"CONCLUSION" for June 1, directly, finally, on the question of "where to" with the Biweekly.

The wer-spiraling, objective Reagan Retrogression, in its historically counter-revolutionary global tentacles has polluted the Left itself, we much so that no longer can we treat the question of the dialectic of Organization as if that is just for this year's assignment, though it is true that now that we there is just one, not two years for the book on the Dialectic of Organization and Philosophy, an extraordinary urgency envelopes this year's Perspectives.

The real point is the meaning that this is not a question of the "author" but the whole organization — I want to stress the word, "the whole", not in the sense that each the context of one is going to write a chapter, but rather that each person's activity

The context of the context of person's activity

of concentration — be it labor, WL, youth, Elack, etc. —

will be inseparable from the meaning of that activity,
and that meaning, whether of an objective event or the subjective activity, will be projected to the subjective activity as to the property of response to objective situations, and the property of response to objective situations, and the subjective activity activity.

the reach outwards just when they reached to us, we can a careagon for this fear now make as when we started when we

as a planner first called 1980 "the year of the book" and mount RLWLKM, war

the path towards becoming "practicing dialecticians", /ST to transform one Not now a 18 4 with forces on creaty

put it in her recent letter to me, "in projecting theory... as more than one person's 'column'."

Right after the 1980 convention voted for a 12 pager and used the first three issues to publish mer fie 25 Car History 1981 began with Eugene on Latin America, and continued with every issue, with different writers, is tenfactor when he is tenfactor on different topics, was we voted for the Biweekly, We ware between trying other ways of developing de same time been practicing dialecticians, such as Workshop-Classes in January 1986, which were supposed to prepare us for a Biweekly by having each hip resenter by and event come in with a 2-page response to that happened that week.

It goes without saying that the greatest achievement of actually backly a Biweekly that we were willing More to undergo this test for a year, though we were a small organization. It certainly succeeded. We not only met deadlines and not only covered

10998

Objective events, but some of those were precisely by others who reached out to us. That, of course, is great, but we must not be under the illusion that we were either in South Africa, South Korea, Haiti We did have a M-Hist in the Philippines, but let's not think a M-Hist reporter is an indigenous revolutionary. We certainly did increase our mailing list with subscribers who contributed both money and articles. That is still a long way from bacoming participants. Indeed, to establish regular relationships as M-Hists for M-Hism, requires a long road Harris and the control of the contro only these Whether you take positive features/ whether you consider also negative features like having time to think and having found we were so preoccupied with the Biweekly that we forgot what is inseparable from it -- Organization -new overwhelming reality, when the objective crises have become so abysmal but we see the impotence of the new New Lefts themselves, it is a time when we no longer can be satisfied with mentioning (as though it's other who have not developed that missing link of philosophy, but we who are always talking about the impossibility to have a successful revolution with a philosophy of revolution,

10999