



The point is that it is a concrete subject, RL and a concrete movement, WL, that had to be worked through before the full recognition that the single chapter that was reserved for Marx had to become a part unto itself. Not only that, Marx had to be seen not just from 1843 "the formal" break with capitalism, but 1841, in his doctoral thesis which made clear a great deal more than Hegel. It was the Prussian reality of the 1840s ~~and~~ AND Marx's dialectic which gave new meaning to the dialectic as well as to the reality, to answer his passion for A NEW BEGINNING.

It was only then that all development -- 1844, 1848, 1867-- the moment of anticipating : get ready, get set, go. That moment can not I repeat, cannot, dialectically make its appearance until all the other elements are set for the new beginning. It was so for the word "Post-Marx Marxism" to make its appearance after RL as a totality, after VIL's critique of the half-way dialectic, after WL's inadequacy to meet the challenge from reality, much less from Marx's ~~EN~~ EN.

AND NOT JUST ENGLES, BUT ADIEU TO ALL POST-MARX MARXISTS.

What now remains is how to project all this in one sentence or two or three, but no more.