners in getting rid of the commaction of the commodities as such, in the Plan express, to a total degree, the domination of the workers by the machine. The plan in reality is nothing else but the organization of the proletariat under the domination of the machines to produce.

Never before has so gigantic a state mobilized itself with such murdorous vigilance to keep the proletarist at work while the leaders plan. This is the most deadly, the most insidiuos, the most dangerous enemy because it springs from the proletarist and cloaks itself in Marxist terminology.

Often intellectuals turn toward Marx and Lonin. They meet Stalinian which spends incredible time, care, energy and vigilance in holding Marx and Lenin within the bounds of their private property-state property philosophy. The critical question, which the Russian Communist must avoid like the

50 4 TP - 21

Similaries seemter-revolution, and how is this counter-revolution, in turns to be transferred into its opposite, truly free and associated labor. And man scattered freedom out of the totalitarianism of our and? We will here take up the development and death of Stalin, the becaming of the end of the side for the final cores and vorkuta Revolta.