

5/25

Could possibly some little residue of the intellectual sloth that followed the death of Lenin and expressed itself in a never-ending missing link of philosophy ~~has~~ <sup>have kept</sup> us from making that whole category of post-Marx Marxism as a pejorative ~~category~~ until 1980?

1980 we had designated as the "Year of the Book", RLWLM. Chapter 12 was the chapter where finally ~~there~~ <sup>there was the first appearance (mild anticipation?)</sup> that the philosophic ambivalence <sup>deeper</sup> of Lenin had to be further concretized into a <sup>Marx Centenary</sup> critique. The 1983 tour with that work cleared the road for some very new heretofore untrodden roads on the whole question of Organization and <sup>totality of</sup> Philosophy. The Year of the Book also meant a change ~~from~~ for N&L from an 8 pager to a 12 pager, in order for us to develop as a philosophic nucleus with space for essay articles and ~~to create the category of essay writing.~~ <sup>to create the category of essay writing.</sup> (That was a very successful 6 year experience to which we will need to return).

10967



(15)

(insert for page 6)

I think the most important conclusion that resulted the intensity of ~~from~~ this need for organizational growth was what occurred when Olga collected 35 years of writings on Women's Liberation and I saw that the red thread running through on that single subject, from 1950 on, would not be obvious in and of itself, when each item is treated as a form in most concrete historic years, over three decades, that the projection, therefore, of M-Hism before there was a ~~M-Hism~~ <sup>M-Hist</sup> or-  
ganization, before M-Hism was pronounced as our aim in 1957 and was the objectivity of the dialectics of revolution. It is this that I developed in the Introduction/~~Overview~~ Overview, ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Because this led to the conclusion that Marxist-Humanism is the Absolute Idea of our age...

Whatever it is that disturbed some when I read out ~~the~~ the Introduction/Overview as directly related both to dialectics of revolution as well as to the whole idea of Marx's Humanism in our age, what was clear beyond the shadow of a doubt was that somehow the very formulation of Introduction/Overview was very, very distant from ~~its~~ <sup>its</sup> technical concept as an "update". (Here, transpose from p. 6, last para. ~~with~~ with difference sequence as indicated.)

10969



this year on the dialectics of philosophy and organization

1953

10970